NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

NORMA GONSALVES, PRESIDING OFFICER

FULL LEGISLATURE

NORMA GONSALVES, CHAIRWOMAN

1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York

August 5, 2013 1:18 p.m.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

APPEARANCES:

NORMA GONSALVES Chair

KEVAN ABRAHAMS Minority Leader

ROBERT TROIANO

CARRIÉ SOLAGES

DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

JOSEPH SCANNELL

FRANCIS X. BECKER

HOWARD KOPEL

VINCENT MUSCARELLA

RICHARD J. NICOLELLO

JUDI BOSWORTH

WAYNE WINK

MICHAEL VENDITTO

JOSEPH BELESI

DENNIS DUNNE, SR.

DENISE FORD

JUDITH JACOBS

ROSE MARIE WALKER

DAVID DENENBERG

WILLIAM MULLER
Clerk of the Legislature

LIST OF SPEAKERS

JOANNE BORDEN	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		12
GERARD OTTAVINO								•						•						•	18
PAULA BLUM	•		•	•	•	•	•	•						•		•					24
LAURA HUNSBERGER .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•						•		•					30
EDWARD PEKAREK	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•		•					43
ROBERT SYMPSON	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•		•					51
NANCY YOUNGFERT	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•		•					55
CHARLES PELLEGRINO							•	•				•		•	•		•	•	•	•	55
GENE PILEGGI								•				•		•						•	58
GREG MAY							•	•				•		•							60
RICHARD MILLET	•						•	•				•		•							62
ROB WELTNER	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•					95
JIM RUOCCO	•						•	•				•		•							99
TOMMY ASHER	•						•	•				•		•							103
TIM SULLIVAN								•				•		•							120
CONNELL DENION								•				•		•						•	134
TERRY RETCHEL								•				•		•						•	171
ERIC ALEXANDER								•				•		•						•	174
ADRIENNE ESPOSITO.								•				•		•						•	190
TOM GALLAGHER							•	•				•		•	•		•	•	•	•	193
GARRETT H. GRAY								•				•		•	•		•	•	•	•	203
DR. KENNETH SAUNDER	RS		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	208
GREG MAY	•							•						•							211

LIST OF SPEAKERS

ROSEANNE D'ALLEVA.			•		•	•		•		•			212
MAURICE CHALMERS .						•		•		•			214
CHARLES THEOPHAN .					•	•							236
CHRIS MISTRON													238

INSERTS

Page 259, Line 4 - Page 265, Line 17 (Public Works, July 29, 2013)

Page 265, Line 6 - Page 388, Line 21 (Finance Committee, July 28, 2013)

Page 326, Line 6 - Page 33, Line 21 (Rules Committee, July 28, 2013)

1	
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 6
2	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: At this point in
3	time, Legislator Dunne please lead us in the
4	Pledge of Allegiance.
5	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
6	recited.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. Muller,
8	please call the role.
9	CLERK MULLER: Deputy Presiding Officer
10	Nicolello?
11	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
12	CLERK MULLER: Alternate Deputy
13	Presiding Officer Kopel?
14	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
15	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Troiano?
16	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Here.
17	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Solages?
18	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
19	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Ford?
20	LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
21	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Scannell?
22	LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: Here.
23	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Becker?
24	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Present.
25	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Muscarella?

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 7
2	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
3	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Bosworth?
4	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Here.
5	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Wink?
6	LEGISLATOR WINK: Here.
7	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Venditto?
8	LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Here.
9	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Belesi?
10	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Here.
11	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Dunne?
12	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Here.
13	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Jacobs?
14	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Here.
15	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Walker?
16	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
17	CLERK MULLER: Legislator DeRiggi-
18	Whitton?
19	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
20	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Denenberg?
21	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Here.
22	CLERK MULLER: Minority Leader Abrahams?
23	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
24	CLERK MULLER: Presiding Officer
25	Gonsalves?

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Present.

CLERK MULLER: We have a quorum.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very

5 much.

At this point we have I believe two points of personal privilege. We will begin with Legislator Venditto.

much Mrs. Presiding Officer and members of the Legislature for allowing me to be with you today to present this point of personal privilege. It really is an honor to start the meeting this way, by introducing you to a young man from my hometown of Massapequa. His name is Sean Martin. I found his story and some of the contributions he's made to our community to be flat out inspirational, and I think you'll agree with me as I kind of recount what he's been working on for the past few years of his life.

Sean is a 13 year old resident of

Massapequa who has really been instrumental in

helping a lot of people, along with his family.

His mother is here with us today. But he's also

taken an interest in helping animals in need, not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just in our hometown but across the country.

A couple of years back he realized that animals in shelters across the Island were in need of better care, in some ways, and in need of a little bit of comforting. He and his friends gathered together and collected supplies, accessories, necessities, toys and food for animals who were in a shelter in Huntington, where his sister worked. They gathered together about \$1,000 worth of supplies and they made a donation to the Huntington shelter. Sean, seeing the success that he was having with his endeavor so far decided to spread his wings and thought maybe we could go national, maybe even global with some of this work. So he very, very intelligently decided to start an organization and a website that is called kidsadoptashelter. The premise of kids adopt a shelter is such that any kid across the country, anywhere in the world for that matter, can, via the website that he started up, adopt a shelter in his or her neighborhood and organize drives much like the one that Sean did near his hometown. Wouldn't you know it, two years later and a lot of hard

work and a lot of support from great family and friends, kids in 26 different states of the country and in other countries, such as New Zealand, are now part of kids adopt a shelter, making a real difference in the lives of animals who are in shelters, helping to make them more comfortable and really doing good work to make sure that they are taken care of, provided for, as they await adoption.

Sean, you've made us all very, very proud to be residents of this County through the work that you've done. I want to comment you on a job well done. And on behalf of all of us in county government to you and to your family, I congratulate you. I'm sure we're going to be hearing a lot from you in the years to come. Keep up the good work, not only for your own future, not only for the future of all the animals who you are helping, but the for the future of each and every one of us who, as I said before, are very proud to be residents of this county because of young people like you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very much, Legislator Venditto.

There's the hope of the future right there.

We were about 15 minutes late in coming out into this chamber, and I apologize for that. That's primarily because we have such a heavy calendar today. And so we're going to begin public participation, and there are a number of people who have signed up. I am asking those of you who are here for a particular item on the calendar to please wait until that item is called. And anybody who is not here for any item on the calendar I will call so that everyone will have a chance to speak.

I'm going to go to at least two o'clock for public comment, in all fairness, since we did come out a little late.

Legislator Becker would like to have a few words.

LEGISLATOR BECKER: Thank you. Thank you, Presiding Officer.

Our last meeting here was a rather contentious one and it got the best of me. I made a couple of comment that I really regret and were beyond the normal political discourse. I

wanted to apologize to Mr. Suozzi and to the Dolan Family, and of course I want to apologize to my colleagues in this Legislature, and in particular my colleagues on the other side of the aisle. I really regret some of the comments I made. It shouldn't happen. So I apologize.

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

I also, Presiding Officer, have a young man who is shadowing me today. He is a Lynbrook resident. He is a fine young student. He loves science. He's on his way to becoming an Eagle Scout, I'm sure. I just wanted to quickly introduce him to you, each and every one of you. Mark, would you please stand up? Mark Levine from Lynbrook.

Thank you, Presiding Officer.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You're welcome.

I'm going to call, as our first speaker, Joanne Borden, since she's the first one who signed in.

MS. BORDEN: Good afternoon. For centuries people have been condemned for who and what they are. Long ago, it was a nearby tribe. After that, no one was exempt.

My father told me how signs on

Full Legislature - 8-5-13
establishments said no dogs or Irish allowed
before they said no dogs or Jews allowed. They
weren't that nice with African Americans,
Italians, Greeks, and others.

Today, prejudice against most groups has diminished overtly. Transgender people are the final people to openly hate. With all the scientific knowledge that says we are a birth variation, you condemn us. You condemn us for having a biological imperative to express the born gender we have inside us.

Ask yourselves has denying transgender protection from discrimination evolved into self interest? If so, you are violating a voter trust.

There can only be a political reason or raw hatred for refusing to provide me, and for the transgender community, justice, equality before the law. The rest of America is proud that justice is blind but you make Nassau an exception.

In February 2011, my legislator said he doesn't have time for human rights, human rights that would protect people from discrimination and

Full Legislature - 8-5-13
employment, housing and other life necessities.

Another legislator told me there are too many classes protected already. If that makes any sense, make is one class - universal civil rights. Another in a kindly tone said why don't you stop fighting, you can fit in an enjoy life. I heard that same tactic used on nice Jews and nice African Americans with my own ears. It's time to stop supporting ancient and baseless prejudice.

Most people in our state live with transgender rights and there are no, and never were, any ill effects. The overwhelming majority, roughly three-quarters of the people of our state favor equal rights for transgender people. Before anything else, the first, the very first business before anything else of government is to protect its people.

Pass the gender clarification amendment.

Pass it now. Protect all of our people and do

the job you were hired for.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Jacobs.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Joanne, I just want to say to you that, as I've told you many times, when we passed -- we did pass a Human Rights

Bill. I just want to clarify the record. We passed a very -- what we thought was a completely far reaching and inclusive civil rights -- human rights bill that would really take into consideration any questions out there about anything, and that we would not tolerate any prejudices.

What's happening on the local level is that there is a difference in opinion as to the interpretation of our bill. Some people who themselves are not the least bit prejudice, feel that our bill covered gender, you know that.

Then there are others who are listening to you and feel, well, if a simple explanation of what gender is, the description of it, then let's put it in. The City has it. Suffolk has it.

Westchester has it. We could have it.

But I'm going to make a suggestion to you because, number one, I like you and I worry about you. I know this is very aggravating. How many times can you tell us the same thing and not see

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 anything happen.

You know I've written a bill -- not I, our attorneys, with the support of my entire delegation. We've put it in. It hasn't gotten to the floor.

My question to you I guess is that I know that there is a large organization out there that is very devoted to making certain that all gender rights are covered. I'm wondering if you've ever gone - I hate to say this because I might be shot at from either side - have you ever thought of going around us and go higher and that would definitely put our bill - we would have to put our bill in compliance with that. That's just another thought process I had. If it's not working here, it might work elsewhere.

MS. BORDEN: I have petitioned the state. There was a forum on the Genda bill - G-E-N-D-A - Gender Expression Non-Discrimination Act. I also made a video with my granddaughter for the Empire State Pride Agenda.

I think it's more important than going over your head. I think the important thing is that every jurisdiction should, on their own,

recognize that all people deserve civil rights and it can't be said too many. Actually, we shouldn't even bother with the state; we should be bothering with the federal government. We may get some little relief from it with the ENDA - E-N-D-A unemployment, which failed the last time and just passed one of the committees, to protect people's jobs. But I think it's very important that local, state and federal government that they really believe it, and that the people under them surely know that they really mean it, instead of it being imposed. I think it's very important for us to do it.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I don't disagree with you, and I wish that was so. I wish it could happen. I haven't seen any indicator that it will. And I hope I'm -- this is one time that I really, truly hope I'm proven wrong.

MS. BORDEN: Previously the objection was like here, complete no. Now the objection has something to do with the bathroom issue. I have issued an essay saying how stupid that is because there are transgender men who have whiskers and balding heads and developing pot

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

bellies and they have female chromosomes. If

they put anything about that in the law, they

would have to use the ladies room. I think the

ladies would be a lot more uncomfortable then

they would with me. I not only look like a

woman, I have the brain like a woman.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: And that's very good.

Listen. I won't give up trying. I'm just trying to think of how to make your life a little bit less repaulish.

MS. BORDEN: Thank you. I appreciate it.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Okay.

Ottavino regarding the aquifer system of Nassau

County. You need to know that there is no item

on the calendar regarding LICAP or the Long

Island Commission on Aquifer Protection, so I'm

giving you the opportunity to speak and let your

voice be heard.

MR. OTTAVINO: Thank you. There are myriad reasons to reject the proposed Long Island Aquifer Protection Commission legislation. At

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

its core, the proposed legislation demonstrates sharp conflicts of interest such as Long Island water management will still be driven by water industry officers who may be experts at selling and distributing water but not necessarily experts in either aquifer protection or groundwater management. For instance, the Commission's first chair will come from a water facility possessing a vested and financial interest in water production and delivery, but need not be a water expert such as a U.S. geological survey hydro-geologist or groundwater engineer. Placing utility finances in a position to trump scientific expertise is certain to undermine the legislation's intent and therefore will not protect the aquifer system. quantity will continue to diminish and its quality will likewise degrade as water purveyors continue their business as usual on Long Island.

Participating utilities and consultants may subsidize the commission. For example, the Long Island Groundwater Institute, a proposed ex officio member, currently relies heavily on Suffolk County Water Authority donations.

Another point. There is nothing to prevent professional water consultants from representing both counties and New York City, most of which will have strain or competing agendas very, very soon. The proposed legislation relies heavily on New York State DEC to effectuate its own charge and regulate water withdrawals. However, having experienced million dollar budget cuts and loss of nearly 1,000 employees, the agency has been effectively disabled and is virtually affectless with regard to effecting water management, in particular, enforcing district withdrawal limitations and remedying superfund sites.

Other liabilities and questions to consider are that Nassau County is Suffolk's last line of defense against New York City water policy. As I read the Suffolk proposed I cannot help but think Nassau's only purpose is to buffer Suffolk against the City with Nassau ending up as the miner's canary or sacrificial lamb. Whether this is the case or not, the proposed Nassau legislation should be augmented to avail Nassau every protection possible, legal or otherwise,

against New York City opening its Jamaica wells.

On the matter of oversight and transparency, who will monitor the monitors? For instance, who will decide the commission's agenda? In particular, which water issues will be deemed appropriate and via what criteria? Also, who will determine violations, say, for exceeding pumpage limitations and how will respective enforcement be effected and fines assessed? Lastly, to whom will the commission be accountable and who will judge its performance?

In summary, the proposed legislation is indeed quite flawed and will neither advance adequate aquifer protection nor proper water management. Rather, it will affect the exact opposite, resulting in desalinization and ratification purification, hardly adequate substitutes for a pristine aquifer supply.

What is needed to save Long Island's water is not a commission driven by financial interest, but rather a science based compact that will replace unsustainable practice with scientifically based strategy. This recommendation is an already proven solution and

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	by examining the ongoing successes of upstate
3	surface water compacts, such as the Delaware
4	River basin compact
5	CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have
6	expired, sir.
7	MR. OTTAVINO: Okay. Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Would you like to
9	submit your testimony to the clerk to become part
10	of the record?
11	MR. OTTAVINO: I already have.
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Thank you
13	very much. I appreciate it.
14	MR. OTTAVINO: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Ford.
16	LEGISLATOR FORD: Gerry, I just want to
17	thank you very much for your comments. As
18	always, you've always been an advocate for our
19	waters, our surrounding waters, and especially
20	our Lloyd Aquifer and the Magathy. I thank you
21	very much. I think you're giving us a lot to
22	think about and to consider.
23	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you again.
24	Judi Bosworth. Legislator Bosworth.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Thank you. Gerry,

25

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 2
so this is one of the issues that we're
struggling with. And certainly the idea of a
compact for Nassau and Suffolk is something that,

as you know, I have been promoting.

2.5

One of the issues is that we don't have the ability to have regulatory enforcement mechanisms, and so that's why that kind of legislation really needs to emanate from the state and I'm hoping that it will. But I do agree with what is being proposed with LICAP really raises consciousness about the need to protect our aquifers. I'm not entirely sure how much it actually does in terms of truly protecting them.

One of the things -- and Presiding
Officer, I'm hoping that you're considering the
amendments that we've placed into the bill,
number one is so that there would be minority
representation on this commission, as well as
some kind of funding restore to USGS so that if
the commission is looking at some of the data,
that there is actual data to be looking at. It
is my hope -- I'm so glad you brought up your
concerns -- that as we go forward that those two

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 items will be considered.

MR. OTTAVINO: Yeah. I was running short on time. But one of my points was that on both sides, in Suffolk and Nassau, the minority is left out. I was going to suggest, the testimony I handed in includes that the minority side both in Suffolk and in Nassau be included.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. Ottavino, we met with Legislator Bosworth and she brought that to our attention and we said we would review it.

It's under consideration at this point.

MR. OTTAVINO: Thank you very much.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Paula Blum, also regarding I believe water.

MS. BLUM: Thank you. And I apologize in advance for any redundancy.

I'm Paula Blum, Vice President of the League of Women Voters of Nassau County. There are many reasons why we do not believe that the proposed Aquifer Protection Commission is the best answer to the water related problems of the County.

The Commission is directed by the legislation to discuss issues, talk about the

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 problems, hold meetings, but will not have the authority to implement policy or effectuate solutions. Its preface will be to make recommendations to others, such as the DEC, the counties, the towns. It will be a passive entity and its scientific expertise will be exceedingly thin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The commission proposal gives the appearance of progress but could actually delay efforts to achieve real progress in the management and protection of our aquifers.

What we need at this point is a team of professional hydrologists and groundwater management scientists to move past talk to devise the best strategies and practice, and then take action to bring our water situation from unsustainable to sustainable.

The proposed legislation shows, as Mr. Ottavino indicated, conflicts of interest and this hardly seems appropriate that the majority of the -- well, a large portion of the commission really would be related to the water industry and they're the ones who are extracting the water.

The commission appears also weighted

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 towards Suffolk County. Was it not the Suffolk County Water Authority that attempted to open the Lloyd Aquifer to all users and destroy the Lloyd Moratorium?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The proposed legislation relies heavily on the DEC. But having had significant budget cuts, as was also mentioned, the DEC does not have the wherewithal to do more than it already does, and it has actually not done very much at all regarding the groundwater supply. Why should we assume that it will suddenly change and do what needs to be done?

The post-legislation appears skewed in favor of protecting Suffolk over Nassau. Why? Nassau's water supply is on the line, vulnerable to New York City's plans to reopen the Jamaica water pumps and even to purchase for Nassau County water suppliers. Who is going to protect Nassau's water?

What we feel makes far more sense is the establishment of a Long Island Water Compact, analogous to the water compacts that monitor and manage the surface water supplies all over the rest of New York State. The compact would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provide water studies, like the ones that Nassau County sponsored until 1998, which provided important information and recommendations, and it would implement those recommendations, something the County did not do after the 98 report or previous ones.

The compact would partner with the U.S.G.S. to ensure a continuing stream of vital information. It would have the mandate, expertise, and ability to take necessary action to manage and protect the groundwater of Long Island for the betterment of both counties.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Ms. Blum, would you mind submitting that for the record?

MS. BLUM: Yes. I'm doing that. I just found one thing that I'm missing in it, which I am correcting before I submit.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very much.

Paula, Legislator Jacobs has something to say to you.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Paula, I just wanted to say one thing to you.

Yes, Suffolk Water Authority was on the

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 verge of coming into Nassau and breaking into the Lloyd Aquifer. My colleague, Denise Ford, and I went to New York State -- to a New York State hearing on it at Stony Brook --

> MS. BLUM: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: and spoke together. May Neuberger, I have to say may she rest in peace, blew us both away because she had the history on it, and we stopped it. But I happen to agree with you, that should not be necessary. Both Denise and I felt it from the heart but we didn't have the factual items that would have helped a lot. But we were lucky that May was there and it all worked out fine.

I happen to agree with you. We need something in place that we don't have to go into a panic like that.

MS. BLUM: Right. And I would urge the county to encourage the state legislators in your respective parties that when legislation does come up on this that they encourage them to support it.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Bosworth.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Paula, thanks for your testimony. Of course you bring up those very, very important points.

As many of you know, Suffolk County

Legislator William Spencer and I held three

hearings throughout the Island to find out about

what people thought and felt about protecting our

aquifers. The response was quite strong. Judy

Jacobs came to some of those meetings. Wayne

wink did. Denise Ford did. We had bipartisan

representation. And David Denenberg, excuse me,

of course.

One of our former mayors got up and said we're tired of NATO. Everybody looked around and said what does that mean? And it was no action, talk only.

And so one of the concerns about LIPAC, which is, as I said before, does raise consciousness but it appears to be, again, people sitting around talking about concerns without actually having the ability to do anything about it. So, certainly what I took from those hearings is that we really do need to have state legislation that provides the ability to regulate

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 and to enforce and protect our water.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very much.

Laura Hunsberger. I have a number of people from Cornell. I'm looking at the clock and I'm not too sure we're going to get to all of you before we go into the regular session. I'll get to as many as I can, depending on how quickly you can say what you have to say.

Go ahead, Laura.

MS. HUNSBERGER: Thank you.

Republicans inspired the creation of
Cooperative Extension. I am Laura Hunsberger,
the Executive Director of the decidedly
nonpartisan Nassau County Cooperative Extension.
And as I stand before a republican majority in
this chamber today with a republican executive
concluding his first term, I respectfully submit
it will be the members of the grand old party who
will determine the fate of Extension as its
centennial approaches.

Whether it's 100th anniversary is one of patriotic extension or a funeral this fall will

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

be determined by you this summer, in this

building named for a great republican and Long

4 Islander.

2.5

Extension seeks merely one one hundredth of a penny for each county budget dollar to continue its long legacy of proud, faithful service.

President Lincoln established the first land grant schools when he signed the Moral Act in 1862. The purpose of the Act is to, "Teach agriculture and the mechanic arts in such a manner as the legislatures of the states may respectively prescribe in order to promote the practical education of the industrial classes and several pursuits and professions in life."

I ask you to think of that great republican's intent every time you see a penny and the tiny copper sliver of it that Extension now seeks to survive and, if support with appropriate funding, to thrive.

President Roosevelt envisioned a national extension system establishing a commission that called for the expansion of the extension system to distribute information and inspiration to

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 every person in the land in hopes that they might be motivated to adopt a scientific agriculture to

own condition; that is Extension's primary mission, teaching people how to improve their own

improve their own condition. To improve their

7 condition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A 1914 agreement established shared extension funding between federal, state, and local governments, and the Smith-Lever Act aimed to instill education and economic development. Its purpose was to create a land grand system in which academic knowledge would be disseminated widely using the demonstration method to empower people to improve their own condition.

New York enacted County Law 224 empowering this Legislature to provide the public benefits generated by extension. As a matter of that law, Extension is a subordinate government agency. And stated a purpose of that law is community betterment. The extent to which New York provides Extension funding for community betterment is dependent on, and a function of, the extent to which this County funds extension. Should you decline to provide appropriate annual

budget funding all of those dollars from Albany and all of those dollars from Washington, D.C. would all go elsewhere, to the detriment of your constituents. Refusing to fund Extension simply does not make financial sense.

County funding has been cut by nearly 97 percent since 1999. This retrenchment resulted in slashing full-time staff by over 50 percent, reducing and eliminating numerous programs, instituting furloughs, and a host of cost cutting measures, while relying on high interest loans from Cornell University to fill the gap. We have done more with less for years, and we have no reached the end of our rope.

I ask you to consider if Mr. Roosevelt was still alive what he might say to you if Extension was eliminated. No county extension office in New York has ever closed, any century, under such circumstances. You are the elected decision makers of this County, the wealthiest in all of New York, and you have the unique opportunity to make history, although I dare say it would not be the sort of history in which any of us would be proud.

The stock market is now at record levels, Long Island employment is far outpacing the rest of the state. Home sales, starts and prices are all up significantly. County sales tax revenues are up more than 11 percent, year over year, and 2012 ended with a \$41.6 million budget surplus.

Refusing to fund Extension would likely be its death now and it would be statewide news because it has never happened in the 100 year history of extension in New York.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired, ma'am.

MS. HUNSBERGER: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Laura, please.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are you,

Laura?

MS. HUNSBERGER: I'm fine, thank you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I apologize. I know you wanted to try to meet with me last week, and I apologize. I just wanted for our position, just to make sure we're clear on the record.

What you're trying to obtain is a budget line, which you have always had --

MS. HUNSBERGER: That's correct.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: in the Nassau

County budget that spells out Cornell Cooperative

Extension.

MS. HUNSBERGER: That's correct.

our passion - and I believe I think I can probably speak for everybody but maybe I can't. It's always been our passion to make sure that you receive that budget line; this year is going to be no different. We're going to push to try to get you as much resources.

I know the tremendous work. I had an opportunity to visit a couple of your programs and see the tremendous amount of work that you do. I think in this day and time when we're able to support programs, we should be supporting yours and we look forward to doing that in the upcoming budget cycle. However, we don't have to wait for the budget cycle. Obviously, a board transfer, shift in the money into a budget line, as long as a line is created during a budget cycle, it could be done at any time. Am I correct with that assumption?

MS. HUSNBERGER: I'm uncertain.

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 clarifying it.

The bottom line is whatever is said about they cut this, we cut that, you're not getting funded today. So, going forward we want to make sure that you are. We're reaffirming our commitment for your program today. I would love to be able to say this is done bipartisanly and it's unanimous. Hopefully the majority can give you that level of assurance, too. That's what we plan to pursue. Hopefully when we sit down in the next couple of weeks we will be able to come up with a hard number which will enable you to sustain your program level in Nassau County, which is very good.

Thank you.

MS. HUNSBERGER: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Laura -

MS. HUNSBERGER: Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You know that I have been communicating with you and Nancy -

MS. HUNSBERGER: That's right.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: regarding getting you back into the budget.

MS. HUNSBERGER: Right.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: At this point in time, we are going to be able to get Cornell back in the budget. Even though it's not the amount that you want, you will have that separate line. And that's when board transfers can take place. It's got to be part of the next budget, really. It's going to be in the Parks Department.

We are listening. And you know how dear it is to me that you get funded adequately and make sure that we do not jeopardize, we do not jeopardize your funding from Cornell.

This is a very important issue to everyone on this panel, and nobody would -- I said we would do everything we can to get you back in the budget.

The other thing that I have done and will continue to advocate on behalf of Cornell is the fact that we have the ability to use hotel/motel money. I've already obligated my share of the hotel/motel money to Cornell. Maybe some of the other legislators would like to do that as well. But at the present time - no, it's okay. Then you can find out. I'll help you find out. How's that? They don't know their share either. They

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	don't have a share either. I had a share. How's
3	that? No. Hold on. Hold on.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: This is easy. I
5	didn't even know I had a share.
6	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: No, no. They
7	don't have shares either. Just me and him.
8	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: From my
9	understanding, it sounds like the Presiding
10	Officer and the Minority Leader have shares. Let
11	me give myself - my office a week. Can we get a
12	week to find out our share? We will
13	apparently you guys don't have a share, that's
14	what I'm being told. I don't want to make humor
15	into this because it's a serious issue. It's a
16	very serious issue.
17	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Hold on. I used
18	the wrong
19	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What I want to
20	say to Laura -
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: word. I should
22	not have said shares. Okay?
23	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I am well aware
25	of the fact that everybody is interested in

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 40
2	helping Cornell. If there's an opportunity for
3	me to do so, I will do so. That's exactly what -
4	
5	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: All I was going -
6	_
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Don't look for a
8	share because you're
9	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No. All I was
10	going to do was commit whatever resources that we
11	have, that the presiding officer was able to
12	dispose of to you, I would commit it to you as
13	well. We'd match dollar for dollar.
14	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We'll talk about
15	it.
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We'll talk about
17	it.
18	MS. HUNSBERGER: Thank you very much.
19	Rob Walker did indicate
20	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: See, we're all
21	fighting for you.
22	MS. HUNSBERGER: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I promised them
24	that can I just take a couple of more can
25	you do it in 30 seconds?

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I used the wrong word.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: under Presiding Officer Schmitt. And I think every legislator

23

24

2.5

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 should get those shares.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I said to --

LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: Thirty seconds, if

5 I can.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: He took more than 30 seconds, Joe.

LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: Laura, you guys do an amazing job. Thanks so much for coming. It's an amazing place. My kids both go to the camp out east.

MS. HUNSBERGER: And we really enjoyed having Brittany as a counselor.

an unbelievable place. She's been there for years, summer after summer. Everybody here, it's a great, great place. It's an affordable place in a time when camps are really, really, really tough for people to meet financially. This place does an amazing job at a reasonable price. Like I said, it's a great experience. They can go one week at a time. They can go the whole summer. It's so flexible. The camps try to lock you in at a high rate for the whole summer. This place does it great, professionally, it's neat, and the

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 43 1 2 kids have an amazing job. 3 Thank you. MS. HUNSBERGER: Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: In light of the 6 fact that some of us had to speak and take time 7 away from you, guess what? I'm going to give a 8 few more speakers the opportunity to address the 9 legislature. And I don't know whether or not --10 and in all due fairness to those who are sitting 11 here for so long, I wish we would just, you know, 12 restrain from commenting and let them get on the 13 record with their thoughts, if you don't mind. 14 I'm looking at you, yeah. 15 Edward -- I'm going to mispronounce your name, I know I am -- Pekarek. 16 17 MR. PEKAREK: Pekarek. 18 MS. HUNSBERGER: My concern, Presiding Officer Gonsalves, with the hotel/motel are the 19 20 restrictions that come along with it. 21

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You know what they're for. You know what that money is used for, historic and educational programs.

22

23

24

25

MS. HUNSBERGER: Correct. And that really only applies to our East Meadow farm. W

One dollar, that's all.

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I just said that we are planning to give them a line.

LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: Perfect.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: And giving them a line makes it possible for us to increase funding.

LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: A line for a dollar is all they need to get the maximum funding.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We're getting them back in the budget so that Cornell University makes sure that they are a viable organization in the eyes of Nassau County.

I'm sorry, Edward.

MR. PEKAREK: That's okay, Presiding

Officer. My surname is pronounced Pekarek. My

name is Ed Pekarek. I am a lawyer and during the

last four years a professor of law. And I, like

many in this chamber, do not like paying taxes.

Ms. Hunsberger commented about two of the great

Americans immortalized on Mount Rushmore; I will

give the other two their due.

George Washington wrote in 1786, Nothing,

in my opinion, would contribute more to the welfare of these states than proper management of our lands, and nothing seems less understood.

Extension partners with local green industry organizations, training landscapers and nursery personnel to use best practices for integrated pest management. One organization sites that training as a seal of approval at NSLGA.org. Extension instills an ethos to avoid using toxins whenever safer alternatives are available.

No matter which side of the aisle we occupy, we all have at least one thing in common, the need for clean water. We all get that water from the safe aquifers flowing beneath our feet right now.

It's been 228 years since Washington penned that passage, and it is no less true today.

Improper practices may be our ruin of our natural resources. How many landscapers and nurseries are there in Nassau County? How many acres of manicured lawns and golf courses do we have? The Extension is part of a framework

providing poisonings from entering the soil that would migrate to your tap, your ice cubes, your showers, not to mention our ponds, streams,

lakes, rivers, the Sound, the Great South Bay, and our Atlantic beaches. If it closes a

7 dangerous gap will exist. If clean water is not

a priority on an island, I really don't know what

9 could be possibly more important economic policy.

And speaking of closing of gaps,

Extension is working presently on a project with
the Long Island Sound study to protect our assets
further, which, if Extension still exists, will
also be produced in Spanish. This is but one of
many forward looking programs and resources it
provides.

I noted my dislike for taxes and nothing could be truer. But equally true is that moments exist in which partisanship must stop and pragmatism must begin; this is one of those moments.

Eliminating Extension would also eliminate innumerable benefits that flow to your constituents every day, regardless of race, age, religion, sex or social station and it does so

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

with great frugality. If freezing county tax

burdens while maintaining and improving

government services is a priority, funding

Extension would be an easy decision, especially

when nearly one half billion dollars in proposed

ordinances are on today's agenda.

Thomas Jefferson wrote frequently about growing food. In this passage he wrote, in 1785, to John Jay, our second governor and first supreme court chief justice seems salient for the decision you will make regarding Extension's fate.

Cultivators of the earth are the most valuable citizens, they are the most vigorous, the most independent, the most virtuous, and they are tied to their country and wetted to their liberty and interest by the most lasting by the most lasting bonds.

Cultivators are here today and there are hundreds, if not thousands more, who care deeply about this issue who could not be present. I ask respectfully on behalf of those many citizens that you weigh the profound impact on your decision with great care because if it results in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the unprecedented closure of Extension, lasting consequences will follow.

The Hempstead Plains Native Plant initiative underway with Betsy Gulotta would probably be scuttled in its infancy, as would the current master gardener's volunteer landscape design projects at Bethpage Village and Chelsea Mansion. So too would the countywide beautification planned with the Department of Public Works, along with the summer camp at which 92 children from Legislator Ford's district attended during the last two year as campers and counselors, along with the nutrition education that 531 of Legislator Troiano's constituents received and almost 900 of Nassau's elders received at dozens of local senior citizens. Children's education gardening programs would grind to a halt, as would preventative invasive species education. The Grossman's Farm partnership in Legislator Becker's district and Stewardship of East Meadow Farm in Presiding Officer Gonsalves' district all would be forever lost.

Legislator Walker commemorated lost loved

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 50
2	ones in the 4H Family recently for a Memorial Day
3	tree planting at the 4H Camp arboretum, with
4	plaudits that brought to the tears of many of the
5	nearly 100 who attended and who view their bond
6	as familial.
7	CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have
8	expired, sir.
9	MR. PEKAREK: My prepared remarks have
10	been submitted for the record. If you have any
11	questions, I'd be happy to answer them.
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very
13	much.
14	I am going beyond the hour, and I have
15	several speakers from Cornell. I will be able to
16	have two more speakers from Cornell and the
17	others will either speak after our meeting which
18	can go on for a while, I'll be honest with you,
19	or you can submit any testimony to the Clerk for
20	the record.
21	MR. PEKAREK: Thank you very much.
22	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you, Mr.
23	Pekarek.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. Pekarek.

MR. PEKAREK: Pekarek.

Robert Sympson, and then after that Nancy Youngfert, and then that's it.

MR. SYMPSON: I guess after the last speaker I must be a cultivator.

am Bob Sympson, a 60 year resident of -- 25 year volunteer in Nassau County. I am also a director of Cornell Cooperative Extension and chairman of the camp committee. My purpose today is to continue to reiterate the importance of the 100 year old partnership of Nassau County and CCE.

If you cease to adequately fund Cornell
Cooperative Extension, this program will be shut
down: Nassau County Camp, 89 years, 1924 to
2014, the oldest camp in New York State called
the Dorothy P. Flynn Camp, 145 acres near
Riverhead, serving now three generations of
youngsters. Some people ask me what's 4H mean?
What are the Hs? Well, one is Head, managing and
thinking; one is heart, relating and caring; one
is hands, giving and working; and one is health,
being healthier and better living. Are these not
important timeless values to continue to instill
in our present day youth?

The Nassau County Master Gardening Plan,
I'm sure Nancy will talk on this, the limitless
value of over 100 dedicated master gardeners,
under two staff people, with a new class to begin
in September; the East Meadow Farm and
Horticultural Center, in its second season,
second season with 60 personal community gardens,
display gardens, horticultural research
activities and school gardens, all of which can
be found in the center of the County, not up in
Plainview or, you know, wherever, and put
together and organized by master gardeners'
efforts. I'm sure that each of you has residents
who are making use of that facility.

Your local school, village site community environmental events will end. Throughout the years CCE has reached out to all residents of the county, whether in horticulture, nutrition, homemakers and the youth services like 4H. For example, as planning was undertaken in Lynbrook for a community garden some 20 years ago, CCE was there and 40 gardens continued to be tilled. Legislator Becker, of course, is very familiar with this. We now have the Marion Street School

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 53
2	Garden at two Lynbrook schools. And something
3	I'm very proud of that CCE has been involved in
4	recently as yesterday, the Hewlett High School
5	began a fall planting of its vegetable gardens,
5	which began just in the spring, and cared for its
7	tree nursery located behind the historic Hewlett
3	House that's the county property but the property
9	behind is the school districts. Excess produce
)	has been delivered regularly to the Inn in
1	Hempstead during this summer, another lesson in
2	values. I'm sure many of your constituents have,
3	in some way, been touched by CCE.
4	For 20 years CCE this is another
5	variation of what we do has been a partner
ĵ.	with the New York Urban Forestry Council and
7	Volunteer Relief Organization hosting monthly
3	countywide meetings and workshops on suburban
9	trees.
)	CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have
1	expired, sir.

MR. SYMPSON: Five minute?

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have

expired.

MR. SYMPSON: Alright. You want me to?

And the last speaker for now would be Nancy Youngfert. I will make an announcement so

23

24

25

Sympson.

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 that those who are here for other items, I will

be able to tell you a little bit more.

Nancy.

MS. YOUNGFERT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen of the Legislature. Thank you for allowing us to come here. I'm going to cede my three minutes to a person who has come here to us today, his name is Charles Pellegrino. He is here representing himself but he is also a former camper and, from what I'm understanding, a mighty successful business person. He would like to speak. And Bob did a wonderful job of telling you everything I was going to say. So I'm ceding my three minutes to Charles Pellegrino. Thank you.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Name for the record, Charles.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Charles Pellegrino. I started as a camper with the 4H camp at about age 12. I am now age 60. I remember some years ago a Stephen King story that became the movie Stand By Me, ended with I never had friends again like I had when I was 12 years old; who does? I still

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have the same friends I had when I was 12 years We met up at the Dorothy P. Flint 4H Camp. Three of us have gone on to write books together, one of them is the curator of the Air & Space Museum here on Long Island, the Cradle of Aviation Museum. Another one, we actually originated what became the Europa Theory at the 4H Camp, and we began our earliest designs of a space probe that would get through the ice of Jupiter's moon Europa, looking for life; that's now on the NASA drawing boards. We've scattered across the world, to opposite sides of the word sometimes, onto the high seas, and even deep under them, and part of that Europa space probe prototype was actually something that was built by a guy named James Cameron and tested two and a half miles down at the Titanic.

I no longer dive to the bottom of the ocean in submersibles two and a half miles down to the Titanic and the Hydrothermal vents; that's partly because of some of the values that I learned at the 4H Camp. The 4H is standing for head, heart, health, and hands.

In 2001 I came back from the Titanic and

9-11 had happened and I knew that the physics I had been studying out there, there were about a half dozen of us in the world who had to go into Ground Zero and study that same physics, hopefully to save lives in the future. I had to come to a decision. I knew that I would be going into very toxic air, that I would probably get sick and the team I was working with, that we would get sick and, in fact, I did and that's why I don't go to the bottom of the ocean anymore. I did a lot of damage to my lungs. I came to that decision.

Looking all the way back to when I was 12 years old making that pledge at the 4H Camp that sometimes you do have to look forward and maybe have to give part of your health to the future and to think of the other person first. That, to me, is one of the values not just that a bunch of us kids who were interested in science got together at the camp and then out there we found some of the best science teachers on the planet to work with us. Maybe above all that, even the values that we took away from our experience there.

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you, Mr. Pellegrino.

At this time we are going to go on to the calendar. Those of you who are here to speak on certain items on the calendar, you will be called during public participation on the item. Others who are here for items that are not on the calendar, you're welcome to stay. I'm going to be very honest. It's going to be a while before we get to you.

Now the other thing. I know that there are a number of people who are - put your mic on, sir.

MR. PILEGGI: I said you spent a lot of time on Cornell University, which I appreciate and I am for. But you dominated that issue to the point where I can't stay and make a public statement. I think that's completely unfair and not objective. And I'm protesting that issue.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You are going to speak on contracts, am I correct?

MR. PILEGGI: That's right.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: And we're going

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 59
2	to be calling those contracts now.
3	MR. PILEGGI: I'm sorry?
4	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We're going to be
5	calling those contracts now.
6	MR. PILEGGI: No. It's not about
7	contracts that you're calling. It's about
8	contracts that have been already passed. For
9	instance, for the Welwyn Preserve and the
10	Chelsea, those contracts that were given to carte
11	blanche.
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Since you're mic
13	you may as well speak.
14	MR. PILEGGI: Thank you.
15	Those contracts -
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Name for the
17	record, please.
18	MR. PILEGGI: Eugene Pileggi, Bayville,
19	New York.
20	Those contracts were so badly abused,
21	they were either through incompetence or
22	corruption, and I hope we get to the bottom of
23	that. If it was through corruption, hopefully
24	somebody will go to jail for that.

The other thing I wanted to speak about

25

is I understand the town, Nassau County has been downgraded three times. I think we're all aware of that happening in the past under Tom Gulotta's administration. And if that continues to happen, Nassau County will be bankrupt again. It's not like you don't know. You've all been around long enough to know that type of legislation and incompetence will have results. I hope you're all smart enough, bipartisan enough to not let this happen.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you.

We're going to let those of you who are here to speak on the Board of Visitors — the items on the appointments of the Board of Visitors will not be called today. They will not be called today. So if you wish to remain, after the calendar has been completed we will stay in session to hear your comments at that particular time. But the Board of Visitors appointments will not be called today.

We are going to proceed.

Yes, Mr. May.

MR. MAY: I'm just here for the regular

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 calendar.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Oh, okay. Stay there for the regular calendar because we're going to be out of order completely because -
I'm even getting confused up here with the order of the calendar.

We're going to begin with Item Number 17, Ordinance Number 119, or better known as 340-13 Public Works. And, Mr. Clerk, would you read that item into the record?

CLERK MULLER: A bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance the capital projects specified herein within the County of Nassau, authorizing \$326,250,000 of bonds of the County of Nassau, to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act, pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York, and the County Government Law of Nassau County.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Motion, please?

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

Legislator Kopel, seconded by Legislator Dunne.

And who do we have here to speak on that?

MR. MAY: We have Mr. Rich Millet from the Department of Public Works.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay.

MR. MILLET: This item is the electrical distribution system for the Bay Park Sewage

Treatment Plant. This item is necessary, as the plant was inundated with sea water during Super

Storm Sandy, and the electrical components and wiring are decaying daily.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any questions of Mr. Millet? Legislator Nicolello.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. Mr. Millet, that was a rather brief overview. Can you give some more detail on what this is? What are we doing here?

MR. MILLET: Sure. What you're doing is basically you are rebuilding or building a brand new electrical component system that feeds each and every part of the plant, whether it be from the influent pumps all the way back to the effluent tide pumps, that electrical system is a dual cable system with transformers and

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 substations that were all inundated with sea water.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm assuming you need this to operate the plant.

MR. MILLET: Yes. You cannot operate the plant without the electrical system. And as I said, the electrical system, bits and pieces of it fail, different parts of it fail every day and we are doing either temporary work to keep it moving or trying to do some sort of permanent work. But without a fully operational and fully functional electrical system, the Bay Park plant is in peril every day.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That was my next question. What is going on there now? What's keeping this plant running?

MR. MILLET: Basically, we continue to use emergency work. As something breaks, whether it's a pump or a fuse box or a motor control system that goes down, we fix it. We get a new piece and put it in, but as you do that you're continuing to throw good money after bad when you could just be doing an entire rebuild of the system.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Are temporary

3 generators being used?

MR. MILLET: We have temporary generators being used now. Our in-house generators are - their control system is not operating the way it's supposed to.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So suffice it to say, there was substantial damage to the electrical system at Bay Park because of the inundation of sea water.

MR. MILLET: Yes.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And as part of the work here we're going to be trying to adopt different methods to make sure that does not happen again, right?

MR. MILLET: We are. Part of the building of the new system will be mitigation so that it does not happen again.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And how is that going to be done?

MR. MILLET: They'll use different style tubes that they run the wiring into. They will close off tunnels in areas where transformers are with sea doors, so we will harden and mitigate in

Full Legislature -8-5-13 that way.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: To the best of the ability of modern engineering, you're going to try to harden this so that this doesn't happen again.

MR. MILLET: Absolutely.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Now, there has been some suggestion that you could break out this component, other components from the overall work that's going on, that needs to go on in Bay Park. I want you to address that for a moment.

MR. MILLET: The professional engineers, many of them who we've had on the site and every one of them have the same outlook on this, that you need to do it holistically because you're basically building a brand new plant. It's not like you're going to go fix a piece of it and come back seven months later and fix something else. The parts have to communicate. The parts of the plant have to be able to talk to each other and especially through the electrical system. If you don't have the right communication between all the motor controls and the substations, you get blackouts and the

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 engines fail and you have to start the plant up It's not like you're just going to run an electrical cord around the plant and be able to plug into it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So the equipment itself from this component as well as the other work that is going on there has to mesh together.

MR. MILLET: It does. And it needs to actually be built. Components like this, you don't got to a Lowe's or Home Depot and buy this stuff, it actually has to be built to your specifications, contractors have to submit shop drawings, they have to get approved by the engineers on the job. It's quite complicated.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So, in other words, if this is approved it's not as if the design work gets done and then you go out and order a whole bunch of things that exist there. It's almost as if it's an organic process, as it moves along as the different components come into play --

MR. MILLET: As you move along and design, you can buy forward components once you know what component you need and where it fits.

You can purchase ahead of the contractor. Now you can get delivery -- some materials may be 18 or 24 weeks to make.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In terms of the different contractors, on the different aspects of what we're going to be doing at Bay Park, can you just have them work in isolation? Can we hire some contractors to start work and just wait until down the road and maybe hiring other contractors to do work?

MR. MILLET: No. Again, you're not doing single pieces. If you were just doing one piece that's how you would work. This whole entire system, the contractors have to be in contact with each other, they have to be in meetings, they have to coordinate through the engineers, through our consultants as to when work will get done, how it will get done, how the components will interface. It's very complicated. It is not a simple moment.

that would be true especially with respect to electrical work. I would imagine that connects to every, literally and figuratively, to every

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 part of the work that's going to go on at the plant.

MR. MILLET: Absolutely. It has to touch every piece of the plant. And every piece of the plant has different needs.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I know on other construction sites you will have a construction manager and you'll have all the various trade contractors will meet on a weekly basis or something like that to coordinate the work.

MR. MILLET: Same thing here. There will be a job meeting every week, probably multiple times a week as people move along in their projects.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Can you have a project in which you leave certain vital components out and maybe do it later?

MR. MILLET: Not in this circumstance. When you're building, you're building a brand new plant. You have to almost look at it as it's a brand new plant.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So the contractors have to be coordinated, the equipment has to be coordinated and -- so it's your

recommendation, the recommendation of the engineers that this not be broken up?

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

MR. MILLET: The recommendation of the engineers were clear in the presentation that it has to go as one job, and these are engineers who do billion dollar jobs in the City of New York and Passaic County, which just went out and they put a billion dollars up in the City to redo

Bowery Bay. They didn't come back and say we'll give you 200 million here, come back and see us. They just said you need a billion dollars to build the plant back, here's the billion dollars.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Where was that location?

MR. MILLET: New York City.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm assuming that all up and down the eastern seaboard, at least the northeast, there are projects going on. Is that correct?

MR. MILLET: Yes, there are. Passaic

County. Patterson. All of the same methodology;

it has to be treated holistically, in one piece.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay. So what you're telling me is that the other

municipalities who are doing this work are doing it in a connected way as opposed to breaking it out piecemeal?

MR. MILLET: Yes.

back for a second to this concept of having these meetings and having all the contractors on board.

Let's -- can you just - is there importance to have each of the contractors at the table so that each one knows what the other contractor is doing even if their work isn't starting yet?

MR. MILLET: Absolutely. They have to understand where everything is going to come in, where it's going to interface. And the project management team of Perni ARCADIS and Hazen and Sawyer will be running those meetings, like I said, multiple times a week to make sure all of the contractors understand the entire work product that's going on at the plant.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In terms of procedure, a lot of times we do much smaller projects - each one of us in our districts have been involved - and almost always it doesn't move along as quickly as possible. Are there some

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through.

roadblocks in the way of getting this done even if we pass this bonding tomorrow?

MR. MILLET: There always is. You have your county bidding process, which is part of a law that it has to go out for a certain period of time. Once you review it, it has to go through an approval process - it goes through the county attorney's office, through the insurance division and the county attorney's office and then it moves through to the legislature. Once it's at the legislature, it then has to go NIFA for financial approval, then it comes back, and then you can execute a notice to proceed. Those can sometimes be quite lengthy. NIFA only meets once a month and it's not just NIFA. If the contract hits the county attorney's room and they're on something, you don't know if it will take a day, a week or a week and a half to get something

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I have no further questions. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Good afternoon. Thank you very much, Presiding Officer.

Mr. Millet, I'm just curious with the electrical distribution. The wires then will be - the electrical lines will be water tight. Were they not water tight beforehand?

MR. MILLET: This plant was built 1949, rebuilt in 82, and they had an open pipe system. I don't think anyone ever thought there was going to be such a flood as there was. Unfortunately, that flood is not going to be the abnormal but the normal now. So the new technology that there is today creates these water tight moments for all the feeder cables.

tightness be based on - I know that, like with LIPA, when they put electrical lines underground they design it so if there is a flood or if there is a lot of water that the electric cables would not be compromised.

MR. MILLET: Correct. We're looking at different types of technology now.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thinking back, after the storm, I know those of us whose houses were flooded, you know LIPA came in, of course the power was shut off and we were not allowed to get

our power turned on, back until LIPA certified that it would be safe once we put the - to put the meters back in because of the possibility of electric fires. People whose cars may have been - the water may have hit the bottom of the car, were totaled by insurance companies because of the fear of the fact that salt water seems to travel up wires. I'm not an engineer so I'm just going by what I have heard.

Considering the fact that you are stating that the electrical wires that are in the plant right now that are being used, there could be a possibility that they're being compromised, that salt water can be moving up and can end up causing problems. I guess we saw it a couple of weeks ago when you lost power to the plant and we had a lot of effluence that was discharged into Reynold's Channel. Am I correct?

MR. MILLET: Yes. Daily the electrical system is compromised.

LEGISLATOR FORD: My concern then would be because we saw homes that went on fire, because when they turned the power on the wires were shorted out. Is there any concern that this

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 can happen in that plant?

MR. MILLET: It certainly could happen. We would hope that it wouldn't but it certainly could happen. Salt is a very corrosive material when you're dealing with wiring. It's really dangerous to keep it in the condition that it's in.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So, in essence, because I know that we had a fire at the sewage treatment plant on the night of Sandy, that if we don't act upon this that quite possibly we could be putting the workers in danger.

MR. MILLET: You're putting the workers in danger and you're putting the general public at a health and safety problem if the plant fails.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Are you aware that we're doing a - that we're conducting a TMDL study for the Western Bays group? When we look at the impact that the sewage treatment plant and the discharge has had on our western bays, we already know that the water is greatly impaired. My concern is that with the upgrades and the rebuilding of the new plant, you know if we can

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

get the money to do it, would then effectively help the condition of the western bays. Would the effluence be, for want of a better word, a better quality?

MR. MILLET: When you do the upgrades and code upgrades you're going to get a better effluent just out of that, on top of what we have now.

and I know that this is a lot to ask. When you do the upgrades and you rebuild the plant, we know that nitrogen happens to be a big component and has really been the devil in the details with all of this. Are you looking at, with all of these plans that you're doing, that you are going to address the nitrogen issue at the plant or do you have to wait for the study to be completed?

MR. MILLET: No. That's already inside

LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay. So once the money is funded we would be able to then commence with this work and basically help in the efforts to restore the western bays.

MR. MILLET: Yes.

the scope of some of our work.

don't think people realize what's so unsettling about all of this is that the sewage, the effluence does not leave Reynold's Channel. It was designed years ago that the tide would bring the sewage out into the ocean by a normal occurrence of the tides. But it's been scarfed, and a lot of the effluence that should have been dissipated and leave Reynold's Channel is still there. So we are at a critical issue, especially on health, but obviously also for the safety when we think of the potential of fire.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you. Rich, we've been talking a lot about technical aspects of the consequences of doing things together, and I get that. I'd like to concentrate a little bit on the impacts of doing things and not doing things, on the people, not only the people of the entire southwestern Nassau but the people who especially who live in the general vicinity, I'm talking about the communities of Bay Park, East Rockaway, Island Park and so forth, Oceanside,

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 that live near there.

Now, as you know, you've done magnificent work trying to get this plant actually into shape after many, many years of neglect. These people were just starting to get some relief, the people who live nearby. What's this delay going to do?

MR. MILLET: It will continue to have the plant operating, even though we're operating within permit right now, we're kind of operating on a shoe string, some bubblegum, and some tape. Eventually the bubblegum and the tape is going to wear out.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: In other words, in terms of not providing - not only the electrical system, but.

MR. MILLET: I'm talking about the regular components of the plant.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Right. We're going to continue to experience terrible odors.

MR. MILLET: We have done better with the odors. But, yes, the odors, unfortunately because now you have temporary units working where they used to work inside of buildings.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Tell us about the

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 noise.

MR. MILLET: Right now -

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Yes.

MR. MILLET: Right now we have a 10.5 temporary meg generator system working outside the plant in replacement of our engines. I would assume that having gone down there quite often, three, four times a week, I would not want to listen to those engines day and night.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Right. Isn't it true that this particular plant, the Bay Park plant has people living in unusually close proximity as compared with others?

MR. MILLET: I'd say less than a football field away.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So these people are really suffering because of the delay. Every day that goes by these people are suffering, isn't that true?

MR. MILLET: Absolutely.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Right. Now the other thing is -- I'm kind of jumping ahead a little bit, forgive me. We're going to be considering the mitigation as well. If we go ahead and do

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 79
2	some of this work and don't do some of the
3	preventive work, are we not just risking throwing
4	everything into well, into the sewer?
5	MR. MILLET: If you go through the
6	repairs and you don't do the mitigation that's
7	recommended by the engineers, then the next storm
8	you'll have broken equipment again and inundated
9	equipment again.
LO	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: We'll have it broken
L1	again. Will the federal government reimburse us
L2	for that again?
L3	MR. MILLET: We'd have to really
L 4	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: If we didn't do the
L5	mitigation work -
L6	MR. MILLET: More than likely their
L7	insurance people would have a very hard time with
L8	paying us again.
L9	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: How about even doing
20	it now? Will we have a hard time getting the
21	money back from them now for some of the work if
22	we don't do work that's going to prevent it from
23	happening again?

MR. MILLET: They expect us to do

mitigation as we do the projects.

MR. MILLET: Yes.

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We received last meeting.

MR. MILLET: Yes.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Now I just have a couple of questions.

And when that did come up to a vote, I voted for it and I plan on voting for it again.

I just want to make sure I understand how these projects are going to go forward.

We went to NIFA last week, correct?

MR. MILLET: Correct.

million that was approved, in my understanding, are for projects, to fully fund projects, each of which had an existing project number. So pump station rehabilitation, Bay Park and Cedar Creek digester rehabilitation, wastewater facility improvements, wastewater facility odor control improvements, wastewater facility security improvements, storm restoration, Bay Park various buildings and equipment modification, and Bay Park preliminary treatment modification. That's the whole list by project number, that had existing project numbers that we were adding

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	additional money to, in accordance with the
3	chart, for a total of 262 million, correct?
4	MR. MILLET: Correct.
5	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How much of that
6	did we ask for approval from NIFA? Because I was
7	surprised to learn none yet.
8	MR. MILLET: We asked for all of it,
9	from what I understand.
10	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No. I have the
11	report from NIFA, just last week. There was
12	about 16 million requested for capital projects
13	in total, 16.9, and none of it was part of this
14	262 in borrowing -
15	MR. MILLET: Our request went through
16	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: and that comes
17	from Evan Cohen to the NIFA directors.
18	MR. MILLET: I know we put our request
19	into the administration. I don't know the filing
20	that they made with NIFA. But we put the 262 up
21	to the administration.
22	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. Well,
23	which administration?
24	MR. MILLET: The one that I work for,
25	the county executive's office.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You're speaking for the administration.

MR. MILLET: I don't handle NIFA. I handle a few things, but I don't handle NIFA.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So my question to you is why did it not -- it's important. It has to move fast. We got the 262. You know I feel very strongly about these projects.

MR. MILLET: Absolutely. I will find out.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And I don't know why we haven't asked NIFA for the approval.

MR. MILLET: I will find out.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: My second question is - and I went back through each of these projects because the 357 million that was previously authorized was an item in the PowerPoint to say that the authorized but unissued 357 is basically a fallacy. Two hundred fifty is from old expired projects where the bond ordinance has lapsed. So I went back over each project that we just added money to - and if we still have those projects. Obviously they haven't

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	expired or lapsed, correct?
3	MR. MILLET: What project in particular
4	are you speaking?
5	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The five I just
6	mentioned or the I'm sorry. The eight
7	projects that were on the repair and mitigation
8	capital program list and the eight projects that
9	were fully funded by the 262 million.
10	MR. MILLET: Well, 35121, which is 120
11	million, was never funded. It's a new piece, so
12	we'll take that out right out of the gate.
13	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. You're
14	right about that one.
15	MR. MILLET: 3P311 is a new project on
16	pump station rehabilitations, so we'll take that
17	53 million out.
18	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's not -
19	that's not a new project.
20	MR. MILLET: But it's new to pump
21	station rehabilitation.
22	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: See, this is what
23	I don't understand. Because I have Bay Park
24	influent pumping system upgrade, \$22 million

authorized from 2010 and that project was

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 supposed to be constructed and completed by

3 December 30, 2011. That was the schedule in 10.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ MILLET: As we discussed the last time, it will be completed in April 2014.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I'm wondering why we didn't get it done as scheduled for 2011.

MR. MILLET: As I explained to you three meetings ago, there were problems with the pump and motor manufacturer, with the shop drawings they had and it probably took about seven months to clear up because you don't --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Mr. Millet - MR. MILLET: want mistakes.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Even in 2012, which was passed, the capital plan in November 2012, it says that the work would be completed in November 2012. So the information that we're going with, obviously we're not making the deadlines. The concern that I'm having is we should be doing hearings, and I have no less than 12 requests for status hearings, where the legislature shouldn't punt what we're doing. We are the legislature. We should be having hearings, whether it's in public works or in the

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 full committee.

I keep voting to authorize this money. I want you to get the work done.

I'm going to vote yes on the 320 because it's that important. But just as important in giving you the money is making sure the work gets done. We just authorized \$262 million for, in part, the five projects I put on here, each of which should have been complete. The odor control improvement should have been done March 1, 2011, according to the 2010 capital plan.

According to the 2012 capital plan, odor control at Cedar Creek and Bay Park was scheduled to be completed, still at the end of 2012. Then, for 13 we were saying it would be done July 1, 2013. Obviously none of that's true. But I'm still voting to give you the money to get it done.

So my concern is that we need hearings to make sure that these continue to get done. And these projects, gravity belt thickeners, same thing, scheduled to be done 11 and still isn't done. What could we do to make sure we stick with the schedule this time?

MR. MILLET: We continue to stick with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

schedules. Construction is a fluid movement, as well as design. We'll take odor control. were supposed to have a series of meetings. public wanted longer meetings and more input; we adjust to what the public wanted. We met with them multiple times to make sure we could satisfy all the different parties. So moments like that, being in government, it's not like being in a private business where you're just going to go and do it. You have to listen to people, listen to the public, they live near the plant. have to be able to adjust. And schedules in a book that are written a year ago, sometimes they're not up to date because you can't update a stagnant book.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Rich, I'm not worried about moments. I'm worried about years right now. I'm looking at preliminary treatment facilities at Bay Park, screening, including grit facility, and this was one of the projects that we have right here, the 3B120 and the 3B19. That would have included ammonia removal, grit removal, new screens, and it's scheduled to be done back in 2010, was scheduled to do in 11. By

12, it was scheduled to be done in 12. But here we are. And we just, last meeting, fully fund with 262. I want to make sure those get done.

But I have another question.

The 316 that you're asking for now - I told you again and again I'm going to vote yes.

But if the 262, 262 million has fully funded the eight projects listed on the sheet that we talked about, and it comes from the capital program repair mitigation that your contractor gave us last meeting. I believe that there's still \$357 million that had been authorized but not yet issued that we can use, and you're going to tell me know, correct?

MR. MILLET: 120 million of that is for pellitization of plants. Would you like to pellitize at the plants?

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You know what?

There is not 120 million for pellitization. I

never voted for pellitization. It was never in

any of our capital projects. I got my start 22

years ago fighting pellitization. There is no

such authorized project. No one on this body

ever voted for a pellitization project. And if

2.5

I'm mistaken, guys raise your hand. There's no such project. There is \$357 million authorized but unissued, not a single cent of that is for pellitization. It's never been in one of our capital project. I defy you to show me the project number or the project.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ MILLET: It was in the presentation they gave you to break --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But that was fictitious.

MR. MILLET: Yours is fictitious
LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We don't have

that in our capital plan.

MR. MILLET: then.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No, it isn't.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ MILLET: It's easy to say that the R numbers are fictitious.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. Let's look what the county executive said to Fitch, S&P and the rating agency, and J.P. Morgan signed off on June 20, 2013, when we went to the market, when we went to the market for bonding. We have to present to the market on figure 14, summary of bonds authorized by unissued. June 20, certified

by Maragos as our comptroller and by J.P. Morgan as the underwriter. And it says sewer and storm water, \$357.8 million. And it says about figure 14, the authorized amounts in figure 14 refer to amounts for which the county has adopted ordinances authorizing the issuance of debt for capital projects and other purposes pursuant to Local Finance Law but has not yet issued debt pursuant to such authority. Such authorization expires ten years after adoption of the approving bond ordinance in which it's been issued.

The 400 million, most of which goes back to projects that are now in the 262, which we've reauthorized, and I agree with every one of those projects. And the increased expenditures, I agree with that as well. But each of these projects came up in 08, 09, 10, and 11 or really 08, 09, and 10, the capital bond ordinances for the 10 passed in I believe it was April or May 2010. So those authorizations are clearly - they wouldn't even begin to expire until 2018.

There is no pellitization in our capital project book. I think we have the 357. Let's not fight about it. If we have it, let's use it

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 for the 319.

I'm going to give you more. I'm voting for it. I want to get it done. But if it doesn't go through there's 357 on top of the 262. The 262 fully funds the existing projects plus two new ones. I want to get to this money. Let's do it.

I'm telling you that 357 - you're saying
I'll look through this. 94 million represent
actual but unissued. So we still have that 94
million. 250 is from old expired projects. I
looked through it. These projects really started
in the 2008 capital plan because we were trying
to do \$700 million worth of upgrades in seven
years.

So I'm voting for you today, Rich. I want you to be able to do the electrical. But if we have authorized money that's unissued that we can use because it's Sewer and Storm Water Authority, let's use it and let's get the project started, just like you say.

And I do want an answer as to why we didn't go to NIFA last week with the 262. Can I can an answer to that?

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	MR. MILLET: I will find an answer for
3	you.
4	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator -
6	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Just very
7	quickly. Mr. Millet, am I incorrect in saying
8	that authorizations are program specific?
9	MR. MILLET: Correct. Only for projects
10	that are in the PPU.
11	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: And the projects
12	that are being considered now are not the
13	projects that were approved back when Mr.
14	Denenberg -
15	MR. MILLET: They are not. They are
16	different projects.
17	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We can amend it
18	with ten votes.
19	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Stop, David.
20	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I'll give you an
21	eleventh.
22	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Dunne.
23	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Good afternoon, Mr.
24	Millet. This morning on the news I saw that New
25	York City has shutdown subways, some of their

astronomical. And if we did pass this today, we

Absolutely

LEGISLATOR DUNNE:

24

25

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

could get people who work for the IBEW,

International Brotherhood of Electrical Unions,

we could get those union workers to work fixing

the electrical system right away. Is that

correct?

MR. MILLET: We could. There would be plenty of work at the plant.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So we're not only holding up - we're spending a million dollars a month, we're not putting people to work that are desperately in search of work. It just doesn't make sense voting this down.

We can say there's money here and there and for every object and every project they can say that there's money here and there. When the youth board comes in, when the people from Gateway come in, oh, we're going to give the money to you. When people from Cornell come, we're going to give the money to you. You know what? Where's it all going to come from?

We have an opportunity right now to get the money to take care of the electrical system that's been totally corroded because of the saltwater influx at Bay Park. We have an

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 opportunity to take care of it right now, put people to work, and we're finding a million excuses to divert the attention elsewhere and not do it. That's not fair to the people that live in the area, that live in Nassau County, that live on Long Island that need the work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's all I wanted to say, Madam Chair. CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. Millet, thank You may stay there so you can answer some you. questions. We have some speakers.

The first speaker regarding Bay Park is Rob Weltner. Where are you? I saw you somewhere. Didn't I see Rob?

MR. WELTNER: Good afternoon again, everybody. I spent the morning at the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant. I spent from eleven o'clock to twelve o'clock at the democrats press conference, and I agree with the democrats that we should have transparency. But Rome is burning. All you have to do is be down at the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant.

Anybody who voted to not fund this plant - Mr. Abrahams, have you been down to visit the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant? Mr. Abrahams,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WELTNER: Anyway. To kind of follow what Mr. Millet was talking about, as far as the electrical system is concerned, to rewire that plant, as an electrician for 25 years for the IBEW, to try to rewire that plant while it is in full operation is an extremely difficult task. I would kind of say it's like trying to build a power plant or the Space Shuttle. You have to let the plant run. You have to pull out all of the old corroded wires, all the old splices, pull in all new wires, conduits, make sure everything is connected, all while the plant is process 40 percent or 550,000 people's waste every single day.

I know we hear a lot of talk up there from both sides, but talk is cheap. The plant, like I said, it's running on bubblegum and duct tape. It's working outside of itself. The time for bickering and politicking is over.

I'm going to call on the democrats to pick four people and meet with the county executive and try to hammer out some kind of solution because this obviously is not going to work. It's not working. So I'd like you guys to

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 try to get together - and not like next week, I'm talking very, very soon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You wanted to be elected. God bless you. I wouldn't want your job, truthfully, because then you got people like me coming here and giving you a hard time. The only reason I'm giving you a hard time is because there's a huge problem, there's an 800 pound gorilla swimming out in the bay killing our wildlife, killing the economy down there. So it's very, very important, and I hope we can stress this to you all.

The plant needs to be mitigated, so we can't do the work and then have it flood again. Everything has to go into these projects.

When you go to remodel your house - I heard people trying to equate this to remodeling your house. This is by no means a house. This is a living, breathing plant that runs 24 hours a day. You would not do a project in your house unless you had the money to pay for it. What are you going to put in a half a door, half a window? You gotta do the whole thing at once. You can't do piecemeal. It's a very delicate process that

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

you're going to be going through. So I would

hope that you could all kind of work this out.

And I'm serious about getting together, not just at this meeting here in the public, behind closed doors with the county executive, whoever you gotta meet with, to get this hammered out. I'm begging you. Please. This is not some kind of grandstanding or a joke. There's a lot of people who count on you guys doing the right thing.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired, sir.

MR. WELTNER: We're calling on you to do the right thing.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Next speaker is James Ruocco. Is that correct?

MR. RUOCCO: Hello. My name is Jim
Ruocco. I'm a lifetime resident of Nassau
County, an active member of Operation Splash, an
environmentalist, and I own a junkyard. I'll
give you a little idea that there is a way that
you can do two things at once.

I've spoken at this podium several times

something, sir.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	MR. RUOCCO: I've been in there four
3	times since Sandy and I'm just a resident.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And so have we,
5	sir. So have we. You don't poll from that seat.
6	MR. RUOCCO: You need to be qualified as
7	to what you're voting on.
8	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Sir, sir. Let me
9	tell you something -
10	MR. RUOCCO: And I have not heard
11	anything qualified from your mouth every time
12	I've been here.
13	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Of course you
14	haven't. Of course you haven't.
15	MR. RUOCCO: It's bipartisan -
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. Ruocco,
17	please.
18	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you. To
19	poll each legislator from that position, we
20	respond to Nassau County voters, not to one
21	person.
22	MR. RUOCCO: You see these cameras?
23	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're polled
24	MR. RUOCCO: You are being polled by
25	Nassau County right now when you're talking to

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

Island Park Chapter of Splash.

First, I want to open up by thanking Mr. Becker. It was a very standup thing to open up this morning, appreciate that.

Basically, I had a couple of guys coming down from my neighborhood; one's father's in the hospital. Chris Fabris (phonetic) owns a bay house right by the cement block in Reynold's Channel. He's witnessing a white cloud around that area, around that Channel and we don't know what that is. It's never been there before. The only thing that we could possibly think is maybe it's the high amounts of chlorine that the plaint is using to keep the bacteria levels low.

I brought the Newsday this morning. I don't know if any of you read the Newsday this morning, page A8. It's talking about the flounder population.

We're in real bad shape. I've listened to some great testimony this morning about Cornell and how we're able to fund things through business.

The south shore is, I've got to tell you, is destroyed. Captains of our charter boats,

instead of having 150 people on the boats, they have 17, sometimes less than 15. They're not catching anything. Most of the time their patrons, their reasoning for not coming on the boat, they said your water is dirty, your water is polluted, and there's no fish, we're going out east. How do we fund Cornell and other, you know, the youth groups and stuff like that if 11 percent, I think the woman said as far as revenue. I have a feeling that we're not going to hit those markers this year because the way the south shore is.

Restaurants opened back up in Bay Park and East Rockaway, The Fishery. Who is going to go eat a hot lobster roll when the water, please come down. Mr. Kevan, if you get a chance, I'll go with you personally. I just want you to -- I won't even take you to the plant. I'll just take you to the surrounding waters and you can see it with your eyes. You wouldn't want to eat there. I know all of you are married. You go out.

Mr. Solages, I grew up in Floral Park.

My first house was in Elmont. My brother still

lives in Elmont. I went and talked to some of my

or the clams.

old neighbors and stuff. They're not really in touch with the water that much, but we all are and that's why we live here. I'm sure you bring your wife down to Freeport once in a while, a night on the water for dinner. Who wants to eat a hot lobster roll when it smells? Or who wants to eat to eat the fluke when you know it's contaminated

The crab catch right now is down twothirds from last year. This is our -- this is
who we are. This is our whole economy here. We
gotta do something here. I beg you, please.

This is just not an environmental impact, this is an economic impact to us all. We're trying to get out of our own way from Sandy. I want to put my kids back in the water someday. I want my neighbors to get back home. Thirty percent of my community is still not home. They want to come back. But if we don't give them something to come back to, they're not coming back. Then we're going to lose that gentleman from the camp.

We've got some of the smartest people in the world here on Long Island. We won World War

I II here. We got the most beautiful resources.

The aquifer was brought up here today. All this

4 | important stuff. We gotta stop. We have an

5 | opportunity today to cross the line, to do the

6 | right thing, take a step forward. I know it's

electric season and all that garbage. I don't

8 | care. I want my neighborhood back.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired, sir.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much for coming down and expressing, you know, being so eloquent in what you have to say. You and I, you know, with our neighborhoods having been so devastated by Sandy, and we can't imagine that it's nine months already.

I know that I read that you are going to be representing Island Park as one of the leaders for CRZ, which has been established by Governor Cuomo. And as I understand it, one of the issues that you must tackle is as you look to your community to rebuild it, you must make every effort also to look to see how you can mitigate and to make sure that this doesn't happen again.

Am I correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ASHER: Absolutely. That was straight from Governor Cuomo's mouth.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay. So my guess would be as we look at our sewage treatment plant the Governor may be very well looking to see what efforts we are going to make sure that the sewer treatment plant doesn't get ruined again or destroyed again by a storm.

MR. ASHER: The way I take the CRZ program defined by Governor Cuomo, take Freeport for example. Twenty plus years ago the government and our state poured tons and tons of money, CBGB money, all kinds of money into that area to revitalize it. It's been working wonderful for 20 years. Now it's gone. It got destroyed. So the government looks at that as we wasted our money. So Governor Cuomo wants us to build bigger, better, and stronger so we can get longevity out of the funding. So that \$25 million is just the beginning. But if we don't -- in my zone. If we don't spend that \$25 million properly, they're not going to fund us anymore because they don't want to waste any more money. So we've got to do things right this time. We've

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 got to cross boundaries.

He wants us to stop fighting. We got to cross barriers and borderlines. I've got my East Rockaway friends, we're all working together even though East Rockaway is not in my zone. We're working on a regional - because we're all together, and we've got to move forward that way.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I think Hurricane
Sandy taught us that we, as a community,
especially on the south shore, whether or not we would even extend it to Lindenhurst - but even
with Massapequa right through Freeport into
Island Park, Long Beach, Oceanside, in the Bay
Park area, all of us suffered as to the storm.
And we forgot about our neighborhoods and said
you know what? We, as a region all have to work
together and rebuild together.

I just want to make a comment in regard to, like, even when you look at even the devastation of this storm and how it brought everyone back. And we are nervous. There are a lot of us, as Tommy said 30 percent of Island Park is still not back in their homes. I have areas in Long Beach where 30 percent of the west

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

110 end, possibly 30 percent of the canal areas, not counting over by the hospital, people are just not in their homes. The houses are still vacant. Some of them have been torn down.

We are on edge, all of us. We are very, very concerned about our future, the future of our neighborhoods. There are many people still trying to come to grips with what has happened in their lives. They don't know where they're going They are displaced. They're not back to live. in their neighborhoods. They're not back in their homes. We're now looking at a storm time coming ahead of us. We're now in hurricane season, and we can't help but think to ourselves what's going to happen this year? Even those that did rebuild. Is it going to have the money that has been wasted, that all of a sudden we're going to be flooded again and we're going to lose the homes that we have? And God forbid if that happens, I'll tell you right now, the south shore will be a ghost town, there are many people who have already said.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through hell and we are still living through hell. And what we are asking of all of our elected officials is to walk a mile in our shoes and see what it's like, to know what it was like last year not to be able to use our toilets, not to be able to have water, not to be able to live in our homes. It is something that really stays with you for the rest of your life.

Tommy, as we know, we know that we all have to work together. And there are times that even with us, you know, even in the small communities that we have, we could have all stayed within our own - I'm only worried about Island Park mentality, I'm only worried about Oceanside mentality, but all of us said if we're going to fight, we're going to fight for everybody.

And I thank you and the previous speakers for coming up and advocating for the people of the south shore.

MR. ASHER: I'm a pretty tough bird, you know, New York City fireman, retired, all that stuff, and I don't cry much. This issue is bringing tears to my eyes. I just hope you do

Full Legislature -8-5-13 the right thing.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you, Tommy.

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Tommy,
before you go can I just ask you one favor? I
want you to understand we all live on an Island.
We're all together. I respect the fact that you
are passionate and you are intelligent; I get
that from you. And I know you're a parent and I
got all that.

The way this works, we gave \$262 million, which really could get things started. Can you ask them, can you ask our administration, can you put pressure on them to go to NIFA for more than just \$16 million. We could have already had all 262 at least lined up. That's all I'm asking you to do. I know we're going to have a little discrepancy.

Look. I still think the 357 million could be voted on, ten votes today, and we could do the electrical part. I actually believe what David Denenberg said. I think we have that money already, so why do we have to go out and borrow more?

million that is there. I really believe that with

25

a small little vote from us we could allocate that for the electrical system. And I will be the happiest person in the world to vote yes for that.

MR. ASHER: I'm sure we're going to get on top of that. But as far as what Mr. Denenberg brought up, the \$357 million is going to be there. This is not done. The money, the 262 that was allotted and the money that's going to be voted on today, we'll find out about that and we'll work with that. But there are going to be more projects after this. Okay. What I'm trying —

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Right. And we've always said we'll support it.

MR. ASHER: Please, Ms. Whitton. What I'm trying to say is, believe me, we're up by you too. Operation Splash is working for your community also. This is not just a south shore operation. Okay. We will work towards that, and we will get answers towards that. But please don't vote no today. If that 357 is still sitting there, okay, just say it is, there are going to be more projects after this. This is a

too. And we'll make sure that that money is spent if it's there, I promise you.

it's there. We have time to spend that money

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can I just back up a little bit with this? There's a couple of things.

Number one. If we - let's say we hold on to the 357 and then we borrow another 300, what might not seem like a big thing, is the more we borrow - we already owe so much money. Our bond rating, our interest is so high already. What's better to do - what we did is the 262 will cover what anyone can do right now, today, as long as we get NIFA approval, that's the only thing stopping. But wait, just let me finish one thing.

Even the 262, we're going to get some of that back from FEMA which we'll ask, you know, to borrow less in the future.

And with this 357, if this is ready to go right now that means no borrowing; that's what we got to focus on right now.

2 MR. ASHER: Let me ask you -

use the 357 to pay for the electrical and we get this 262 going so we can get FEMA reimbursement, listen, do you think you have any problem coming back to us for another project and another project? It's just the steps we have to take for it to work.

MR. ASHER: This is not the one component, okay, that you --

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I understand.

MR. ASHER: I understand the fight. But this is not the one component. Just think of it in layman's terms. If you have your car, you bring it to the shop. You have an electric problem and you have a fuel injector problem.

You can fix your fuel injector problem; you're still not going to be able to start the car.

You know what? I really believe - and I'm looking at you as a real person to real person right now. We have enough money to do what you're up here asking us to do. We have enough

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I know.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ASHER: Listen. The only problem, because we all see it, the people back here, we all see it, this argument is going to go back and

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	forth, back and forth like it has for the last 25
3	years.
4	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: We need you
5	_
6	MR. ASHER: I understand.
7	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: who is a
8	person who has the right intent
9	MR. ASHER: Believe me, we're going to -
10	_
11	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: you have
12	the right intent. You want this done. So you
13	need to say it.
14	MR. ASHER: What I'm trying to say is
15	that money, if it's there, that money, we have a
16	\$2 billion project -
17	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I
18	understand -
19	MR. ASHER: It's going to be used.
20	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: You're
21	mixing - no. We're ready right now to do the 262
22	and we're ready right now to move this 357 to do
23	electrical. We need someone like you and your
24	groups who are independent to put pressure on
25	everybody to go ahead and do that. Because you

2 know what? That could be done today.

Not only that, as far as future, we are all telling you from day one, whatever the number is - \$2 billion is a little bit higher than what I've heard. I've heard between one billion and two billion. We are here. We know how important it is. I have kids, too. I'm on the north shore but I have the sewage treatment plant in Glen Cove, yes, and that's been a big project. My dad started that 20 years ago, cleaning that up. The bottom line is I've lived this forever. And I love to bring the kids to the south shore and we love to use the ocean, who doesn't?

We're all with you and we're all willing to do what we have to do. But the smartest, quickest way, which you seem to be that kind of person, is to get this NIFA approval as quick as possible. To only put in 16 million, I don't understand it. I'm shocked that they didn't put in for the full amount. To only put in for 16 million and to not use money that's already all set and has to be used for the sewer department and could buy the electrical equipment tomorrow

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	doesn't make any sense.
3	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you, Mr.
4	Asher. Thank you, Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
5	Mr. Millet, I need clarification here,
6	please. I think it needs to be addressed. We
7	keep talking about \$357 million. Please talk to
8	us.
9	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Madam Chair?
10	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. Nicolello.
11	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have Deputy
12	County Executive Sullivan here.
13	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Just
14	a couple of points, a couple of points of
15	clarification.
16	The bonds that were approved I believe
17	two or three weeks back for 262 million, those
18	are for specific projects which, to my
19	understanding, are out to bid. You can't spend
20	that money on other items once you pass a bond
21	ordinance, so that would be -
22	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: That's not
23	been suggested. That has not been suggested.

not interrupt.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Would you please

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: That has
3	not been suggested. We're talking about -
4	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: At
5	that
6	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr. Sullivan -
7	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: The 262 is
8	for the projects that we allocated for at the
9	last meeting.
10	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: You
11	just said electrical.
12	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: No, no, no.
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
14	DeRiggi-Whitton
15	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: That
16	electrical is not
17	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr. Sullivan -
18	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: from that.
19	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: there are very
20	specific questions here. Number one. With
21	respect to the \$262 million that was authorized
22	by this legislature to go for bonding, was there
23	a reason why it didn't go to the last NIFA
24	meeting?
25	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: The

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

first thing that happens when you get the
approval, that's like an authorization to spend
that money, then the projects go out to bid.

There is the process obviously of the bidding and then you get the specific dollar amounts per project with the winning bids that come back. At that point in time, you can go out, identify the projects with the specific funding, with the specific vendor and bond that way.

NIFA met, the board met July 30, so I don't believe there would have been time to go out, solicit bids, do all the work that is required for that process. NIFA also wants to see this weeks in advance of their board, weeks in advance. So the project list that was submitted was submitted to NIFA in advance of this legislature ever convening.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I understand that point, that they need weeks in advance to prepare for these things. Again, is it enough for us to go to them and say the legislature has approved bonding? Can we just drop that at their doorstep or are there other steps? And those other steps are take it slowly - what are the other steps you

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

have to do before you get to NIFA?

want to see the specific projects that have been bid. You need specific pricing. It isn't just we think a project is going to come at this level, let's borrowing \$18 million, we think that's a good estimate. They want to know that there is a specific project that has been run through, that there is an identified cost, a contractor in place, that this is all lined up up front.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So you have a contractor in place, among other things.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Yeah.

A lot of time NIFA approves the contracts before they approve the funding.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay. So the bottom line is it didn't go to NIFA last week because it wasn't ripe to go to NIFA and they wouldn't have entertained it.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: It wasn't even close. I don't think bids have come back to DPW at that point.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Secondly, this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proposed - promoted here that we could still do that. We'll put an amendment in and we'll vote ten to nine and therefore we can do that. Is that possible?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: You'd

₁	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	
	need a new bond ordinance appropriating refunds
3	to that. So then it would be a super majority.
4	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It would be a
5	super majority.
6	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Yes.
7	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay. New bond
8	ordinance?
9	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Yeah.
10	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The bottom line -
11	with respect to the bonding itself and the
12	authorization, what is that governed by? Is it
13	governed by something at the state level? Is it
14	governed by state law?
15	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Local
16	Finance Law.
17	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: New York State
18	Local Finance Law.
19	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Yes.
20	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And the County
21	can't, because it wants to do that or it thinks
22	it's urgent to do something can't vary that law,
23	it's a matter of state law.
24	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN:
25	Correct.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And in general or, as you just said, specifically, if something is authorized for one project, bonding is authorized for one project and that money's been borrowed, you cannot just shift that money to a second project.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: That's correct.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And you cannot just vote by a ten to nine vote of the legislature to do that.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: That is my understanding, yes.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So then I would suggest to the legislators over there who are saying all we need is a ten/nine vote and they will gladly give us the vote to do that, I suggest that that's not possible, that you come with us and vote for with these projects today the way it's supposed to be done, according to New York State Finance Law.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: It was, in all deference to the Chair, it was an answer to my thank you.

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator

3 Denenberg, to ahead.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Mr. Sullivan DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN:

Legislator.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I'm looking at a PowerPoint from last week that said there really isn't 357 in authorized but unissued sewer and storm water authority bonds. But I'm looking at a bond offering from June 20 which says, and I'm sure your office signed off on this, figure 14 says \$357.8 million in sewer and storm water authorized but unissued bonds. And it says right here that the authorization expires ten years after adoption of the approved bond ordinance. So if this was expired, it wouldn't be on this So the chart says \$357.8 million authorized but unissued sewer and storm water bonds. We had a PowerPoint last week saying there's really only 92, \$250 million expired. Some people talk about a pellitization project that, for the life of me, I know hasn't been ratified or in any of our capital plans. only time any money was allocated for

₁	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Right.
3	What does a RAN have to do with sewer bonds? A
4	RAN is a cash flow borrowing.
5	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Well, would you
6	want Figure 14 and the RAN to be accurate?
7	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Yes,
8	I would.
9	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Would you check
10	and make sure it's accurate?
11	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: I'm
12	sure it is. Was that -
13	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So if I'm reading
14	_
15	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN:
16	before the bond counsel people review it, you are
17	stating that something, we were going out with
18	357 million worth of bonds -
19	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's not what
20	I'm saying.
21	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Yes,
22	you did.
23	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I'm saying that
24	Figure 14 -
25	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: The

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	issuance was, like, 240, if I recall. So your
3	numbers -
4	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
5	Denenberg, let him finish.
6	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. Let me -
7	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: are
8	wrong and it was a -
9	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let's be clear to
10	the audience. What I'm saying is that Figure 14,
11	which you said has to be accurate, says that
12	there's \$357.8 million of authorized but unissued
13	sewer and storm water debt - bonds. The answer
14	isn't so what. Because two weeks ago we were
15	told that's not real. And if anyone is that
16	accurate or not?
17	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: What
18	was your question again, sir? You're looking at
19	a figure in an offering. There's lots of data in
20	an offering. The offering -
21	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Would you
22	correct that figure if it was inaccurate?
23	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: If
24	you turn to the front cover -
25	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Just answer the

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 question, sir.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: the front cover, which everyone can see right here says \$153 million of revenue anticipation notes, 2013 Series A which are due March 31, 2014, and the second is \$55 million which is due April 30, 2014. So if you look at that, off the top of my head that's 208,150,000. So that is the issuance.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No, no. Let me ask you again -

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: So I don't understand your question.

actually answer the question. Figure 14 for this issuance that went to the market, Figure 14 is something that needs to be accurate and it is a summary of bond authorized but unissued, correct or not?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN:

That's what it says, correct.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Do you believe that to be accurate?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DENION: Legislator Denenberg, as the change in capital projects, there are legal issues with that the way the law is written. It talks about changing the capital budget. By

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: These projects are in the 2013 capital budget. Six out of eight of them are in the 2013 capital budget. We just fully funded those projects with the 262. That was all on the spreadsheet. Fully funded it. So why can't we amend the capital plan to include the electrical work? Fully include it and use authorized but unissued bonds with a vote to amend it, whether it's 13 or 10. You already hear some of the democrats saying use that money, we'll give you the votes.

MR. DENION: You're saying the old bond ordinance is for that project?

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Why not? They're

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

matches.

good for ten years, I just read the document.

MR. DENION: I understand. But it has to be defined in the capital bond ordinances but for particular projects. So you're talking about going and trying to amend back -- you're trying to tie a new project to an old project that's in an old bond ordinance, and I'm not sure that

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: First of all, it's all sewer and storm water authority, it's not outside authorization.

Second of all, we just changed capital plans and fully funded, with a new bond ordinance, projects which were - six out of eight which were at least partially, if not mostly, funded from earlier bond ordinances. We just did it last week.

MR. DENION: We were not funded to authorized in a prior capital budget.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No, it was.

MR. DENION: I agree with you. I'm saying they were authorized in a prior capital budget but they did not have a sufficient bond ordinance, so -

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: They did have sufficient bond ordinances. We added to the projects. The projects became more expense this year, in part because we added mitigation to it.

MR. DENION: I'm not sure. If they went into the bond ordinance, they need a new bond offering.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You know what? You really should have a can-do mentality here.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Can I just ask a question? Is it the minority's opinion that we can pass something that requires 13 votes on a bond and then come back six months, a year later and change those projects with ten votes as to what we're going to use the money for?

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No. In accordance with the capital plan, you can change what we funded in the capital plan. There always was a mechanism to do that, and we've done that.

MR. DENION: A bond ordinance takes 13 votes, of course, to amend.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. But that would be with an existing approval.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: You just said it

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	takes 13 votes -
3	MR. DENION: To amend a bond ordinance,
4	correct.
5	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Dave's alleging
6	you need 10 now to change it.
7	MR. DENION: You can't amend if you've
8	already borrowed, so that's an important point.
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: One question to
10	ask. When we authorized the 357 million, were
11	there projects associated with that 357 million?
12	MR. DENION: There would have to be,
13	sure.
14	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. And so
15	that money has to be directed toward those
16	projects, correct?
17	MR. DENION: Correct.
18	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. That's my
19	question.
20	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Norma, my only
21	point -
22	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Excuse me.
23	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: is we just - the
24	262 just -
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Let him speak,

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you, Madam Presiding Officer. I think I do need Mr. Millet, if he can come back to the podium. He's to the left.

I can say, and this is a commentary on today and this is not to be done in a malice way, Madam Presiding Officer. But I really wish we could have done some of the other business of the day because there are people here from the College, we have youth board appointments, we have a human rights appointment, there's a rent appointment. All of those appointments would have been done unanimously and those people could have been on their way - I'm going to say this, after 10 or 15 minutes. To make them wait through this entire meeting on an issue that we knew very full well was going to take a very long time, which I'm glad we're having this debate because we're going to have it for many months to come, to me is totally unfair.

Mr. Saunders and to the youth board folks that are here, I believe I saw Mr. Gray who is up for the rent guidelines board, I apologize to

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	
	you. I truly do. I'm ashamed at the fact that
3	you had to wait hours for something that would
4	have taken 15 minutes. But that being said.
5	Mr. Millet, can you please come to the
6	podium? How are you, Mr. Millet?
7	MR. MILLET: Good. How are you?
8	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Last week you
9	gave us an update on the projects that were
10	included in the 262. Can you provide this
11	legislature with those projects against?
12	MR. MILLET: Yes. They are still out to
13	bid, waiting to return from bid. I think they
14	are back in the next two weeks.
15	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Can you go
16	through the projects again? I'm sorry.
17	MR. MILLET: The Bay Park digester is
18	ongoing now. Construction is about to start.
19	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What's the total
20	on that?
21	MR. MILLET: That is a \$13 million job
22	right now.
23	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And you're saying
24	construction is about to start when?
25	MR. MILLET: We have been mobilizing for

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	probably two weeks. I think they're in the
3	middle of shop drawing -
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And when did -
5	I'm sorry to cut you off. When did the bid
6	originally go out for that?
7	MR. MILLET: The bid came back maybe
8	eight weeks ago on that.
9	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Eight weeks. And
10	what's the next one?
11	MR. MILLET: Odor control is out to bid
12	now.
13	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm sorry. Just
14	go back to the digesters for a second. And
15	what's the what does the master schedule
16	determine, in terms of the timeframe to complete
17	that project?
18	MR. MILLET: It is an 18 month
19	construction period, possibly 12 with
20	acceleration.
21	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. So 18
22	months would put us sometime in 2015.
23	MR. MILLET: Correct.
24	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And the next
25	project?

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	MR. MILLET: Odor control.
3	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Odor control.
4	Okay.
5	MR. MILLET: That is out to bid. That
6	is on the street now.
7	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's out to
8	bid. How many weeks are we into the bid process
9	now?
10	MR. MILLET: I believe that comes back
11	in two weeks.
12	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And when did that
13	one go out?
14	MR. MILLET: That went out the beginning
15	of last week.
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Beginning of last
17	week. And that one totals?
18	MR. MILLET: 26 million.
19	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: 26 million. I'm
20	just making sure it ties into the sheet that I
21	have here.
22	Go right down the line.
23	MR. MILLET: The wastewater security.
24	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Wastewater?
25	MR. MILLET: Security.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Security. Okay.
3	MR. MILLET: It's out to bid.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.
5	MR. MILLET: You have 35121, which is
6	the storm restoration which connects itself to
7	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: When did it go
8	out to bid? I'm sorry.
9	MR. MILLET: That's a hazard mitigation
10	one. That will go out as each construction
11	contract comes in.
12	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Now, if
13	you just go back to the digesters, that one went
14	out to bid without the authorization of this
15	legislature. How was that done?
16	MR. MILLET: That went out with some
17	previous money that was there.
18	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Go ahead.
19	I'm sorry. Continue.
20	MR. MILLET: 3B119, that's various
21	equipment at Bay Park. That is on the street
22	now. That is influent pumping and influent
23	screening. Along with 3B120, they both went out
24	at the middle of last week.
25	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Middle of last

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	week. So these won't come back for at least
3	another?
4	MR. MILLET: Usually three weeks.
5	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Three weeks. So
6	we still have about 21 days. So that's pretty
7	much the end of the month, you would say?
8	MR. MILLET: I would say that's when
9	they're due back.
10	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. I still
11	have a couple of more on my list, unless I missed
12	what you said. Go ahead. Did you mention the
13	wastewater facility storm restoration?
14	MR. MILLET: I did.
15	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: 120 million?
16	MR. MILLET: I did.
17	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: When did that go
18	out for bid?
19	MR. MILLET: That does not. That goes
20	individually with each piece. That is the
21	mitigation moment for each individual piece.
22	There is an attachment in 121 that applies to
23	each program.
24	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. And for
25	35114, wastewater facilities improvement?

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	MR. MILLET: No. We talked about that.
3	That's going to - that's the effluence tide -
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We did?
5	MR. MILLET: And effluence screening.
6	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I don't have a
7	note next to it.
8	MR. MILLET: That is out to bid now. I
9	believe it went out in the beginning of last
10	week.
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And all of these
12	projects that are currently either out to bid or
13	in the process of coming back -
14	MR. MILLET: Correct.
15	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: will be totaling
16	262 million. And when will this legislature
17	anticipate contracts for these projects?
18	MR. MILLET: It usually takes two to
19	three, maybe four weeks to get the contracts
20	through the county system.
21	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So even if these
22	projects came to the legislature I'm sorry.
23	Even if these projects - the RFPs came back by
24	late August the earliest this legislature would
25	see contracts is late September.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	MR. MILLET: Mid to late September.
3	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So, I've heard a
4	lot of discussion about, I guess our side
5	delaying the process. For this slate of work,
6	which was going to start in quarter three of this
7	year, is the process being delayed?
8	MR. MILLET: Of the 262?
9	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes.
10	MR. MILLET: No.
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. So
12	accusations that the 262 is somehow delaying the
13	process, that we're not allowing work to go
14	forward -
15	MR. MILLET: No, it's -
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let me finish.
17	That work is not going forward - so the delay, if
18	I'm understanding this correctly, is when the
19	electrical distribution is supposed to be done.
20	Is that - so there haven't been any delays to
21	date.
22	MR. MILLET: There are delays occurring
23	without the remainder of the funding.
24	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, no, no.
25	There can't be delays occurring.

Ī	1
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	MR. MILLET: There are, because we're not
3	able to start, we can't even start the process.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, let's
5	be honest. I don't know if you're familiar with
6	the PowerPoint presentation, but the PowerPoint
7	presentation slated that the electrical
8	distribution was going to be done in quarter
9	four.
10	MR. MILLET: Correct.
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Which will be
12	October.
13	MR. MILLET: Correct.
14	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So there wouldn't
15	be a delay until we got to the first of October,
16	correct?
17	MR. MILLET: Again, there can't be an
18	acceleration either. If we find moments to
19	accelerate -
20	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I totally agree.
21	But, Mr. Millet -
22	MR. MILLET: that can't be a moment to
23	accelerate without it.
24	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, I'm
25	not sure if you want to have that debate because

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that plant back. There were eight running primary tanks. There were ten final tanks running. There were all the GBTs running.

So, I, personally, I take my job very seriously. When I came here I made a commitment to the county executive. Between Cedar Creek and Bay Park, I spent 90 percent of my day, every day, fixing what was broken when I got here, whether it was digester valves, whether it was primary tanks or final tanks, or the fact that we didn't have health and safety for our men. were no rescue classes here. So, I get a little bit offended when someone goes, well, there's a \$20 million project that didn't get done. Well, I'm sorry. Because I was trying to stop feces from going out into Reynold's Channel. And I was trying to stop a plant from violating every day. That's what I spent my first two years doing. And I know nothing about treatment plants when I got here, and I know way too much now. Way too much.

In find it a little bit offensive to me, personally, because, like you do, I take my business personal.

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 are absolutely valid.

MR. MILLET: What happened to some of that money that was in grit and all of that, it had to wait. It had to wait until we could get the plants not to violate.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So let's go back to what I was saying before.

The original commentary was there's been notions still out there about delays. Now you've mentioned acceleration, which we would love to be able to try to get this done.

MR. MILLET: But you can't because you won't give us the money. Just give us the money.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, are you going to continue to cut me off? I've been very respectful to you, very respectful.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ MILLET: I know. I'm just a little frustrated.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: As we all are. As we all are. I will reaffirm again, and I've said this I guess at least a dozen to two dozen times.

We support every single dime and nickel that it will take to be able to rebuild the Bay

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Park Treatment Plant, every single dime. That's not the issue. The issue is — and Mr. Millet, you can't really answer these questions. The issue is that there are oversight concerns, there are debt concerns. This administration has the highest debt. And I don't expect you to be able to answer this. But you're asking for us to give a response.

The administration has rung up three and a half billion dollars - I'm sorry. This administration has \$3.5 billion of debt. debt level is the highest number ever in the history of Nassau County. We want to be able to bond for this, if it's necessary. Obviously, you have expressed before that it's very important that we week the routes of reimbursement through FEMA, which is all well and good. But if those routes are not there, we still think this needs to be done. We have said that countless times. However, we have also asked your administration for a master schedule to give us a layout of the projects on how they will be done. Mr. Walker gave us a PowerPoint presentation, which basically outlined that quarter three, all the

MR. MILLET: Correct.

25

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So can you tell

. 1	
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 156
2	us when the rest of it will start so we can
3	convey that to the public?
4	MR. MILLET: I can't tell you until the
5	contracts come back. The bided contracts have to
6	come back for me to be able to put a schedule
7	together. That's where we're disconnecting.
8	You want me to give a schedule that can't
9	be done because I don't have contractors in place
10	who tell you here's the leave time for this piece
11	of equipment that has to get made, here's what
12	this is going to take, here's how long this is.
13	You can't drill a construction schedule down in
14	this room. It doesn't work.
15	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're using your
16	timeframe. You said
17	MR MILLET: Your definition of start and
18	ready to go is very different than every other
19	construction
20	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, I've
21	got to tell you
22	MR. MILLET: person in the world. Very
23	different.
24	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I've got to tell
25	you I can't speak for the other side but I can

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	speak for my side. We thought that meant
3	construction.
4	MR. MILLET: Well, without
5	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We thought, I
6	mean
7	MR. MILLET: bidding? So you want me to
8	just go out and get a contractor?
9	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Well, why would
10	you put a number
11	MR. MILLET: I would do that if you
12	wanted me to.
13	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So, basically
14	construction, that quarter three just means
15	that's when we'll get bids back, that's when
16	we'll
17	MR. MILLET: That's when design is done,
18	that's when bidding happens; that's the start of
19	the project.
20	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: When will
21	construction start?
22	MR. MILLET: I can't tell until the
23	contracts come back with the bids on them.
24	You're not getting that. You're disconnecting.
25	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The disconnect

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	is, then, I can't see how if you have so much
3	work to do, and I agree, it's a lot of work to be
4	able to rebuild a plant. If there's so much work
5	to do, I can't fathom why the legislature why
6	would you think that this legislature would grant
7	326 when you haven't even gone through the
8	process on the 260.
9	MR. MILLET: But we are in the process,
10	they're all out to bid.
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You can't have it
12	both ways.
13	MR. MILLET: Your idea of the process is
14	very different. That's why I'm a construction
15	guy and you're
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, I
17	just asked you a question.
18	MR. MILLET: an accountant.
19	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet
20	MR. MILLET: It doesn't work like that.
21	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, I
22	just asked you the question. You told me that
23	basically the master schedule can't be done until
24	you get all of the
25	MR. MILLET: Not all. You can do it in

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	phases.
3	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So now it's some
4	phases.
5	MR. MILLET: Yeah.
6	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So now the story
7	changes. It changes. Okay. Great.
8	MR. MILLET: Am I telling you I have to
9	haul 18 months of contracts in? No. But you
10	need contracts
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're changing
12	the story.
13	MR. MILLET: in.
14	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you need
15	contracts to come back from bid
16	MR. MILLET: In. Correct.
17	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. So once
18	the contracts come back from bid then you can put
19	together the master schedule.
20	MR. MILLET: Then, for those projects,
21	you can
22	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Which sounds like
23	an enormous amount of work, which obviously maybe
24	we underestimated. It sounds like an enormous
25	amount of work. But I've got to tell you, it

doesn't sound like it's going to happen in a timeframe where you're going to be able to manage that and you're going to be able to manage the 326 from the electrical distribution all at the

6 same time.

MR. MILLET: It will happen.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You know what?

I'm sure you feel it will happen.

MR. MILLET: That's why you bring on professionals.

you our insight. From what we can see has not happened, I could tell you right now from our standpoint, the best thing that this legislature can do to ensure the work does happen is make sure that we have continued oversight, which from this process we have none. We have no oversight. And that's one of the things — and if you're going to be a sticking point, which I don't expect you to be able to respond to. But we need to see some level of oversight over this entire process, over this entire \$722 million. So, from that standpoint, that's just one example.

How many public hearings have been

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	conducted in the Bay Park, in the East Rockaway
3	area? Baldwin? Any? So the public doesn't even
4	understand where you're coming from either.
5	MR. MILLET: They know exactly where
6	we're coming from.
7	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: They do?
8	MR. MILLET: They certainly do. And you
9	could talk to every one of them.
10	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Really?
11	MR. MILLET: They know exactly what you
12	need to do, you're just unwilling to do it.
13	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, sir. No,
14	sir.
15	MR. MILLET: Because you have to -
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You're unwilling
17	- you're unwilling to present, I gotta say this,
18	correct answers. The answers that you're giving
19	us –
20	MR. MILLET: They're the only answers
21	that you have. If you don't want to take them
22	for what they are -
23	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Unfortunately,
24	it's a sad indictment of where the county is
25	because we could do much better.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	MR. MILLET: Inside, the engineers that
3	ran this plan, there are no lawyers, there are
4	doctors, there are no accountants, there are
5	anybody else, they're engineers. Their companies
6	have been doing this for 500 years. The two lead
7	people have been doing this for over 40 years.
8	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet,
9	nobody -
10	MR. MILLET: If you can't -
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: doubts their
12	experience.
13	MR. MILLET: their direction and their
14	advice on this -
15	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Nobody doubts
16	their experience.
17	MR. MILLET: that's a very, very -
18	_
19	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We don't doubt
20	their experience.
21	MR. MILLET: very poor example.
22	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We don't doubt
23	their experience, Mr. Millet.
24	MR. MILLET: You are.
25	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	MR. MILLET: You absolutely are.
3	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We don't.
4	MR. MILLET: You're saying that their
5	piece, their work is not worthwhile.
6	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You've given this
7	legislature tremendous doubt in your responses.
8	MR. MILLET: That's because you want
9	doubt.
10	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I would say -
11	MR. MILLET: You don't want anything
12	else.
13	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Well, no. Mr.
14	Millet, are you done, Mr. Millet? Thank you.
15	Have a great day.
16	I've gotta tell you, the level of
17	disrespect from this, I've gotta tell you is
18	amazing. Truly amazing.
19	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You've been
20	cutting him off for the last 20 minutes, so I
21	could see why there would be a little disrespect.
22	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Nicolello,
23	you went on for 20 minutes too, and I patiently
24	waited for you to finish.
25	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: But I didn't cut

LEGISLATOR FORD: Mr. Millet, I'm not

25

going to - you don't need to come up. I just want to ask you, in regard to a lot of the projects that are going on -- and I understand that when we - we had originally wanted to vote for the full \$742 million in order to do the electrical work and all of the work that's needed to be done, the 262 plus the mitigation, plus all of the other work. Would it have been easier to go forward, to know that the plant would be repaired in a more timely fashion had we passed the full amount of money rather than doing piecemeal?

MR. MILLET: Yes. If you have the entire piece, it gives you moments where you can accelerate the contracts, move things ahead, and get things maybe moving that weren't moving in the schedule. You can find opportunities to accelerate your schedule.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Do you find that,
like, even moving forward without having the
electrical component in place that it may be a
deterrent? Like, in a way it may hamper some of
the work, some of the upgrades that we've already
authorized to be done, that not being able to do

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all of the work, especially the electrical work at that time, you know, can be deterrent in

4 \parallel getting the work done in a more timely fashion?

MR. MILLET: Yes. It will take longer to get the work done. It will be more expensive.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So, just as you said, that when we pass this bonding, a couple of weeks ago, that now time, you can't - it's not - you're not doing the work today because by law and by basic regulations that we've done in the past, ever since we've been bonding out work and bidding out work, that we have to then send out bids, get the contracts, have people come back with the contracts, then you sit down in a room, and then you set out the game plan as to when you are going to be able to start this work, who is going to be able, at the plant, do the work at this time, what are the hours of operation, and so forth and so forth. At that time you would have a better opportunity to give us a timeline as to when the work will start and when it will end.

MR. MILLET: Yes.

LEGISLATOR FORD: It's basically like

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sure Tommy Asher and the rest of the people know.

I'm

I feel that I'm going to lament for a while.

MR. MILLET: Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR FORD: calling upon the governor to authorize and give us a funding right away to do our outflow pipe and to do the necessary upgrades?

MR. MILLET: Yes.

LEGISLATOR FORD: We have not heard from the governor since then, have we?

516-747-7353

MR. MILLET: No.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES

LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay. I'm not surprised. On this then, how many residents from East Rockaway and Bay Park were in that audience?

MR. MILLET: I - close to probably 75 or 100, I would imagine.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Did they walk with us or did they actually engage the county executive and every other elected official, as well as yourself, to discuss the plant and to discuss the issues that they have.

MR. MILLET: They always engage us, and we always keep an open communication with them.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Right. They've never been silent about the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant.

MR. MILLET: No.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So they're probably quite aware. I have to say that to the credit of the people in my neighborhood, and especially not only those in Operation Splash, but also those with the Sludge Stoppers. They have been on top of you, have they not been, in regard to all the operations, as well as CCE. Every environmental group has been on top of the Bay Park Sewage

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trouble. We want them exercising. We want them

to be healthy. And we want them to be proud of

the community that they live in. We want them to take advantage of what our community has to

4 offer.

In October of last year, as you all know, Sandy came and hit our town, as it did many other towns. Among 90 percent of our belongings - my children lost their life vests, their fishing poles, and their crab traps. That's what they asked for for Christmas, they wanted those to be replaced. That's what they got.

Now the summer comes. My seven year old used to take swimming lessons at the beach, my 11 year did as well. She was looking forward this year to swimming dock to dock. That was her big goal, to swim dock to dock. She can do it, if I let her.

My boys got their boating license last year, a big accomplishment for the both of them, something they enjoy. They enjoy boating, fishing, swimming, kayaking. They look forward to summer. We finally were able to get back in our house; it's not a home yet but it is a house and we have beds to sleep in, and that made them happy for a short time. They look forward to the

summer coming, when they can get back on the water, back to the beach with their friends.

Our summertime ritual of barbequing on the beach every Sunday, with a vast majority of our community gathering, talking, sharing food, playing ball, etcetera, has come to an end.

Our waters are contaminated. The economic and social impact is depending on this plant being fixed in its entirety. Our community is slowly coming back. Our children, our community want to be together, we want to take advantage of what our little town has to offer.

Island Park has been battered, it has not been shattered. Please get our community where it was. Allow my children and my neighborhood's children to start participating in the things that our town has to offer, the reason that we came to live in that town.

This is my first time attending any meeting of this type. I don't understand a lot of the processes. I don't care. I just want everybody to be safe. I want everybody to be comfortable with the waters that they're swimming in, the water that they're drinking, and the fish

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. Mr. Alexander.

MR. ALEXANDER: Is there any folks before me? I put two items on the card.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: This is an item

have the benefit of spending some time each weekend volunteering post-Sandy and seeing folks on the south shore and what their needs are on a regular basis. And while they may not be public hearings and public meetings, we hear clearly, and have since the last two weeks in Freeport, Island Park, and East Rockaway, that they want There's lots of details that need to go into and construction schedules and processes that need to go into place to achieve that, and that's the job of the good people here and certainly with the support of the community and folks in county government and all levels of government.

Again, Vision Long Island once again supports full funding of the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant.

We want to see - we know that it's up to 90 percent reimbursable. We know that today we support the 326 million bonding for upgrades for the electrical system. He are heartened to see that there is an oversight committee that was put in place by the county exec, with a lot of good, very smart people who have been involved. We're late to the party, as far as being engaged. But

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've reached out to community and business leaders in Freeport, Island Park, East

we do know a lot about infrastructure. Vision Long Island has an infrastructure committee with a number of engineers and folks around the Island who have expertise that will also provide input into this process.

We believe a visit makes sense. Some folks have not been to the plant. I personally have not been to the plant. I know a lot of smart people who are engaged in these issues have not gotten a firsthand view that the gentleman had shared, where we really can come together and identify the needs and an action plan.

You have a very good engineer in Hazen and Sawyer, they are well respected, and it would be good if they were here today to answer some of the questions. The point is there are solutions to these problems that need to be sorted out by the good people up here at the legislature.

Lastly, I'm just going to say in the last two weeks I know there was a lot of back and forth on my Facebook and a lot of agita even here today.

largest firms in the world in the securities business and had responsibility for a \$5 billion portfolio of borrowings. And I always considered it my mandate to be able to fund whatever the

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approvals.

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: For the bond market, right. Again, it was always my mission

H	
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 180
2	as a treasurer - because there are times when
3	going to the long terms markets denied us as a
4	firm.
5	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN:
6	Correct.
7	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: So we had to borrow
8	short term.
9	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN:
10	Right.
11	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: We couldn't say no,
12	we're not going to do that deal, at least I
13	wouldn't allow myself to say we're not going to
14	do that deal because we can't do it long term.
15	We'd say, okay, if that's what you want to do we
16	will find the money to do it and we will borrow
17	the money.
18	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN:
19	Right. Again, the authorization is through this
20	legislature.
21	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: No, no. The
22	authorization for long term -
23	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN:
24	Correct.
25	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: is to the

Full Legislature - 8-5-13
That's correct.

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: And so it's likely that before the maturity date comes on whatever short term borrowing you might enter into, FEMA will have reimbursed you.

mean, for a RAN I think that would be difficult to support that with the FEMA, with a FEMA promise. To time it you have to have the dollars there at that specific point in time. They wouldn't take --

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: What do you mean you have to have the dollars there?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: To pay back the RAN.

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: When you borrow on a RAN --

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Right.

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: You know the money -- at the time you do the borrowing you have the money in place to repay it at that point. You have a projection, you have a plan on how the money is going to come in.

1	I
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 186
2	don't think that would be advisable. I have -
3	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: But you could do
4	that, though.
5	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: But I
6	talk to the rating analyst all the time and I
7	don't think that's advisable.
8	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: But you could do
9	that.
10	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: If I
11	wanted to get another downgrade or something that
12	would not be sort of the smart thing to do. I
13	would not recommend that to the county executive.
14	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: We've had testimony
15	that the Bay Park residents, and they really are,
16	are suffering. So are you telling me that even
17	in the face of that suffering?
18	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: I
19	believe in doing it the correct way, which -
20	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Even in the face of
21	
22	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN:
23	talking to bond counsel
24	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: those people
25	suffering

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	want to know the instruments we're using, the
3	timing of when we're doing the issuance, when
4	we're going to market, things of that nature.
5	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Do you think the
6	legislature might want to know those items as
7	well?
8	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: I
9	just told you we are going out this Thursday to
10	price. And, obviously the POS is online, so I
11	think it's a public document and that is
12	something that people should be familiar with.
13	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Thank you.
14	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE SULLIVAN: Thank
15	you. Thank you, sir.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I'm going to have
17	one more speaker, Adrienne Esposito, and then I'm
18	going to call the vote.
19	Adrienne Esposito.
20	MG TGDGGTTG TI

MS. ESPOSITO: I'm going to be very brief and not be redundant.

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is Adrienne Esposito. I'm the executive director of Citizens Campaign for the Environment. I just want to say that for us, what this looks like is a mess. And so we have

two sides, clearly both sides have articulated concerns and objectives that you want to achieve, but what we don't see is a path forward on how to solve the problem. So what we're going to ask you today is that you have to -- we understand you both have concerns, we understand you both have objectives, and we understand, believe, and hope that both sides want to solve the problem.

But now we need a pathway that gets us to solving the problem, and it shouldn't matter how badly the community is suffering, how bad it smells, or how much it dumped yesterday. It's the county's own plant. The county needs to solve the problem, and the county needs an action plan.

So what we're going to ask if you guys could get together, hash it out. Cross examining people here doesn't seem to be too fruitful, it really doesn't. It looks to us from this side of the horseshoe - and we're not privy to all of your conversations. But from this side of the horseshoe it doesn't look like we've made much progress today. What we need as communities, who have worked on it for 10 years, is progress.

So we are asking you to hash it out, put

2 | forth a plan where we could get the plant

3 upgraded, get an ocean outfall pipe, and solve

4 | the problem. If you all agree on the objective,

5 | then you could get into a room and come out with

6 a plan that gets us the objective. So that's all

7 we're asking.

Right now, frankly, it looks like where we were last month when we were here for eight hours. And so when we come back in September are we going to do it all again? If we are, let's not.

What's going to be different next month, that's up to you. Now we need leadership.

Leadership means getting into the room, compromising, hashing it out, and getting it together.

Frankly, I think I could speak for all of us in the Western Bays. We don't care who is on this oversight committee. We don't care how the job gets done. We care about the ends results, and I know you care about that too but only you can decide how we get there. So help us get there and we will go with you.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Yes, sir. You have your hand up. Didn't you speak?

MR. GALLAGHER: My name is Tom

Gallagher. I've been living in Wantagh for over

46 years, right across from the Cedar Creek

Sewage Treatment Plant.

The main thing here is the plant does need to get up back and running. Cedar Creek

Sewage Treatment Plant was going downhill.

Richie came along, he brought it up. We've got to give good trust to that man.

The only main thing is Cedar Creek Sewage

Treatment Plant was noted for and it produced raw

sewage to drinkable water. So if we do put an

outflow pipe, which has to be added onto this

project, from Bay Park out to the ocean, will

they guarantee that we will have fresh water

going out there or will we pump out the same

sludge from that plant that was not able to

purify its water going into Reynold's Channel for

all those years.

And there has been a tremendous layoff in these plants. We need more manpower to upgrade them and more manpower to get more knowledge of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 the new technical wiring and communications. The 3 older men probably could learn the new system

they're going to try to put in here.

But there's many things here. Dave has brought out here that money has not been spent on old projects. Mr. Abrahams said nothing's been physically started because there's that process. Now you want to vote on this. Will this ever get started? It will never get started because you couldn't get the other thing started. couldn't even get things physically coming up to par. So this here has to be done today, not five, 10, 15, 20 years from now. Because the plant will go down further and further and you will not have nobody there. The only way we're going to wind up doing this project is back it up to Merrick Road, shoot it into Cedar Creek Sewage Treatment Plant, and we will process it out from the Cedar Creek Sewage Treatment plant and burn that place down. That's the only way Freeport, Merrick, and all these people are going to survive in the future. They're not going to put up with the stuff on the street like they had put

up with. They're scared to stay here. They're

Full Legislature -8-5-13 going to abandon those towns.

I'm telling you this thing has to get started, contracted, working on the job date, not processing paperwork. The process in paperwork will come up with glitches that, oh, they didn't say this and they didn't say that. They didn't say they're going to put in an outflow pipe. And they're not going to say that the pipes in the street are deteriorated and we have to re-pipe the streets. We got new homes going in. We got new -- we want to add on different areas into the plant.

There's too much to be looked into. But it does need -- and get rid of the generator that's outside this building to start with. Get it running the best way we can.

Richie's stuck with just paperwork. It's not been a contractor saying come on down tomorrow and at least take out the old stuff and put in the new stuff.

All right. The new guys come in and say how do I operate this? So you have to have manpower to be able to operate the plant and you have to have backup, like another man like

Richie, to follow in his shoes, because if he leaves that plant will go down again.

I was very thankful to be able to come down here and speak today. I waited a long time.

I live across the street from that plant and been to many meetings over there in the course of many, many years. David Denenberg, Dennis Dunne, they've been down there fighting hard for this plant and I really appreciate them.

We, the community, we haven't had many meetings in the past. And that's what we got to get up running again, is meetings so the public will understand what the county is up against.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired, sir.

MR. GALLAGHER: But I want the people from Bay Park to come to Cedar Creek Park and see a plant that is in operation, that is beautified, it's up to date. Because these people here, they're going to leave this town, they're going to leave Nassau County. I know damn well if I lived down there I wouldn't be hanging around because that plant is going to stop, it's going to come up on the street, it's going to go into

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	the toilets, it's going to back up into their
3	systems. You gotta spend this money but you
4	gotta get the old projects going.
5	If you get a car and you got a dead
6	battery
7	CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have
8	expired, sir.
9	MR. GALLAGHER: but the fuel pump don't
10	work, why find a batter if the fuel pump don't
11	work? You gotta get physical construction done.
12	I thank you very much. Try to figure out
13	those points. Thank you. Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. A very
15	brief comment, Legislator Becker.
16	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Presiding Officer,
17	very quickly.
18	One thing that bears repeating; Mr.
19	Millet, as we all know, is not a political guy.
20	He's just a guy that likes to get the job done.
21	I think just ending this hearing, I'm just going
22	to quote him.
23	He said the electrical system is being

compromised every day. The electrical system --

this is from a professional. The electrical

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 system down at the Bay Park Facility is being compromised every day. I truly encourage my colleagues on the other side of the aisle to vote in favor of this funding.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We're going to call the vote on Item 17. I want to be sure that I have it right. It's 340-13.

All those in favor of Item 17, 340-13, signify by saying aye.

(Aye.)

Any opposed?

(Nay.)

We have 11-8, the ordinance fails.

Now, I have a choice to make and I'm looking out there and I really feel very, very sad that too many people have been waiting for so long, including you, Mr. Gallagher. I think that we have several appointments and I'm going to call for those appointments. With the consent of the minority, I would ask if we could just block them all. I don't know if any of them are here.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Madam Chair, I'd like to make a motion to reconsider that last

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	item.
3	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I second the
4	motion.
5	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: All those in
6	favor of reconsidering this item signify by
7	saying aye.
8	(Aye.)
9	LEGISLATOR WINK: Point of order,
10	Presiding Officer. The fact of the matter is
11	that the prevailing side is the one that can make
12	a motion to reconsider -
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: That's right.
14	LEGISLATOR WINK: Not the side that
15	happened to vote more than the other side.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: No -
17	LEGISLATOR WINK: We are the prevailing
18	side because it didn't pass.
19	CLERK MULLER: It was the way it was
20	done when we did 262. Mr. Denenberg and one of
21	the other side, under Robert's Rules, I did
22	research, the majority in that would be correct.
23	It would be the 11 votes. I was asked to
24	research that.
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Yes. And so the

Ī	I
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 200
2	motion is to reconsider.
3	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
4	(Aye.)
5	By the way, who seconded it?
6	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I did.
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Mr.
8	Nicolello.
9	All those in favor of reconsidering?
10	(Aye.)
11	Guess what?
12	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Motion to table.
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I'm going to make
14	a motion to table, seconded by Legislator
15	Nicolello.
16	There is no debate or discussion on the
17	motion to table. And so that we will be meeting
18	very soon.
19	All in favor of tabling the item that was
20	just reconsidered all those in favor of
21	tabling the item signify by saying aye.
22	(Aye.)
23	Any opposed?
24	The motion to table has passed.
25	Guess what? We will revisit this very

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 soon.

There are several appointments, and with the permission of the minority I'm going to -- I am going to call all of them together. And if anybody wishes to say anything, please feel free.

Item 56, a resolution to confirm the county executive's reappointment of Dr. Usman Khan Phar to the Nassau County Commission of Human Rights.

Now, the rest of them are the youth board. Is Mr. Phar still here? They all left.

57 is a resolution to confirm the county executive's appointment of Danny Grodotzke to the Nassau County Youth Board.

Item 58, a Resolution to confirm the county executive's appointment of Linda Parmely to the Nassau County Youth Board.

59, a Resolution to confirm the appointment of Kristina Heuser to the Nassau County youth Board.

60, a Resolution to confirm the county executive's appointment of Joshua Lafazan to Nassau County Youth Board.

61, a Resolution to confirm the county

Item 67, a resolution to recommend to the

on record that I know there are more appointments

2.5

coming up for the youth board, and I would hope that due consideration would be given to some of the people who served on the youth board prior to now, to give them an opportunity to, if you feel that you've worked with them and they deserve that opportunity, to have an opportunity to serve. That's all. But I wish everyone good luck who went on.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Did we take a vote? Yes, Legislator Troiano.

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Garrett, he and I spoke a little bit before the meeting, before we took the vote. I just want to give him a chance to respond on the record to one of the questions I asked of him.

He's got a very extensive and very impressive resume. But it does give the appearance that you perhaps have done work on behalf of landlords, perhaps in zoning and other phases of your law practice. And that would be a real concern to me, the fact that you had done work for landlords and you were going to vote on rent increases.

MR. GRAY: I've actually done work on

ĺ	I
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 206
2	both sides, both landlord and tenant. None of
3	the work that I've ever done has involved rent
4	stabilization of rent control.
5	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: I just wanted to
6	give you the chance to put that on the record.
7	MR. GRAY: None of the buildings I
8	represent, none of the tenants in any of those
9	buildings, no.
10	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Thank you.
11	MR. GRAY: You bet.
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I don't know
13	whether or not I should say congratulations, Mr.
14	Gray.
15	But after today's meeting, I guess you
16	say thank God I'm there, not here.
17	MR. GRAY: And thank God I'm going out
18	there, while you have to stay there.
19	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Oh, yes.
20	Absolutely.
21	Thank you. Congratulations.
22	MR. GRAY: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Now, I know I

am really out of order here, to the point where I

don't know which way I'm going.

I am not going to bypass the College budget. So here we go.

In all due respect, I know the College staff was here. And I have to say, Dr. James, is he here? Because he did ask me -- hold on.

We voted on the amendment of Mr. Gray's name, I believe, but we didn't vote on all the appointments.

All those in favor of all of the appointments signify by saying aye.

(Aye.)

Any opposed?

(No verbal response.)

The appointments are confirmed unanimously.

We're going with Item 5, and that's the ordinance to adopt the Nassau County budget for Nassau Community College for the fiscal year commencing September 1, 2013 and ending August 31, 2014, and to appropriate revenues in the total amount of monies to be raised by taxation within the County of Nassau for the purposes of Nassau Community College for such fiscal year, pursuant to the provisions of the Education Law,

the County Law, the General Municipal Law, the
County Government Law of Nassau County, and the

4 Nassau County Administrative Code.

Motion, please?

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by

Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Walker.

I think that -- Dr. Saunders I believe is here, and he is planning on speaking. Again, is there a Dr. James Hoit (phonetic) here, who contacted me? I don't believe he's here. Okay. I want it to be known that I was going to call him to speak, as well.

DR. SAUNDERS: Good afternoon. It's my pleasure to present to some and introduce to others Dr. Jorge Garden, who is the chair, acting chair our board of trustees at Nassau Community College.

I know that it would be reasonable for members of this Legislature to think that when we come before you, we are here to ask for something. And certainly, we are doing that today in asking you to approve the Nassau

well.

Community College budget for the Fiscal Year 2013-2014. As you know, during the public hearing on July 15, I made a statement in support of the passage of our budget. But, as a change of pace, we wanted to bring something to you, as

As you know, New York State funds 50 percent of Nassau Community College's capital construction program. County funds are matched by the state dollar-for-dollar. But state funding is provided on a reimbursable basis only. The county puts up the full amount up front.

It is, therefore, essential that appropriate documentation of capital expenditures be forwarded to SUNY on a timely basis for these state reimbursements to be actually received.

Nassau Community College has taken on the responsibility of filing for reimbursement since 2009. These reimbursement payments to directly back to Nassau County to cover the state's 50 percent share of the project cost.

We were here in March of 2011 to report that we had received \$6.4 million in state reimbursements. Since then, we have continued to

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 210
2	be hard at work in providing all of the paperwork
3	to obtain the reimbursements. We would like to
4	report now that we have received another \$27.9
5	million in state reimbursements for Nassau County
6	since 2011, which brings the total to over \$34
7	million.
8	Thank you. That concludes my remarks.
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any questions of
10	Dr. Saunders regarding the budget?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	Colleagues, are you listening?
13	Any public comment regarding the College
14	budget?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	There being none. All those in favor of
17	approving the College budget signify by saying
18	aye.
19	(Aye.)
20	Loud and clear, Aye.
21	Any opposed?
22	(No verbal response.)
23	The item passes unanimously.
24	DR. SAUNDERS: Thank you very much.
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: And I'm sorry you

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 211
2	had to wait so long. But I guess all good things
3	are worth waiting for.
4	DR. SAUNDERS: Yes, they are.
5	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Thank you.
6	Enjoy the rest of the day.
7	DR. SAUNDERS: You too. Have a good
8	day.
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You too.
10	We're going to open up the first hearing
11	on the calendar on proposed Local Law 2013. It's
12	a local law amending Local Law Number 18-1984 as
13	last amended by Local Law Number 8-2011 and Local
14	Law 9-2011 and as incorporated in Chapter 4 of
15	Title 9 of the Miscellaneous Laws of Nassau
16	County in relation to imposing additional rates
17	of sale and compensating use taxes authorized by
18	Section 1210 of the Tax Law, and continuing a
19	local government assistance program authorized by
20	Section 1262-E of the Tax Law.
21	Motion to open the hearing?
22	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
23	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Second.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
25	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Belesi.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 212
2	Anybody here to speak on this?
3	MR. MAY: There is. We have Ms. Rosanne
4	D'Alleva from the Office of Management and Budget
5	to speak on this item.
6	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay.
7	MR. MAY: This local law extends the
8	sales tax in the county for two years.
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Right.
10	MS. D'ALLEVA: Are there any questions
11	on this item?
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any questions for
13	Roseanne?
14	(No verbal response.)
15	Any public comment regarding this?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	Okay. Guess what? A motion, please, to
18	close the hearing.
19	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
20	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Second.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
22	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Belesi.
23	All those in favor of closing the hearing
24	signify by saying aye.
25	(Aye.)

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 213
2	Any opposed?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	The hearing is closed.
5	Now we have a second hearing, that's Item
6	2 on the calendar. A local law amending Title 24
7	of the Miscellaneous Laws of Nassau County in
8	relation to extending the hotel and motel
9	occupancy tax.
10	Motion to open the hearing?
11	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
12	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Second.
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
14	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Belesi.
15	The hearing is now open. Who is speaking
16	on this one?
17	MR. MAY: Again, we have Ms. Roseanne
18	D'Alleva from the Office of Management and
19	Budget. This is a local law that extends the
20	authority of the county to impose a hotel/motel
21	sales tax for two years.
22	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Any
23	questions of Roseanne? Any questions?
24	(No verbal response.)
25	Any public comment?

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Hold on, Mr.

25

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 215
2	Chalmers. It's my understanding it's the Parks
3	Department that does the annual report. Am I
4	correct?
5	MR. CHALMERS: My department
6	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Because it has to
7	be submitted to Albany.
8	MS. D'ALLEVA: Yes. Currently it is 4.7
9	for 2012. Is that what we're asking? I'm sorry.
10	I wasn't paying attention.
11	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: No. I think he's
12	asking for 13 at this present time.
13	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No. We're asking
14	for 2012 and then we're asking 2013 to date. If
15	that's possible, Mr. Chalmers.
16	MS. D'ALLEVA: The budget for 13 is
17	approximately the same amount, which would be
18	about 4.5 million.
19	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Actual
20	expenditures we are looking for not the budget.
21	MS. D'ALLEVA: We can get that for you.
22	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Well, we would
23	like to get it - no disrespect, we would like to
24	get it from the Office of Legislative Budget
25	Review.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 216
2	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: But the breakdown
3	really is done by the Department of Parks.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. So we want
5	it verified by the Independent Budget Review
6	Office, that's all. What's wrong with that?
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: There's nothing
8	wrong with that. But I think in do courtesy to
9	Parks, they would be able to address this as
10	well.
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Go ahead,
13	Legislator - who is going, Roseanne or Mr.
14	Chalmers?
15	MS. D'ALLEVA: Any other questions?
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No.
17	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Mr.
18	Chalmers, you're going to provide that
19	information to Mr. Abrahams, correct, and the
20	majority as well.
21	MR. CHALMERS: Correct. We'll get that
22	information, we'll go through it make sure it's
23	accurate, double check it, and then we'll
24	distribute it to the entire legislature.
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We're asking for

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 217
2	12 as well as 13.
3	MR. CHALMERS: 13 will be a budget, just
4	so that we're clear.
5	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay.
6	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Chalmers, you
7	couldn't give us to date expenses?
8	MR. CHALMERS: To the extent that
9	anything is posted in the system, we'll get that
10	information.
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Thank you.
12	MR. CHALMERS: You're welcome.
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Now, any other
14	questions regarding Item Number 2 regarding the
15	hotel and motel occupancy tax?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	Any public comment?
18	(No verbal response.)
19	Okay. There being none, a motion to
20	close the hearing?
21	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
22	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Second.
23	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
24	Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator Belesi.
25	All those in favor of closing the hearing

₁	
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 218
2	signify by saying aye.
3	(Aye.)
4	Any opposed?
5	(No verbal response.)
6	The hearing is closed.
7	Now, we're going to backtrack a little
8	bit. We have a vote on a proposed law which
9	extends from the first hearing, which is
10	regarding the sales tax.
11	I need a motion, please. I didn't read
12	the entire item, but if you wish I will. It has
13	to do with the extension of the sales tax. Okay.
14	No reading.
15	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
16	LEGISLATOR FORD: Second.
17	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
18	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Ford.
19	Any questions from Mr. May?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	Any questions from the public?
22	(No verbal response.)
23	There being none, all those in favor of
24	Item 3, local law regarding the extension of the
25	sales tax, signify by saying aye.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	(Aye.)
3	Any opposed?
4	(No verbal response.)
5	The item passes unanimously.
6	The next one is a local law amending
7	Title 24 of the Miscellaneous Laws of Nassau
8	County in relation to extending the hotel and
9	
	motel occupancy tax.
10	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
11	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Second.
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Motion by
13	Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator Belesi.
14	Any questions regarding this item?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	I believe that the testimony from the
17	hearing should be incorporated primarily because
18	we're looking for information that will be
19	forwarded to us regarding the monies and how they
20	were spent.
21	(Whereupon, the following are the minutes
22	from the August 5, 2013 Full Legislature meeting
23	pertaining to Clerk Items 3 and 4.)
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You too.
25	We're going to open up the first hearing

_ [
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 220
2	on the calendar on proposed Local Law 2013. It's
3	a local law amending Local Law Number 18-1984 as
4	last amended by Local Law Number 8-2011 and Local
5	Law 9-2011 and as incorporated in Chapter 4 of
6	Title 9 of the Miscellaneous Laws of Nassau
7	County in relation to imposing additional rates
8	of sale and compensating use taxes authorized by
9	Section 1210 of the Tax Law, and continuing a
10	local government assistance program authorized by
11	Section 1262-E of the Tax Law.
12	Motion to open the hearing?
13	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
14	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Second.
15	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
16	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Belesi.
17	Anybody here to speak on this?
18	MR. MAY: There is. We have Ms. Rosanne
19	D'Alleva from the Office of Management and Budget
20	to speak on this item.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay.
22	MR. MAY: This local law extends the
23	sales tax in the county for two years.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Right.
25	MS. D'ALLEVA: Are there any questions

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 221
2	on this item?
3	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any questions for
4	Roseanne?
5	(No verbal response.)
6	Any public comment regarding this?
7	(No verbal response.)
8	Okay. Guess what? A motion, please, to
9	close the hearing.
10	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
11	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Second.
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
13	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Belesi.
14	All those in favor of closing the hearing
15	signify by saying aye.
16	(Aye.)
17	Any opposed?
18	(No verbal response.)
19	The hearing is closed.
20	Now we have a second hearing, that's Item
21	2 on the calendar. A local law amending Title 24
22	of the Miscellaneous Laws of Nassau County in
23	relation to extending the hotel and motel
24	occupancy tax.
25	Motion to open the hearing?

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 225
2	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.
3	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Go ahead,
4	Legislator - who is going, Roseanne or Mr.
5	Chalmers?
6	MS. D'ALLEVA: Any other questions?
7	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No.
8	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Mr.
9	Chalmers, you're going to provide that
10	information to Mr. Abrahams, correct, and the
11	majority as well.
12	MR. CHALMERS: Correct. We'll get that
13	information, we'll go through it make sure it's
14	accurate, double check it, and then we'll
15	distribute it to the entire legislature.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We're asking for
17	12 as well as 13.
18	MR. CHALMERS: 13 will be a budget, just
19	so that we're clear.
20	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay.
21	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Chalmers, you
22	couldn't give us to date expenses?
23	MR. CHALMERS: To the extent that
24	anything is posted in the system, we'll get that
25	information.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 226
2	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Thank you.
3	MR. CHALMERS: You're welcome.
4	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Now, any other
5	questions regarding Item Number 2 regarding the
6	hotel and motel occupancy tax?
7	(No verbal response.)
8	Any public comment?
9	(No verbal response.)
10	Okay. There being none, a motion to
11	close the hearing?
12	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
13	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Second.
14	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
15	Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator Belesi.
16	All those in favor of closing the hearing
17	signify by saying aye.
18	(Aye.)
19	Any opposed?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	The hearing is closed.
22	(Whereupon, the following is the
23	continuation of the minutes of the August 5, 2013
24	Full Legislature meeting.)
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any public

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	comment regarding Item 4?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	There being none, all those in favor of
5	Item 4 signify by saying aye.
6	(Aye.)
7	Any opposed?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	The item passes unanimously.
10	There is an item on the calendar
11	regarding those two items we just passed, which
12	is Item 34, Ordinance Number 124-2013 or 320-13,
13	an ordinance to amend Ordinance Number 404-C-1968
14	as amended, in relation to imposing an additional
15	rate of sales and compensating use taxes and to
16	continue to the Local Government Assistance
17	Program in Nassau County.
18	Motion, please.
19	LEGISLATOR BELESI: So moved.
20	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
22	Legislator Belesi, seconded by Legislator Dunne.
23	Any questions regarding Item 34?
24	(No verbal response.)
25	Any public comment?

_	
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 228
2	(No verbal response.)
3	There being none, all those in favor of
4	Item 34 signify by saying aye.
5	(Aye.)
6	Any opposed?
7	(No verbal response.)
8	The item passes unanimously.
9	Thank you.
10	The following items - can we make a
11	motion to suspend the reading?
12	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's fine.
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We'll begin with
14	Item 19, Resolution 124; Item 20, Resolution 125;
15	21, Resolution 126; 22, Resolution 127; 23,
16	Resolution 128; Number 24, Resolution 129; 25,
17	Item 25, Resolution 130; 26, Resolution 131; 27,
18	Resolution 132; 28, Resolution 133; Item 30,
19	Resolution 134; Item 32, Resolution 135; Item 36,
20	Resolution 126; Item 37 I'm sorry, 36 is
21	Ordinance 126; 37, Ordinance 127; 38, Ordinance
22	128; 39, Ordinance 129; 40, Ordinance 130, 41,
23	Ordinance 131; 42, Ordinance 132; 43, Ordinance
24	133; 45, Resolution 136; 46, Resolution 137; 47,
25	Resolution 138; 48, Resolution 139; Item 68,

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 229
2	Resolution 159; 69, Resolution 160; 71,
3	Resolution 162; 72, Resolution 163; Item 73,
4	Resolution 164; 74, Resolution 165; and last item
5	is Item 75, Resolution 166.
6	A motion, please, for all those items?
7	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
8	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Motion by
10	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
11	Thank you.
12	Any questions on any of this?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	Any public comment?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	There being none.
17	All those in favor of these items signify
18	by saying aye.
19	(Aye.)
20	Any opposed?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	These items pass unanimously.
23	Okay.
24	Here we go. On the non-consent calendar
25	we have Item 29, Ordinance Number 121-2013 from

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 230
2	the county attorney, which is 303-13, and that is
3	an ordinance providing for a capital expenditure
4	to finance the payment of certain judgments,
5	awards, determinations or compromised or settled
6	claims against the County of New York of
7	Nassau, excuse me, authorizing \$949,202.62 of
8	bonds of the County of Nassau, to finance said
9	expenditure, making certain determinations
10	pursuant to the State Environmental Quality
11	Review Act, pursuant to the Local Finance Law of
12	New York, and the County Government Law of Nassau
13	County.
14	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
15	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Second.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
17	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Belesi.
18	And who do we have here?
19	MR. MAY: We have Miss Lisa Locurto from
20	the county attorney's office.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Any
22	questions?
23	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, just a
24	general comment.
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Legislator

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Mr. Theophan, going back had asked for some information as to why OSPAC had voted against this.

MR. THEOPHAN: I read directly from the OSPAC minutes. The last time they voted against it because it's contiguous to a Nassau County Park and it was OSPAC's feeling that they would like to see this parcel incorporated in the park.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I, for one, have always stuck with their recommendations, and I didn't see anything that made me feel that they were wrong.

MR. THEOPHAN: This was not a vacant parcel. This was a garage that was utilized by public works. It's a little qualitatively different than some of the other parcels that they have expressed a desire not to see them sold and developed.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Legislator Denenberg?

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I have nothing further.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other questions of Mr. Theophan?

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 237
2	(No verbal response.)
3	Any public comment?
4	(No verbal response.)
5	There being none. All those in favor of
6	Item 70, Resolution 161 signify - that's not the
7	right one. Sorry.
8	All those in favor of Item 35, Ordinance
9	125, signify by saying aye.
10	(Aye.)
11	Any opposed?
12	(Nay.)
13	The item passes ten to seven.
14	Item 70, Resolution 161, a resolution to
15	establish a complete streets policy in Nassau
16	County.
17	Motion, please?
18	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
19	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.
20	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
21	Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator Dunne.
22	We have, I see, Mr. Mistron to speak on
23	this item.
24	MR. MAY: Correct.
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. Gallagher,

Full Legislature - 8-5-13
you're going to speak when you need to.

We're on 70, the complete streets.

MR. MISTRON: I've had the opportunity over the past several months to go into the different legislative districts to try and promote walk safe programs, to encourage ways of improving safety for pedestrians. As part of this, we've always seen a partnership between enforcement, engineering, and education to try and promote safety; engineering, of course, with the improvement of crosswalks, signalization, push buttons, countdown timers leading pedestrians.

We've also seen enforcement involved in our programs, enforcing both the pedestrian laws for motorists failing to yield the right of way, as well as improvements with pedestrians themselves to try and encourage them to utilize the improved engineering locations.

This particular measure for complete streets goes beyond that, goes beyond the recognition of safety for pedestrians and vehicles sharing the road. But it goes to the point that there are other individuals, as well

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES

as other uses of the roadways by both bicyclists, by pedestrians, as we've already mentioned, but of various ages and abilities.

Complete streets takes into recognition and has engineering recognize the uses of the streets and makes them or actually requests that all future designs, that all rehabilitations take into consideration the shared usage of our roadways to make them safer. For that reason, complete streets, in that recognition, asks engineering to take that one step beyond, seize the opportunities to see how can we get people out of their vehicles into the streets and to be able to be willing to buy into many of the changes, to be able to be safe, improve their health, as well as maybe bring back some of our downtown communities to want to walk.

And that's the basis.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. Mistron, think you have made several presentations regarding this program, and I was present at one of them and extremely impressed with the fact that you are not only including the pedestrians but you are also including the motorists. And if

516-747-7353

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

you can make our streets safer and have more

people walk instead of drive, I think that would be a plus.

Legislator Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much.

The City of Long Beach just passed a similar resolution.

Just one quick question in regard - when you talk about, like with the complete streets.

I'm going to guess that you also include sidewalks as well.

MR. MISTRON: Of course.

LEGISLATOR FORD: If a person - I just want to know if you do a streetscapes program where you redo the streets as well as the sidewalks, then you have to -- do you have to make sure that you add in a component to make sure that all sidewalks and ramps are fully handicapped accessible if you do any changes or any upgrades?

MR. MISTRON: Where upgrades permit, all ADA requirements are met. Depending upon the particular project, what will happen is the engineers will go, at the opportunity to see how

rull	Ъ€	egislature	. –	8-5-13
Тһэр	1-	17.0.11		

2 | Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any comments from the rest of the legislators?

(No verbal response.)

Any public comment?

(No verbal response.)

There being none. All those in favor - I'm sorry. Mr. Gallagher, come forward.

MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you very much.

Again, the Cedar Creek Park, for some unknown reason, allows bicycle clubs to ride on the same roadway that trucks and cars are riding on those roads at the same time. Bicycle clubs. They have signs up - no bicycles, no skateboarding, no pedestrians on the roadway. It's a county ordinance. Nobody enforces the law. Before we get sued, when somebody gets issued on this parkway or roadway, we're going to be in trouble. Stop the people from riding on the road that says a sign, no bicycling, no skateboarding, no pedestrians. There's a sign but it's being allowed in the Cedar Creek Park.

I also find that - we did have a girl killed one time on Sunrise Highway and Wantagh

Avenue. You got the state highway, and then the road that comes down, Wantagh, it's either county or town roadway. What takes place there — and it should be that all cars in all directions stop until all pedestrians cross the roadway. What's taking place now is the east and west cars on Sunrise Highway stop at a red light. Then the left hand turn going south and coming up north, the right hand turn cars come and where are the pedestrians? They got a sign, come on and cross the street. You're going to be a target for the cars that are making the left and right turns. There's got to be a complete stop to give the pedestrians the right of way.

Merrick Road, it needs to be lined.

People don't understand when there's a white line between the curb and a white line out in the street, that is for bicyclists. They park in those areas. It should be no parking in those areas so that bicycles can ride safely.

That Sunrise Highway needs to be looked over, and other areas.

I feel that the county or whoever implemented the red light cameras, we need to

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

laws enough to stop this stuff.

have in certain areas stop sign cameras to catch the people running through stop signs. They got the signs out there - speed signs. Set up cameras and catch them speeding down roadways. This is where people are going to get killed, by not enforcing the law. We are not enforcing the

I went up to a red light one time and the other traffic started moving. I went a little bit forward and went into the crosswalk. I did get a ticket for that, \$80. That makes me stop on a dime in the future. And that's what we need to get, a little bit more enforcement on these things for the safety of the people.

We have sidewalks that have a sidewalk and if somebody has their grass all the way out to the curb. I think the lady was trying to bring that point out, that this is not their property to have grass on. This is a sidewalk for people to walk on.

You got blind people, you got people who are handicapped and they have to walk and they're going to trip on this stuff. Across the street from my house is a tree that belongs to the Town

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

of Long Beach have passed this legislation in different forms. In Suffolk County four towns have passed a version of complete streets.

There's a demonstrated need on county roadways. Different parts of Old Country Road in Plainview and Hicksville, North Main Street in Freeport, Jackson Avenue in Syosset, there are high concentrations of people walking and biking. Auto registrations are down. We have an aging population. There were a number of people from AARP here before who wanted to speak on this matter but were excited that you're considering this again.

There are a lot distracted and aggressive drivers that aren't paying attention to people on the roadways. So we need other tools to allow pedestrians and bicyclists, older folks, disabled folks, young people to have a leg up, essentially, in a negotiation on the roadway with automobiles. So there's emerging technical expertise to address this.

We've brought Dan Burden (phonetic) in from Walkable Communities, Rick Carl (phonetic), traffic engineers. There are companies -

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

Wendell, Greenman Pederson that know how to do this. There's a book, a manual that was created by the ITE. It's a chapter, the Green Book, that tells you how to design roadways in a safe fashion.

There are projects on another municipal roadways. The Tri-State Transportation Campaign was here before. They've given you testimony that outlines some of these projects. And AARP is four square behind this. There are successful projects. There are also funding streams that are geared towards pedestrian safety amenities.

Smithtown, Hempstead Turnpike, most recently Great Neck, downtown Great Neck,

Patchogue, Huntington have all important traffic calming projects and implemented them; that's exciting.

This last April we had a complete street summit. Certainly from Nassau County

Commissioner of Traffic Safety and also

Legislator Delia DeRiggi-Whitton addressed a group and expressed interest in advancing some legislation, so thank you for that. We also know we brought this back to the administration and

3

1

there's some progress.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

they were very interested. So we're excited that

The last point I'll make is too many lives have been lost over poor roadway design. In downtown Syosset, my niece Victoria, Victoria Alexander was hit on a bike a few years ago by a speeding car. She could have died, and she would have been in one of those stories in Newsday that we read about or we read about on News 12. There's just no need for it. We know better. There's designs that can make our roadways better. And it's incumbent upon public folks in the seats that you have to make these roadways safer for folks. No need for good people to be statistics in news stories.

Thank you for taking action today. that's it.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Jacobs.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I just wanted to tell all of you who spoke on this that something really good is happening from Bethpage to It needs tweaking, which I'm involved with the state with now. But I was involved with

25

2 this when I was minority leader, before we won 3 the majority. And it's that they built a bike 4 path literally from Bethpage State Park all the 5 way to Syosset. The reason the state was able to 6 do it is there was a grant at the time that as 7 long as you could show that it had a destination for mass transit, that they would build this bike 8 It happens to be, the people I speak to 9 path. 10 who use it all the time love it. Now here's the 11 problem. Just like Eric is saying with how our 12 streets are, unfortunately this bike path 13 eventually comes to a part where Northern State 14 has an ingress and egress, where you're driving 15 along and also when you get to Manetto Hill Road 16 and obviously people are going the normal speed, 17 there's no right of way for that bicyclist to 18 keep going. Of course people were going crazy 19 about what are we supposed to do? We all know 20 the answer. It's not finished. They're going to 21 be putting signs up telling bicyclists you do not 22 have the right of way and if you are crossing the 23 artery in front of you, you must get off and walk 24 your bike.

Essentially, it really does give the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They've been taught that from places like Safety Town and so on.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Yes. The little bicycles.

MR. MISTRON: Right. The thing is, again, all these aspects of improvements are ways of encouraging people to do it. When they see something familiar, they'll use it.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Now, bicyclists should also be told not to ride eight abreast when they're riding.

MR. MISTRON: That's correct.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: And take up more than just a lane.

MR. MISTRON: We have received -Traffic Safety received a grant through one of
our partners, New York Coalition for
Transportation Safety, to provide bicycle
education. Again, when we start coming into your
legislative districts to do the educational
programs, there will be a bicycle component. A
number of the legislators have already taken
advantage of our partners in doing bike rodeos.
Again, all of this is made available to residents
within the County through traffic safety.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Well, Chris, I don't want to give you an extra job to do but I would like to ask you right now to think about the fact

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 if there is ever an education program needed, maybe at one of the POB High School or Junior High Schools, it's a good time because this is all brand new to them, and maybe they have to be told the obvious that we know, that you have to get off your bicycle when you're about to go into a regular street.

> MR. MISTRON: That will -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: This might be a perfect time to do some kind of presentation like that.

MR. MISTRON: Well, we have already contacted -- the county executive's office has already contacted the high schools with regard to the Walk Safe videos that we introduced several weeks back. So this will be something that we are looking at trying to produce a specific video on bike safety also and again, specifically for the schools.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Only because this is so unusual. It's just opened and people are questioning. Thank you.

> MR. MISTRON: Sure.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: While you're at

Fulll	Legislature -	8-5-13
гитт	Legislature -	. 0-J-IJ

2.5

it, I'll tell you right now there is a bicycle
path that's being extended from Hempstead

Turnpike across Merrick Avenue alongside the park
going north to Old Country Road and down to

Salisbury Park Drive; part of it is county, part
of it is state and they are looking to connect

9 troublesome because of the nature of that trail.

the path with the Wantagh. Again, it's

Mr. Dunne. Legislator Dunne.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Thank you, Madam Chair. I just have one quick question.

State law says that the pedestrian in a walkway has a right of way.

MR. MISTRON: That is correct.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: You must stop for a pedestrian in a walkway. The perceived signs at different locations with that state law right by the traffic lights. Being that we are doing this safe street, can we get those signs from the state and place them in the heavy intersections?

MR. MISTRON: The use of signs is kind of a double edge sword. What I mean by that is too many signs becomes a distraction, also. The fact that what we've been working on, what the

traffic.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2 state has done, what the County DPW is doing is 3 we're trying to make sure that we're going to the 4 communities and educate the use of the crosswalk 5 button. New York State has been utilizing, 6 especially along Hempstead Turnpike as well as 7 the county in certain designated areas, we are 8 looking at what's called the LPI, the leading 9 pedestrian indicator, that will create an all red 10 period so that pedestrians have the ability to start their cross safely, not for any fear of 11 12 rights on reds or anything, and they'll be maybe 13 a third of the way if not half of the way into 14 their crossing before the green light releases

While the use of those signs, as far as yielding to pedestrians, the law is that we are supposed to yield to pedestrians. I'll just add one last thing, an exciting thing that we've We trained our police officers back in done. March, we were able to bring in some experts to teach them on pedestrian enforcement, making sure the pedestrian knows also the law and will cross properly.

But one of the things is up in Port

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Washington, Legislator Bosworth's area -- I'm sorry -- Legislator Wink's area. We did an exciting program up there where we started enforcement of some of the local roads. We had a motorcycle police officer, a chase car, and we were utilizing a police officer that was not in uniform, and when he would step into the street, we were pulling over the cars that were failing to yield to the pedestrians in that crosswalk. They went further to pedestrians that were crossing mid-block, to just kind of stop them and ask them to please use the proper crossings. main objective here is for everybody to be wherever the vehicles expect you to be and that will add to your safety.

 $\label{eq:chairwoman} \mbox{CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES:} \qquad \mbox{Legislator} \\ \mbox{Bosworth.}$

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: So this is kind of a variation on the theme, and we're talking about pedestrian safety, bicycles, and all of that.

Is there any focus on helping pedestrians to be safer, in terms of wearing things so that as it's getting darker people can see them?

That's an issue. We have a lot of walkers in my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MISTRON: Again, when I had the opportunity to meet with both caucuses with regard to advancing the safety, this is something that will be part of the programs that we'll be bringing throughout the County. Those type of items, especially earmarked for the most vulnerable, be it the senior or the very young pedestrian, those will be made available.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Just to be aware, there's another component in that the senior driver -

MR. MISTRON: Yes.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 257
2	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: who is not able to
3	see the pedestrians, so it's
4	MR. MISTRON: The senior drivers is a
5	challenge all unto itself.
6	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Not casting any
7	aspersions onto senior drivers. It's just a
8	concern that was raised.
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
10	comments? No, seriously, there were people who
11	put in a slip about complete streets and one was
12	Ryan Lynch. I don't know if he is still here.
13	No.
14	William Stoner. Am I right? I guess
15	MR. MAY: I think William Stoner was
16	from the AARP.
17	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Yes.
18	MR. MAY: He left testimony; I gave it
19	to the clerk's office to read into the record.
20	Not to read into the record, but for the record.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very
22	much.
23	There being no other comments, no public
24	participation, all those in favor of Item Number
25	70 signify by saying aye.

(Aye.)

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Any opposed?

(No verbal response.)

The item passes unanimously.

Good job. Thank you very much.

Now, we have a number of bond ordinances that have to do with the capital improvements or capital projects, I should say. I'm going to begin with -- I'm going to call them all at once, and if there are any comments regarding them, so be it.

The first one is Item Number 7, Ordinance Number 109 or 330-13, Public Works; remember that a lot of testimony was given during the testimony, so please, the testimony of those committees is certainly incorporated into this calendar meeting.

Number 8, Ordinance 110, which is 331-13, Public Works; Ordinance 9 - I mean Item 9, Ordinance 11, 332-13, Public Works; Item 11, Ordinance Number 113 or 334, Public Works; Item 12, which is Ordinance 114, 335-13, Public Works; Item 35 - 13, excuse me, Ordinance 115, which was 336-13, Public Works; 14, which was Ordinance

2 | 116, 337-13 from Public Works; 15, a bond

3 ordinance providing for a capital - Ordinance 117

4 | - Item 15, Ordinance 117, 338-13, Public Works;

Item 16, Ordinance 118, 339-13, Public Works; and

6 | Item 18, Ordinance 120, 341-13.

(Whereupon, the following are the minutes of the July 29, 2013 Public Works Committee meeting pertaining to Clerk Items 331, 332, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, and 341-13.)

them one at a time all at once. We have Item 330 of '13 which is a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$14,467,140 worth of bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County;

Item 331 of '13, a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$500,000 worth of

bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said

expenditure and make certain determinations

pursuant to the State Environmental Quality

Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of

6 New York and the County Government Law of Nassau

7 County;

2.5

providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$33 million worth of bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County;

Item 333 of '13 which is a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$1 million worth of bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality

Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of

New York and the County Government Law of Nassau
County;

Item 334 of '13 which is a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$200,000 worth of bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County;

Item 335 of '13 which is a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$750,000 worth of bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County;

Item 336 of '13, a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a

capital project specified herein within the 3 County of Nassau authorizing \$108,665 worth of

4 bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said

5 expenditure and make certain determinations

6 pursuant to the State Environmental Quality

7 Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of

8 New York and the County Government Law of Nassau

9 County;

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Item 337 of '13, a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$1 million worth of bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County;

Item 338 of '13 is a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$1 million worth of The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations

pursuant to the State Environmental Quality

Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of

New York and the County Government Law of Nassau

County;

Item 339 of '13 is a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$500,000 worth of bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County;

Item 340 of '13 is a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$326,250,000 worth of bonds.

The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 County;

The last item is Item 341 of '13, a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance a capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$72,500,000 worth of bonds. The County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County.

Motion, please.

LEGISLATOR BECKER: So moved.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Moved by Mr.

Becker, seconded by Ms. Walker. Okay, Mr. May.

MR. MAY: We have Mr. Richard Millet from DPW to answer any questions on these items.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: For the minority, we are fine letting the questions wait until Finance since each of these items go to Finance and Rules as well.

LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: Dave, we're not. The two of us aren't, you are. You're going to

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

vote yes, we're going to vote no.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No, but we are

fine waiting for Finance for the questions. So we are going to allow it to pass through with questions but, for the record, since Legislator Scannell brought it up, Legislators Scannell and Solages will vote no in this committee and myself will vote yes in this committee.

CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Do you have any specific questions at this point?

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We are going to save it for Finance.

CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: On our side, no questions, we will save it for Finance?

Okay. There being no questions, all those in favor of moving these items to Finance signify by saying aye.

(Aye.)

Any opposed?

(No verbal response.)

These items carry. That's a vote 4 of 5 to 2, with Mr. Scannell and Mr. Solages in the negative.

(Whereupon, the following are the minutes

of the July 29, 2013 Finance Committee meeting pertaining to Clerk Items 331, 332, 334, 335,

 $4 \parallel 336, 337, 338, 339, and 341-13.$

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Now I'm going to call Items 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341. I will read the first one and then give the amounts for the rest of them because they're all identical except for the amounts.

A bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance the capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau in authorizing \$500,000 in bonds of the County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and making certain determinations pursuant to SEQRA pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County.

Item 332 authorizes \$33 million in bonds;
Item 333 authorizes \$1 million in bonds; Item 334
authorizes \$200,000 in bonds; Item 335 authorizes
\$750,000 of bonds; Item 336 authorizes \$108,665
of bonds; Item 337 authorizes a million dollars
in bonds; and Item 338 authorizes a million
dollars in bonds; Item 339 authorizes \$500,000 of

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 267
2	bonds; Item 340 authorizes \$326,250,000 in bonds;
3	Item 341 authorizes \$72,500,000 in bonds, and I
4	think I skipped over the first one which
5	authorizes, 330 of 2013, which authorizes
6	\$14,467,140 of bonds.
7	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
8	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
10	Walker, seconded by Legislator Muscarella. These
11	items are before the committee. Do we have
12	anybody to speak about these items?
13	MR. MAY: We do. We have Mr. Richard
14	Millet from DPW to answer any questions on these
15	items.
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay.
17	MR. MAY: Mr. Arnold first and then Rich.
18	MR. ARNOLD: Project by project, item by
19	item?
20	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Yes.
21	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And, as you go, if
22	I many, to the Chair, as you go item by item and
23	describe what it's for, can you say if it's an
24	existing project or a new project?
25	MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Item 330-13 is for

2 | additional funding on an existing project,

3 capital project 81011. This funding is going to

4 | be allocated towards the environmental cleanup of

5 | the sanitary sewage overflows in the communities

6 of Baldwin and East Rockaway. This is to pay for

7 | both the interior work, some claims that we have

8 outstanding, and also to move forward with the

9 exterior work.

1

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Keep going.

We'll ask, unless something comes up, our

12 | questions when you're done.

MR. ARNOLD: Item 331-13 is a bond ordinance to an existing capital project to add an additional \$500,000 for various roof repairs for the various buildings at both Bay Park, City Creek, Glen Cove, Cedarhurst, Lawrence and the pump stations.

Item 332 is an additional bond ordinance for an existing capital project associated with equipment replacement at Cedar Creek. This funding will go towards both Cedar Creek screens and grid, effluent screens and other various miscellaneous improvements that the plant will require.

Item 333-13 is an additional bond ordinance from an existing capital project for Whitney drain up in Manhasset.

Item 334-13 is an additional ordinance for an existing capital project for mosquito control program so we can finish our SEQRA determination.

Item 335-13 is an additional bond ordinance for an existing capital project associated with requirements contract work associated with both the collection and the waste water facilities.

Item 336 is additional bond ordinance for our drainage reconstruction capital projection, that's an existing capital project.

Item 337 is an additional bond ordinance from existing capital project associated with our reconstruction and rehabilitation of storm water basins.

Item 338 is an additional bond ordinance for an existing capital project associated with the replacement of motorized equipment for the wastewater facility operation.

Item 339 is an additional bond ordinance

much.

for an existing capital project for various improvements to the wastewater facilities associated with employee amenities such as bathrooms, locker rooms, common space, office, as

Item 340 is an additional bond ordinance to the capital project that was recently approved which is associated with the Hurricane Sandy recovery at Bay Park.

This bond ordinance is associated with the work for the electrical distribution system at Bay Park.

Item 341 is an additional bond ordinance for the Bay Park, same capital project that was recently approved. This funding is for the plant and boundary protection system.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Is it just the last two that were part of the recently proposed 700 million?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes. That capital project is 35,121. I think that capital project is closer to \$500,000. There were other pieces of that that made it up to 700,000 in other capital projects.

equipment.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And that's to also elevate it, is it not?

22

23

24

25

MR. ARNOLD: And mitigation. There's

have information to add, we would appreciate it

24 if you would approach the podium.

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Because 262 was

Full Legislature - 8-5-13
approved, and, Mr. Millet, say what you were
going to say about the second quarter of 2014.

₁ |

MR. MILLET: Right now they are in active 30 percent design, so we need to fund the 100 percent design to be ready to go to bid in 2014 on these two items.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The 262 is not enough for the design?

MR. MILLET: No. Because it didn't have anything to do with the electrical system.

The Hazen & Sawyer team is actively pursuing to accelerate their 30 percent design. We are actively pursuing to get this money in place so we can get out to 100 percent design and replace the electrical system that is woefully damaged.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: What's second quarter 2014, the bid for the construction?

MR. MILLET: That would be the

construction.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: That's different. You said, was it the bid, he said it's for the construction.

MR. MILLET: For the construction.

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 274
2	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: When are we going
3	to bid?
4	MR. MILLET: For the construction in the
5	second quarter of 2014.
6	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So the bid for the
7	construction second quarter 2014
8	MR. MILLET: You still need the 100
9	percent design. I don't have money to pay a
10	designer to design it if I can't get money in the
11	electrical system programs.
12	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So, how much is
13	that?
14	MR. MILLET: I would assume, the entire
15	electrical system in Bay Park?
16	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We are doing the
17	design now, correct?
18	MR. MILLET: We are doing a 30 percent
19	technical report in preparation for full design.
20	Not a full design. That is not part of their
21	contract.
22	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So right now we
23	have a contractor that's doing what you are
24	calling a 30 percent design?
25	MR. MILLET: Correct.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Explain to us what you mean by a 30 percent design and what you mean by a 100 percent design?

MR. MILLET: What you do when you do a technical design report, an outward 30 percent design is, you bring in a program that another designer can pick up and finish so that there are no changes that will be made or guesses as to what people want in the electrical system. It will be laid out for them and they just have to carry the program out to 100 percent.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How much money do we need to do that?

MR. MILLET: Again, I couldn't venture to guess. I would think that a regular design on a digester rehab is 100 grand. I would imagine the whole electrical system is fairly expensive.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So part of the 326.25 for the electrical is the 30 percent design --

MR. MILLET: The 100 percent. The 30 percent is covered inside Hazen & Sawyer's existing contract.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So when do we

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 think we are going to get to the 100 percent design?

MR. MILLET: I would assume that we would probably have it mid first quarter so that we can do contract documents and then go out to bid in the beginning of the second quarter.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So the 100 percent design is the detailed technical specifications that we would need to do a construction bid?

MR. MILLET: Correct.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The 30 percent design would be the first phase of the design so that we could get to detailed technical specs?

MR. MILLET: Correct. They would put together what equipment we would want to use, how we would want to put everything in order so the designer can build it the way it's exactly laid out without having any guesswork by the designer.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So let's go to 341 now which is the \$72.5 million which, Mr. Arnold, you said that would be ready, I believe you said ready for bidding on construction second quarter 2014?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, that is running under

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 277
2	the same time frame as the electrical
3	distribution job.
4	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: What is it and are
5	we in the 30 percent design phase right now and
6	need to move to a 100 percent design phase?
7	MR. ARNOLD: Correct. It's with our
8	Hazen & Sawyer Pirnie, they are doing the 30
9	percent design, and we need to move to the 100
10	percent design phase.
11	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: This 72.5
12	ultimately is for what?
13	MR. ARNOLD: It's for whatever
14	alternative that this design report puts
15	together. They're still looking at the various
16	options.
17	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: What's the
18	ultimate construction?
19	MR. MILLET: Ultimately it will go out in
20	the second quarter of '14 as well.
21	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: For what?
22	326 is for electrical. The 72.5 is for -
23	_
24	MR. MILLET: Plant hardening as a 14
25	whole. It may be a dike with seawalls, it may be

11	
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 278
2	some sort of retractable wall system as well as
3	exterior pumping.
4	We're talking to Pirnie with a bunch of
5	people the Dutch people who, this is what they do
6	best.
7	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So the 30 percent
8	design for this too, Mr. Millet, has already
9	begun?
10	MR. MILLET: It is ongoing right now.
11	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So when
12	we move to the 100 percent design phase?
13	MR. MILLET: Again, I think we're looking
14	at the end of October, beginning of November.
15	We're keeping everything on a very short pace.
16	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I thought you said
17	first quarter of 2014.
18	MR. MILLET: The hardening is going to
19	move a little faster.
20	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So we're looking
21	at the end of fourth quarter 2013?
22	MR. MILLET: Correct.
23	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's when we
24	would need this money?
25	MR. MILLET: That's when the contract

documents would be ready to go out.

New contract administration documents.

There is a long lead time on some of the product information. So we got a little jump on this one.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let me ask now. I guess it's Mr. Arnold, but if you can help, Mr. Millet, what you said, existing projects on most of these different items, Baldwin and other areas that had sewage 330-13 would be \$14.4 million and you said that's adding to existing projects to sanitize and help repair homes that were contaminated.

MR. ARNOLD: Right. There was an existing bond ordinance that this body passed right after the storm, I think it was three and a half million dollars, and that was utilized for the interior work. We needed an additional, roughly million and a half, \$2 million to finish that part of the work, plus the exterior work is running around 11, \$12 million.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How much of the 3 and a half have we used so far?

MR. ARNOLD: It has all been spent. We

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARNOLD: That is being handled by the county attorney's office. I'm not sure where that stands at this moment.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Is this money to help reimburse the people who laid out money?

MR. ARNOLD: I would have to speak with the county attorney.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Mr. Millet, if you

said were existing projects, correct, Mr. Arnold?

the projects you said, I think all of them, you

24

25

Full	Legislature -	8-5-13
гитт	пеатртасите	0 0 10

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.

3 | LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let's go

4 to 332, for example, that's \$33 million?

MR. ARNOLD: Correct.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's for which existing project?

MR. ARNOLD: 3C-067.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Which is what?

MR. ARNOLD: Cedar Creek miscellaneous equipment.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's a project that's been existing at least since the capital plan 2010?

MR. ARNOLD: I believe so. I would have to double-check the exact year.

Goes back to at least '10 with an ordinance in 2010.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That was in the millions I thought. So we've spent that money --

MR. ARNOLD: We currently have a carry forward of 15 million. This initial \$33 million will allow us to get all our projects done that are planned for this coming year.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So that's my

question. I was looking at an existing project that has \$15 million that, according to this year's capital budget, the 2013 budget documents that I just received a month ago, we still have \$15 million unused.

MR. ARNOLD: Correct.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So we are going to use that \$15 million and we think we need another \$33 million?

MR. ARNOLD: We are going to put contracts out this year that will require the additional \$33 million.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: When is that contract going to go out? When you say "this year," is that the third quarter?

MR. ARNOLD: Cedar Creek screens are going to go out by the end of the month, Cedar Creek grit should be going out by the end of the year.

We have a contract for final screens that will go out in early '14, and then there is other miscellaneous projects that will also be put out.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How come over the years we didn't use the \$15 million authorization

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 for this particular project?

I mean, that's been a carry forward number for at least two or three years.

MR. ARNOLD: The Cedar Creek screen project required a couple of go arounds and what the correct design of this facility should be, and there's been delays in getting that job up because of that. It is ready to go now. We are finalizing the PLA which held the job up a little bit. And now we're ready to go out to bid.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So I'm not reading it wrong through, this \$15 million in this project, I'm reading it in our 2010 capital budget -- capital plan, I'm sorry?

MR. ARNOLD: I know this project has been around since 2010. I don't have the numbers in front of me exactly how we funded it each year.

I have to go back and look at that.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So some of that \$48 million should be hitting the streets in a bid right now, this month?

MR. ARNOLD: The screen jobs will be going out in August.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The SEQRA for 334,

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	what is it, we haven't done the SEQRA process yet
3	so we need money for engineers to get us through
4	the process?
5	MR. ARNOLD: We went through half the
6	process. We got through the public scoping
7	session. This is the complete the last pieces
8	of taking the comments from the scoping session
9	and completing the EIS document.
10	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Who have we hired
11	for that?
12	MR. ARNOLD: We have not hired anybody
13	yet. Once we get the bond ordinance approval,
14	we'll get a contract.
15	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How did we get
16	through the first process, the public scoping?
17	MR. ARNOLD: We utilized a contract off
18	the OGS state contract list and they did the
19	initial work for us.
20	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: What is the name
21	of that contract?
22	MR. ARNOLD: Environment and Ecology is a
23	company out of Buffalo that did the work for us.
24	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: At least it's from
25	New York State. Seems like we have people

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 286 1 2 closer. I'm okay. Thank you, chairman. 3 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I have a 4 real quick one. Chairman Nicolello, you may even 5 be able to answer it. 6 When you just mentioned the 722 that was 7 before us the last finance, you said only two 8 items were included in that? 9 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: That actually was a 10 question I asked. I think there were more than two items here that were associated with the bond 11 12 ordinance that was before the Full Legislature. 13 MR. ARNOLD: I would have to go back to 14 my notes. 722 made up all of these. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So all of 15 these were included in the 722? 16 17 MR. ARNOLD: Yes. 18 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Which we 19 already passed in Finance.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Well, as you know, the full 722 was not approved by the Full Legislature.

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Right, but we already passed it through here to line up. So I just don't know why if we already okayed --

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Because since there
was a refusal on your side to bond with the pay - to authorize the full \$722 million in borrowing

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I don't see why we have to come back to this.

that items were not authorized, they died. So you have to bring them back. So since they were voted down in the Full Legislature, there's no authorization for any of these items that are before us. So they have to come back to us. We have to pass them again. They have to go to the Full Legislature again, and hopefully we will get 13 votes at that time.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So none of these are included in the 262 that we approved?

MR. ARNOLD: That is correct.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I don't know. To me it just seems like we went through it already and now we are going through it again for finance which just lines it up -- we basically said we were supportive of the project, we just weren't going to bond for the whole thing

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 at once.

But, to me, it just seems like we're being very redundant right now.

 $\label{eq:chairman} \mbox{CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO:} \mbox{ Legislator Denemberg}$ has another question.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Can we get a list
-- this was discussed when the 262 was passed. I
think I asked for it at that point and I had
supported the whole amount.

But what's included in the 262? Can we get a breakdown of that at some point before this gets to Full, meaning this week, that would be important?

Just, on the record, can we do that if we have that? I'm sure Legislator Nicolello would like it for his side, and I would like it here too. Thank you.

Was that a yes?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other questions? Legislator Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I will try to be brief. I mean, obviously I think the questions that Legislator Denemberg and Legislator DeRiggi-

Whitton indicated are kind of along the same lines.

Our approach toward addressing the issues at the Bay Park treatment plant have always been tied to fact that, number one, we wanted to see a greater amount of oversight, we want to make sure we're administering the contracts in a way, especially when you're talking about \$722 million, it's in the best interest of the Nassau County taxpayers that we watch every single nickel on how that's being spent.

As you probably know, Mr. Arnold, as well as you, Mr. Millet, there are multiple ways that are being talked about in terms of the oversight, but that's something that's very crucial to this legislative body.

The next aspect, to be honest, is that we asked for multiple things during the debate two weeks ago. At that time there was supposed to be a master schedule that was going to outline this very same issue that's before us today.

This is the first that we are hearing that the electrical distribution system will not go into contract until quarter two of 2014, as

per the Power Point that the deputy county
executive demonstrated it clearly indicated, I
believe, I remember quarter four. Now I guess
quarter four is the design.

But, in that demonstration, not once did
we hear that a portion was going to be broken out
for the design, versus a portion for the
construction. We have no idea still at this
point. I would love to have had Hazen & Sawyer
on here to ask the question of how much the
design would be.

These are the types of things that we need answers to before we decide to bond and, to be honest, and I said it before and I'll say it again, we are committed towards doing the entire amount to address the Bay Park treatment issues, but we truly believe the 262 million, we can only go based off of what the county executive has presented to us, the \$262 million gets that process rolling, from the digesters to the pumps, those things are all being addressed with that first allotment of money. We were hoping in good faith that we would see a master schedule.

So my question comes down to this. When

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Obviously you can't do everything at once. So there has to be some type of project management master schedule that indicates how things will be done so you don't have guys bumping into each other constantly throughout the next 24 to 48 months. MR. MILLET: The master schedule or the

will we see the master schedule which gives us

breakdown week by week on how things are being

construction master schedule that you are looking for, cannot be fully developed until we have design development to tell us where the contracts are going to fall and how the mopo in the plant will have to operate. The plant has to operate throughout the entire construction period.

So there has to be a very, I'll say, delicate way to handle the plant while you're going through and replacing major pieces of the A detailed construction program process. schedule is very difficult until you have design done, until you can see things.

The programmatic piece you saw, the schedule you saw, is the programmatic part to when we're going to get to bid. The construction Full Legislature - 8-5-13 schedule cannot be put together in that format until we know when the construction dates are hitting.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, you should be able to give us a preliminary schedule.

MR. MILLET: You had the preliminary layout. Until you get construction documents, until you get biddable documents and until you get people who bid, then you have to coordinate with the different contractors. That's when the CPO schedule gets developed.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, there must be a disconnect because I swore I heard Hazen & Sawyer, when I had the same back and forth that they were working on a master schedule that wouldn't be done until August, September.

MR. MILLET: They certainly are working on one, but now we have no funding towards programs, and you cannot continue to plug --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So it was never clear that they needed funding, the entire funding, of \$722 million in order to do that.

MR. MILLET: Well, you need funding to do design. You need funding for that.

MR. MILLET: Again, I can't see anyone developing detailed construction management

23

24

25

response.

Ì	
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 294
2	without having any idea where the design dates
3	fall. And, without the design dates, without the
4	funding for design, you can't do that.
5	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let's try it this
6	way, Mr. Millet. Where are you with the \$262
7	million that's been spent, been authorized?
8	MR. MILLET: Four contracts when out
9	already and a fifth is due out
10	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Contracts have gone
11	out that have come to this legislature?
12	MR. MILLET: No. Contracts have gone out
13	on the street.
14	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: SO RFP.
15	MR. MILLET: Yes. To bid.
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What is the total?
17	MR. MILLET: They haven't come back yet.
18	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What went out, what
19	kind of work, what's the estimate?
20	MR. MILLET: Rebuilding of the final
21	tanks were one.
22	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Final tanks, okay.
23	MR. ARNOLD: Final tanks when out to bid.
24	Odor control is going out to bid.
25	I was off last week so I don't know if it

are also part of that 260 that need to go out,

25

ī	
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 297
2	which is the sludge dewatering facility, and the
3	effluent pumping facility.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: When will those go
5	out?
6	MR. ARNOLD: I would have to check with
7	the engineer. Assume some time this month.
8	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What is the
9	estimates on those?
10	MR. ARNOLD: The sludge dewatering
11	design, the construction is estimated about 35.
12	You're probably talking 3 to \$4 million on
13	design.
14	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And the effluent?
15	Effluent pump is probably roughly the same
16	number. About the same.
17	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So about \$70
18	million of the 260 is still not out on the
19	street, am I saying that right?
20	I thought you said 35 for each one. So
21	about \$70 million of the 260 is not on the
22	street?
23	MR. ARNOLD: Correct.
24	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So of the stuff
25	that is out on the street and possibly could be

these projects?

awarded by some time in September, when will we anticipate -- see this is the thing. We want to see work and we want to be able to say to the taxpayers, go visit the plant and you'll see construction going on. When can we say to someone, construction will start with regard to

MR. ARNOLD: We are talking about a lot of moving parts which is why we are having such trouble with this.

The schedule that you have, the roll up schedule that was given to you indicates construction starting for influent screens in the third quarter of '13. That job will be out to bid this month. So that job before the end of the year will be in construction.

Secondary treatment facilities, which is the final tanks, the repair of the final tanks is out to bid now. Again, that job will start in the last quarter of '13, construction, if we get the contractor, we get a responsible contractor, we come to this body, it gets approved, and --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Final tanks?

MR. ARNOLD: Final tanks.

There's an awful lot of information that's

2.5

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So what was he

25

2 | looking at?

MR. MILLET: He had not brought it up yet.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Arnold, was that just a number that was in your head? Is there another document that you were referring to?

MR. ARNOLD: I didn't check my notes when I said it was '14. I was making an assumption.

I should have went back and looked at the table.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So it's your recollection it's quarter four of 2013?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. As I said before, and I will go back to it again, and I'll understand that point, but I'm going to go back to the same point that we have been making now is that, number one, we been given an enormous amount of money towards an investment which we understand will be in the hundreds of millions of dollars that we plan to do.

I still would need to see more information as our side would want to see more information that clearly delineates how this plan

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 will be implemented over the next couple of years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would strongly suggest -- I mean we had allocated \$262 million to go towards those third quarter expenses. I would strongly suggest that the administration utilize resources so that they can do the design on the electrical distribution system and come back to this legislature with a master schedule on how things will get done.

From our standpoint, when we allocated \$262 million to be allocated for the third quarter towards the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant, we expected to see \$262 million in contracts. Is that a fair expectation when we look at the Power Point presentation? expectation was to see \$260 million to be filtered through this legislation by the end of September. That is not going to be the case from what I'm hearing today. So the people in Bay Park, East Rockaway and Baldwin, throughout the entire south shore have been sold a bad bill of goods, because they're being told based off of what they came here, was presented with, is that we're going to be entering into contracts into

1 0

the third quarter of 2013. This makes the point even more clearer on why we need to have some oversight.

Not only that, it also makes the point clearer that the bottom line is, we are going to bond to insure work gets done when the county executive indicates it's going to get done.

From our standpoint, we should not go over 260 until he shows us the contracts that are associated with the 260 and we visit the site, which I'm going to suggest to this entire legislature, we go to this site, I know many of use have been there before and many of us have been there since Sandy, and I plan to go there several times between now and the end of the year because I want to make sure that, number one, that the taxpayer's investment is being done soundly, and, number two, we want to make sure that the work is progressing in an even keeled manner.

If we decide to give \$722 million to this administration at this point, at this juncture, it would be a wrong investment for this county.

We need to make sure this work actually gets

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator Muscarella.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: I just have a couple of questions because it boggles my mind.

Am I wrong that the deputy county executive came here a couple of weeks ago and said, the most effective way to do this, the only proper way to do this was to bond the whole thing

so that we would proceed expeditiously in a manner that would be the most effective to get the plant fixed? Was I wrong in thinking that

MR. MILLET: No.

that was his presentation?

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: And if we had bonded the entire amount of money, would this process go much more smoothly, much more quickly to get this plant fixed?

MR. MILLET: Yes.

that the protestations of the minority in that the best way to go about doing this is to do it piecemeal and have the administration get its act together and to feign this outrage that it's going to be much better if the administration does its piecemeal is in fact putting obstacles in front of the administration at every single 16 step of the way, and then feigning outrage that they're not doing it as expeditiously as possible. Am I wrong? I don't want to put you on the spot. That's my own comment and you don't have to agree or disagree with that.

But it seems to me that anyone that's

been here for the last two meetings can see that the administration presented a way to get this done for the residents of Nassau County and that the minority's protestations that it was not being done quick enough or expeditiously enough is in fact because of their actions, not despite their actions, because it's not because of the actions of the administration, but the actions of the minority that are in fact throwing up obstacles every step of the way to get this done for the citizens of Nassau County.

take some umbrage with that because, know what, I take some umbrage with that because, know what, the fact remains, Mr. Arnold and Mr. Millet, this legislature bonded \$400 million. \$400 million for the same expenses, some of the stuff that should have been done pre-Sandy that wasn't done. So the fact remains, we did bond \$400 million at a particular time and work didn't happen, it didn't happen for whatever reason it may have not happened.

So the fact remains, we need to make sure that we do not give a bonding authorization of \$722 million if we want to

insure projects are actually going to get done at our treatment plants.

before we see work being done.

We have given \$262 million. That is enough to get the ball rolling. When Mr. Arnold or Mr. Millet presents to us that those contracts that we have bonded for in the third quarter of this year get done and work gets done and we can say to people, look this is what's happening, this is what's getting done, we are more than happy to bond for the electrical distribution and pay for that expense. But we can't do that

We have been down this road, folks, where we bonded and the work didn't get done. We bonded a capital plan in 2010.

We were supposed to do a ton of road projects and nothing got done. So we are not going to go down this road again. We're going to be more prudent and we're going to make sure what we outline, whether it be the odor control, the dewatering system, you name it, the pumps, the digesters, it's going to get done, it's going to be well on its way before we even consider giving this

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 administration more money.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: You don't have to respond to that.

MR. ARNOLD: There's road projects to SSW, and you have to understand also, even the capital plan that was approved, NIFA had approved the actual borrowing. Projects were held up because we did not getting the borrowing --

We're not interrupting and we're not jumping in.

There's some decorum to this committee. Mr.

Denenberg --

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Wait, wait, wait.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Not one sewer and storm water project -- denied by NIFA.

MR. ARNOLD: That is correct.

I'm not disagreeing with you, legislator,
when you bringing road projects, the delay of
road projects --

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Mr. Arnold, something. You don't have to respond to that because it's just another false issue they are throwing up there. I noticed in the last statement they brought up this whole issue which was explained last time about the \$400 million in

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 borrowing.

It was explained to them that they had spent every dime of that money, that it would have been under water in Hurricane Sandy, but they still bring it up because it's another issue.

They may seem intent on slowing down this project. They've given you all sorts of reasons, piecemeal is a better way to do it, we have to committees, oversight, and it's going to save us money. There's no proof of any of that. It's just members of the minority caucus creating issues. But, again, it makes no sense to me to want to slow this down.

We are all political individuals, we all ran for office, but, from a political standpoint, why would you want to slow down this? There is nothing to be benefitted from it. From a governmental standpoint, it's been explained over and over again that you can't break this down piecemeal and, to the extent that you do, it simply slows down the project and the work will not get done in a timely fashion. If we started on the project long enough, we're going to be

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 into a second hurricane season.

2.5

Ultimately, this money is going to be spent. It has to be spent. We have to repair the electrical works. We have to make it storm proof. We have do the same with the facility. We have to make the repairs to Cedar Creek.

To slow it down and make it, let's do it piecemeal, let's fund the design first, and then maybe we'll have you come back and do the contract. It could do nothing else but make sure that this project doesn't get done until much further down the road.

So, again, for whatever reason, and they've thrown up a whole bunch of different things. Let's have another committee look at them, the county executive have his committee, and somehow we'll form another committee and we'll have them in Bay Park overseeing the operation, and somehow it's going to be to benefitted.

Again, you know, I don't understand why they want to slow this down. I don't the benefits to the people of Nassau and I don't understand the benefit to them politically, so it

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 just makes no sense to me.

Legislator Denenberg.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you.

Legislator Nicolello, I, for one, again, have never voted against any funding for the sewage treatment plants, not in all the years I've been here.

I agree with you, 100 percent, to form new committees to do what we should be doing is ridiculous. But I been writing letters since 2010 to renew and start up again with either Public Works or the Full Legislative Body to have hearings on a regular basis just as to the status of all capital projects, but, specifically, the sewage treatment plants. And I will always disagree if we have projects and we do for years for influent pump, effluent pumps, digesters, gravity belt thickeners, odor control, that if that had not been done, clearly at Cedar Creek, if it was done on time, it would have been done on time.

So it's incumbent upon us to do our job to make sure that we know what the status of these projects are. And you're not going to get

there was needed repairs to both Bay Park and Cedar Creek that were not done.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Rich, in '07, '08 -- done in the plants which was millions of

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR WALKER: I don't need to say what's been said over and over by my colleagues on this side of the table.

But I just look at the one area that we're talking about, the electrical system. When

the project was presented here, and it was
emphatically said, we need to do this as a whole,
we need to do the project as a whole, I just
think on a much smaller scale, I think if you had
to do major repairs in your house, you would have
to look at that project as a whole. You can't
say, I'll start with this little bit, and then I
know have to replace the whole thing, but I'll
start with this and then we'll see what the
design is for the next part, and the money for
the next part.

I just look at this electrical area and we're spending \$700,000 a month on generators running our sewage treatment plant. It just scares me to death to think if something happens and that goes down in any way the mess that this county will be in.

I don't think all of us wanted to listen to the part of the presentation that said we need to look at this as a whole, we need to put it together as a whole. We didn't want to face that and even now we don't want to face the fact that these monies have to be made available so we can give out the information for it.

2 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If I may, through 3 the chair.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And I have a great amount of respect for Legislator Walker, but, to me, the analogy that was presented is totally the opposite of what we're talking about.

What we're talking about is, we're in favor of building that house and you should design that house to whatever you want to design it to; four bedrooms, three bathrooms, kitchen, living room.

What we're against is, you would never pay a contractor \$400,000 \$500,000 \$800,000 all up front and hope he does the work in an executed manner. What we're saying is, no one in this country gets paid for work that they promise to do. Everybody gets paid for work that they do and they show that work gets done and things get done in phases. Look, when I enter into a contract, if I want to do work on my house, we pay it out in three payments, or sometimes two payments.

All I'm saying is, that's the approach

we're taking. We have an agreement. I've said it before, we agree that whatever the cost is, we will provide the votes to make sure that that is

paid for. We have never deviated from that.

But the point that needs to be made is that what is being asked of us is that we pay for the entire amount up front.

That's when you ask \$722 million to be bonded, that's what you are asking this legislative body to do.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: I do respect you,

Kevan, but I do disagree. We are not putting the

money up front. We are making sure we have the

monies available to us that, as we move on to the

next thing we have to do, the next contract we

have to send out, we know we have the monies for.

It would be totally ridiculous to think - I don't care if you are doing something with a
small amount of money and you say, oh, here's all
the money and you hope the job gets done.
Absolutely not.

But I think you do have to make sure the money is there for you to be able to put it out when you have to.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator Muscarella.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: You know, I agree with you, Kevan, no one would pay all that money in the beginning.

But, here is my analogy. My analogy is, I have to do the roof, I have to do the foundation, the interior, the exterior. What you're doing is, you're saying, the whole job is going to cost us \$100,000. But what I'm going to do, I'm going to get a mortgage first to do the roof for \$10,000. Once that roof is done, then maybe I'll go out and get a mortgage to do the next phase.

You're asking, you're saying, yeah, but the money is going to be there.

So, you know, foundation guy, and exterior guy, start doing the work, even though I'm only bonding, even though I'm only getting my mortgage from my roof now, I'll be getting that mortgage later on.

No contractor in the world would go ahead and start doing the work and the plan when you are only mortgaging for the roof and you're

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 saying you'll get the mortgages. You take one mortgage, you get the \$100,000, and then you dispense it as you go along. But at least you got the contractors lined up to do the work expeditiously and in the right order so that the plant keeps going and you do the jobs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

individually.

You've got to bond the whole thing first. You don't say, I'm going to get the next phase later.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Vinny, that's where we respectfully disagree, that you have to bond the first allotment. We respectfully disagree. Because not one project that's starting in quarter three is being delayed because of this. Not one.

Not one contract that was supposed to be implemented in the third quarter to do the digesters and the pumps is being delayed because of it. Not one.

The only delays that we have heard about are because of getting RFPs out, getting them awarded, takes time. We understand that. That's why, again, we are committed towards every single

dime that's necessary. But we are going to make

3 sure at the same time that work gets implemented.

4 When was the last time we've done a hearing on

5 the capital plan? When was the last time we've

6 done a hearing on our sewage treatment plants?

So, from that standpoint, to allow this process to go forward, when will someone come back to the legislature and give us a report on where we stand with, not just Bay Park, but Cedar Creek and all our treatment plants?

exercise its oversight. Right now we're not doing that. The only way we are going to do that and get that done is that we force the administration to come back to us and get more authorization for the next phases of the project. That's the best way to get it done. We have not got hearings done in this legislative body for years now. Years. I think we are in violation of the charter when it comes to the hearings we need to have for legislative budget review.

Did we even have a hearing so far this year? We are violating the charter already.

Someone could easily sue us on the fact that we

are violating the charter of having hearings in legislative budget review which are specified in the charter to do.

So this is no different. We are going to force the county executive to come down here and give us updates because obviously he's not giving us updates via the hearings. We're not getting updates via reports in the questions that we're asking.

So we need better answers and we need better responses. And, look, the only way we're going to get -- I hate to put Mr. Arnold and Mr. Millet in these kinds of positions because I know they are just trying to do their job, but the only way we're going to be able to get that is if we cut off the bonding and we require the county executive to come down here or whatever staffers to come down here to give us updates.

Then, we will go one step further, we plan, I can speak for our side, we plan to visit the site multiple times to make sure our \$260 million investment on behalf of the taxpayers of Nassau County is implemented well.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. At least you

have come out and said you are cutting off the bonding to force the county executive to come down here repeatedly.

They've told you, the engineers have told you, it's not the proper way to do this. You've made your decision.

Just to clarify one thing. When we authorize the bonding, the money doesn't get borrowed immediately. Obviously when the project starts to roll and you encumber the money and you do the contracts, do the design work, as you spend the money, then the money is borrowed, but, anyway, any other questions on this item?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Just one last comment. This started off on the wrong foot when Mr. Millet came down here and mentioned that there was \$400 million left. Now, obviously, since then, he's been corrected, but that just goes to show that there really is -- even your own spokesman wasn't sure of what a \$300 million difference is.

So, you have to understand, this is not that we're just walking into a situation. We have reason to want to make sure everything is

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 done correctly.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: So that's another reason to add to the minority not voting for this because of how they're upset because of something Mr. Millet said.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: A \$300 million mistake from your expert is something to be concerned about.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: The longer we talk the more excuses come out as to why you don't want to do this. Again, slowing down this project is not in the best interests of the taxpayers of Nassau County, no matter what you say. It's perilous because at some point, the chickens may come home to roost, and we may have another devastating event there which we won't be prepared for. That's the nightmare scenario that nobody wants to deal with but the project is being slowed down, and, for whatever reason, the minority wants to do that.

Any questions?

(No verbal response.)

Is there any public comments?

(No verbal response.)

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

All those in favor of passing this,

2

1

signify by saying aye.

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Any opposed?

(Nay.)

(Aye.)

Items pass five to two.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I was an aye.

(Whereupon, the following are the minutes of the July 29, 2013 Rules Committee meeting pertaining to Clerk Items 331, 332, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, and 341-13.)

The next item is 330-13, again, Frank this went through Finance, so please incorporate the testimony. It's a bond ordinance providing for a capital expenditure to finance the capital project specified herein within the County of Nassau authorizing \$14,467,140 of bond of the County of Nassau to finance said expenditure and making certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County. And, also, the next item, 331-13 went through committee, 332-13, 333-13, 334-13, 335-

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 327
2	13, 336-13, 337-13, 338-13, 339-13, 340-13, 341-
3	13.
4	A motion, please.
5	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
6	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
8	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
9	Muscarella. All the comments were made.
10	I'm not going to ask any others. We ask
11	that all the testimony from the Finance Committee
12	be incorporated.
13	(Whereupon, the following are the minutes
14	of the Finance Committee, 7-29-13.)
15	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Now I'm going to
16	call Items 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336,
17	337, 338, 339, 340, 341. I will read the first
18	one and then give the amounts for the rest of
19	them because they're all identical except for the
20	amounts.
21	A bond ordinance providing for a capital
22	expenditure to finance the capital project
23	specified herein within the County of Nassau in
24	authorizing \$500,000 in bonds of the County of
25	Nassau to finance said expenditure and making

certain determinations pursuant to SEQRA pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County.

Item 332 authorizes \$33 million in bonds;
Item 333 authorizes \$1 million in bonds; Item 334
authorizes \$200,000 in bonds; Item 335 authorizes
\$750,000 of bonds; Item 336 authorizes \$108,665
of bonds; Item 337 authorizes a million dollars
in bonds; and Item 338 authorizes a million
dollars in bonds; Item 339 authorizes \$500,000 of
bonds; Item 340 authorizes \$326,250,000 in bonds;
Item 341 authorizes \$72,500,000 in bonds, and I
think I skipped over the first one which
authorizes, 330 of 2013, which authorizes
\$14,467,140 of bonds.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator Muscarella. These items are before the committee. Do we have anybody to speak about these items?

MR. MAY: We do. We have Mr. Richard Millet from DPW to answer any questions on these items.

2 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay.

MR. MAY: Mr. Arnold first and then Rich.

MR. ARNOLD: Project by project, item by

item?

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Yes.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And, as you go, if I many, to the Chair, as you go item by item and describe what it's for, can you say if it's an existing project or a new project?

MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Item 330-13 is for additional funding on an existing project, capital project 81011. This funding is going to be allocated towards the environmental cleanup of the sanitary sewage overflows in the communities of Baldwin and East Rockaway. This is to pay for both the interior work, some claims that we have outstanding, and also to move forward with the exterior work.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Keep going.

We'll ask, unless something comes up, our questions when you're done.

MR. ARNOLD: Item 331-13 is a bond ordinance to an existing capital project to add an additional \$500,000 for various roof repairs

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the various buildings at both Bay Park, City Creek, Glen Cove, Cedarhurst, Lawrence and the pump stations.

Item 332 is an additional bond ordinance for an existing capital project associated with equipment replacement at Cedar Creek. This funding will go towards both Cedar Creek screens and grid, effluent screens and other various miscellaneous improvements that the plant will require.

Item 333-13 is an additional bond ordinance from an existing capital project for Whitney drain up in Manhasset.

Item 334-13 is an additional ordinance for an existing capital project for mosquito control program so we can finish our SEQRA determination.

Item 335-13 is an additional bond ordinance for an existing capital project associated with requirements contract work associated with both the collection and the waste water facilities.

Item 336 is additional bond ordinance for our drainage reconstruction capital projection,

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

that's an existing capital project.

Item 337 is an additional bond ordinance from existing capital project associated with our reconstruction and rehabilitation of storm water basins.

Item 338 is an additional bond ordinance for an existing capital project associated with the replacement of motorized equipment for the wastewater facility operation.

Item 339 is an additional bond ordinance for an existing capital project for various improvements to the wastewater facilities associated with employee amenities such as bathrooms, locker rooms, common space, office, as much.

Item 340 is an additional bond ordinance to the capital project that was recently approved which is associated with the Hurricane Sandy recovery at Bay Park.

This bond ordinance is associated with the work for the electrical distribution system at Bay Park.

Item 341 is an additional bond ordinance for the Bay Park, same capital project that was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARNOLD: To have the funding, the good faith effort to the federal and state government that we are moving forward with these projects and we have the financial background as we negotiate grants and reimbursement with them,

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 that's one of the main reasons.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: These obviously have to do with hardening this facility in the event of future storms.

MR. ARNOLD: Both hardening and repair.

The contract is repair and replacement of damaged equipment.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And that's to also elevate it, is it not?

MR. ARNOLD: And mitigation. There's components of elevation and mitigation and hardening.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other questions? Legislator Denenberg.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I have several questions but I will piggyback where Legislator Nicolello was. So I will start at the end and work to the beginning.

You said that 340 and 341 which were both for Bay Park, it's projected that these would be for contracts that would be bid second quarter 2014?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes. We're doing the design currently. We need them for the design right

place so we can get out to 100 percent design and

We are actively pursuing to get this money in

24

25

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	replace the electrical system that is woefully
3	damaged.
4	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: What's second
5	quarter 2014, the bid for the construction?
6	MR. MILLET: That would be the
7	construction.
8	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: That's different.
9	You said, was it the bid, he said it's for the
10	construction.
11	MR. MILLET: For the construction.
12	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: When are we going
13	to bid?
14	MR. MILLET: For the construction in the
15	second quarter of 2014.
16	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So the bid for the
17	construction second quarter 2014
18	MR. MILLET: You still need the 100
19	percent design. I don't have money to pay a
20	designer to design it if I can't get money in the
21	electrical system programs.
22	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So, how much is
23	that?
24	MR. MILLET: I would assume, the entire

electrical system in Bay Park?

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We are doing the design now, correct?

MR. MILLET: We are doing a 30 percent technical report in preparation for full design. Not a full design. That is not part of their contract.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So right now we have a contractor that's doing what you are calling a 30 percent design?

MR. MILLET: Correct.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Explain to us what you mean by a 30 percent design and what you mean by a 100 percent design?

MR. MILLET: What you do when you do a technical design report, an outward 30 percent design is, you bring in a program that another designer can pick up and finish so that there are no changes that will be made or guesses as to what people want in the electrical system. It will be laid out for them and they just have to carry the program out to 100 percent.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How much money do we need to do that?

MR. MILLET: Again, I couldn't venture to

together. They're still looking at the various

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So we've done the cleanup ourselves? What about people that didn't wait for our contractor to do the cleanup? In the first month, I know of several residents that didn't feel they could wait because it was an

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 unhealthy condition and they did cleanups themselves.

MR. ARNOLD: That is being handled by the county attorney's office. I'm not sure where that stands at this moment.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Is this money to help reimburse the people who laid out money?

MR. ARNOLD: I would have to speak with the county attorney.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Mr. Millet, if you know, I see your head signals, but I want to get it on the record.

MR. MILLET: This money is going to be used to, we still owe the original two contractors on the interior work. We them about \$2 million, that will be used for that. Right now we are doing the exterior cleanup work on houses as we speak. That is what this money is for.

If the county attorney has claims, I guess they come here usually for their bonding, but this is not intended to pay claims that the county is intended to pay the contractors who are performing the cleanup work.

I	
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 343
2	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: They are doing the
3	work right now?
4	MR. MILLET: Yes.
5	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So for the
6	existing project, as you called it, where it's
7	zero dollars, we need to increase the funding?
8	MR. MILLET: Yes, by this number.
9	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Several other of
10	the projects you said, I think all of them, you
11	said were existing projects, correct, Mr. Arnold?
12	MR. ARNOLD: Yes.
13	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let's go
14	to 332, for example, that's \$33 million?
15	MR. ARNOLD: Correct.
16	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's for which
17	existing project?
18	MR. ARNOLD: 3C-067.
19	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Which is what?
20	MR. ARNOLD: Cedar Creek miscellaneous
21	equipment.
22	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's a project
23	that's been existing at least since the capital
24	plan 2010?
25	MR. ARNOLD: I believe so. I would have

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 to double-check the exact year.

Goes back to at least '10 with an ordinance in 2010.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That was in the millions I thought. So we've spent that money --

MR. ARNOLD: We currently have a carry forward of 15 million. This initial \$33 million will allow us to get all our projects done that are planned for this coming year.

question. I was looking at an existing project that has \$15 million that, according to this year's capital budget, the 2013 budget documents that I just received a month ago, we still have \$15 million unused.

MR. ARNOLD: Correct.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So we are going to use that \$15 million and we think we need another \$33 million?

MR. ARNOLD: We are going to put contracts out this year that will require the additional \$33 million.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: When is that contract going to go out? When you say "this

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 year," is that the third quarter?

MR. ARNOLD: Cedar Creek screens are going to go out by the end of the month, Cedar Creek grit should be going out by the end of the year.

We have a contract for final screens that will go out in early '14, and then there is other miscellaneous projects that will also be put out.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How come over the years we didn't use the \$15 million authorization for this particular project?

I mean, that's been a carry forward number for at least two or three years.

MR. ARNOLD: The Cedar Creek screen project required a couple of go arounds and what the correct design of this facility should be, and there's been delays in getting that job up because of that. It is ready to go now. We are finalizing the PLA which held the job up a little bit. And now we're ready to go out to bid.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So I'm not reading it wrong through, this \$15 million in this project, I'm reading it in our 2010 capital budget -- capital plan, I'm sorry?

MR. ARNOLD: I know this project has been around since 2010. I don't have the numbers in front of me exactly how we funded it each year.

I have to go back and look at that.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So some of that \$48 million should be hitting the streets in a bid right now, this month?

MR. ARNOLD: The screen jobs will be going out in August.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The SEQRA for 334, what is it, we haven't done the SEQRA process yet so we need money for engineers to get us through the process?

MR. ARNOLD: We went through half the process. We got through the public scoping session. This is the complete -- the last pieces of taking the comments from the scoping session and completing the EIS document.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Who have we hired for that?

MR. ARNOLD: We have not hired anybody yet. Once we get the bond ordinance approval, we'll get a contract.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How did we get

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: At least it's from

New York State. Seems like we have people closer. I'm okay. Thank you, chairman.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I have a real quick one. Chairman Nicolello, you may even be able to answer it.

When you just mentioned the 722 that was before us the last finance, you said only two items were included in that?

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: That actually was a question I asked. I think there were more than two items here that were associated with the bond ordinance that was before the Full Legislature.

MR. ARNOLD: I would have to go back to my notes. 722 made up all of these.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So all of

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 these were included in the 722?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Which we already passed in Finance.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Well, as you know, the full 722 was not approved by the Full Legislature.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Right, but we already passed it through here to line up. So I just don't know why if we already okayed --

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Because since there was a refusal on your side to bond with the pay - - to authorize the full \$722 million in borrowing --

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I don't see why we have to come back to this.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: -- to the extent that items were not authorized, they died. So you have to bring them back. So since they were voted down in the Full Legislature, there's no authorization for any of these items that are before us. So they have to come back to us. We have to pass them again. They have to go to the Full Legislature again, and hopefully we will get

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	13 votes at that time.
3	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So none of
4	these are included in the 262 that we approved?
5	MR. ARNOLD: That is correct.
6	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I don't
7	know. To me it just seems like we went through
8	it already and now we are going through it again
9	for finance which just lines it up we
10	basically said we were supportive of the project,
11	we just weren't going to bond for the whole thing
12	at once.
13	But, to me, it just seems like we're
14	being very redundant right now.
15	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator Denenberg
16	has another question.
17	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Can we get a list
18	this was discussed when the 262 was passed. I
19	think I asked for it at that point and I had
20	supported the whole amount.
21	But what's included in the 262? Can we
22	get a breakdown of that at some point before this

gets to Full, meaning this week, that would be important?

Just, on the record, can we do that if we

25

1

have that? I'm sure Legislator Nicolello would

3

4 too. Thank you.

5

Was that a yes?

6

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.

7

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other questions?

Our approach toward addressing the issues

8

9

Legislator Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I will try to be

10

brief. I mean, obviously I think the questions

like it for his side, and I would like it here

11

that Legislator Denenberg and Legislator DeRiggi-

at the Bay Park treatment plant have always been

tied to fact that, number one, we wanted to see a

greater amount of oversight, we want to make sure

million, it's in the best interest of the Nassau

we're administering the contracts in a way,

County taxpayers that we watch every single

nickel on how that's being spent.

especially when you're talking about \$722

12

Whitton indicated are kind of along the same

13

lines.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you probably know, Mr. Arnold, as well as you, Mr. Millet, there are multiple ways that

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES

are being talked about in terms of the oversight,

4

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

but that's something that's very crucial to this legislative body.

The next aspect, to be honest, is that we asked for multiple things during the debate two weeks ago. At that time there was supposed to be a master schedule that was going to outline this very same issue that's before us today.

This is the first that we are hearing that the electrical distribution system will not go into contract until quarter two of 2014, as per the Power Point that the deputy county executive demonstrated it clearly indicated, believe, I remember quarter four. Now I quess quarter four is the design.

But, in that demonstration, not once did we hear that a portion was going to be broken out for the design, versus a portion for the construction. We have no idea still at this I would love to have had Hazen & Sawyer point. on here to ask the question of how much the design would be.

These are the types of things that we need answers to before we decide to bond and, to be honest, and I said it before and I'll say it

again, we are committed towards doing the entire amount to address the Bay Park treatment issues, but we truly believe the 262 million, we can only go based off of what the county executive has presented to us, the \$262 million gets that process rolling, from the digesters to the pumps, those things are all being addressed with that first allotment of money. We were hoping in good faith that we would see a master schedule.

So my question comes down to this. When will we see the master schedule which gives us a breakdown week by week on how things are being done? Obviously you can't do everything at once. So there has to be some type of project management master schedule that indicates how things will be done so you don't have guys bumping into each other constantly throughout the next 24 to 48 months.

MR. MILLET: The master schedule or the construction master schedule that you are looking for, cannot be fully developed until we have design development to tell us where the contracts are going to fall and how the mopo in the plant will have to operate. The plant has to operate

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

throughout the entire construction period.

So there has to be a very, I'll say, delicate way to handle the plant while you're going through and replacing major pieces of the process. A detailed construction program schedule is very difficult until you have design done, until you can see things.

The programmatic piece you saw, the schedule you saw, is the programmatic part to when we're going to get to bid. The construction schedule cannot be put together in that format until we know when the construction dates are hitting.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, you should be able to give us a preliminary schedule.

MR. MILLET: You had the preliminary layout. Until you get construction documents, until you get biddable documents and until you get people who bid, then you have to coordinate with the different contractors. That's when the CPO schedule gets developed.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Millet, there must be a disconnect because I swore I heard Hazen & Sawyer, when I had the same back and

question and they give a response, they gave a

question was. Maybe we should go back and make sure we check the record because that's not the response.

MR. MILLET: Again, I can't see anyone developing detailed construction management without having any idea where the design dates fall. And, without the design dates, without the funding for design, you can't do that.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let's try it this way, Mr. Millet. Where are you with the \$262 million that's been spent, been authorized?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ MILLET: Four contracts when out already and a fifth is due out --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Contracts have gone out that have come to this legislature?

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ MILLET:}$ No. Contracts have gone out on the street.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: SO RFP.

MR. MILLET: Yes. To bid.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 356
2	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What is the total?
3	MR. MILLET: They haven't come back yet.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What went out, what
5	kind of work, what's the estimate?
6	MR. MILLET: Rebuilding of the final
7	tanks were one.
8	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Final tanks, okay.
9	MR. ARNOLD: Final tanks when out to bid.
10	Odor control is going out to bid.
11	I was off last week so I don't know if it
12	actually went out last week.
13	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Odor control is
14	from an old project.
15	MR. ARNOLD: You had the pump stations at
16	Glen Cove, the final GBT project.
17	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What is the
18	turnaround on these bids, Mr. Arnold?
19	MR. ARNOLD: Usually they're out for bid
20	usually about a month.
21	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So 30 days.
22	MR. ARNOLD: 30 days.
23	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So we should expect
24	something back by the end of August?
25	MR. ARNOLD: Should have contracts back.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's the entire 260, all that will be --

MR. ARNOLD: There's design work going on, program management work going on, and --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So everything that we outlined in the third quarter of 2013 that's going to get done, the entire programmatic schedule, that's all on the street, that's all

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And the effluent?

Effluent pump is probably roughly the same

24

25

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

number. About the same.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So about \$70 million of the 260 is still not out on the street, am I saying that right?

I thought you said 35 for each one. So about \$70 million of the 260 is not on the street?

MR. ARNOLD: Correct.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So of the stuff that is out on the street and possibly could be awarded by some time in September, when will we anticipate -- see this is the thing. We want to see work and we want to be able to say to the taxpayers, go visit the plant and you'll see construction going on. When can we say to someone, construction will start with regard to these projects?

MR. ARNOLD: We are talking about a lot of moving parts which is why we are having such trouble with this.

The schedule that you have, the roll up schedule that was given to you indicates construction starting for influent screens in the third quarter of '13. That job will be out to

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

bid this month. So that job before the end of the year will be in construction.

4 Secondary treatment faci

Secondary treatment facilities, which is the final tanks, the repair of the final tanks is out to bid now. Again, that job will start in the last quarter of '13, construction, if we get the contractor, we get a responsible contractor, we come to this body, it gets approved, and --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Final tanks?

MR. ARNOLD: Final tanks.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's one thing we can expect to be done in the third quarter. What else?

MR. ARNOLD: Digesters you're already aware that that's ongoing. That construction contract has already started.

Sludge thickening, that we need to get final design. That contract will probably go out to bid toward the end of the year. So we need that money for the bond ordinance so we can award that --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Wait. The sludge thickening will go out to bond at the end of the year?

MR. ARNOLD: I'm sorry. Sludge thickening is currently out to bid. So that job will start before the end of the year.

Sludge dewatering is the one we're doing design on.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Dewatering, that's right.

MR. ARNOLD: Sorry. I reversed those.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's okay. So

basically, in the third quarter of this year,

what taxpayers can expect is the final tanks will

be in construction some time by September?

MR. ARNOLD: As long as those things

happen, there's always that case we get a bad

bid. We have to rebid something, you know?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: In that programmatic plan it outlined that this stuff would get done in the third quarter, and I'm not here -- I understand things come up and electrical distribution would start in the fourth quarter.

So, in essence, wouldn't we want to see a good chunk of the stuff we outlined for the third quarter get done before we go into the fourth

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 quarter stuff?

MR. MILLET: You can't wait to go into design because now you're fracturing the way the plant has to operate. There is a certain way you want to approach repairing.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: This is as per your plan. You outlined that the electrical distribution system wasn't going to go into contract for the fourth quarter. Not me.

MR. MILLET: That's a construction contract. It has to go into design. The design gets paid out of the same money.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I understand that.
But you said today, which was news to us, I guess maybe it was news to you, that construction
wasn't going to start until second quarter of
2014. Was that factored in when you came up with
this or Mr. Walker came up with the presentation
regarding the electrical distribution? Was it
always supposed to start construction in 2014,
quarter two?

MR. MILLET: Let me take a look.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm thinking everything got pushed back.

bouncing around.

your time.

different.

Мγ

Take

2

1

MR. MILLET: No.

presented something different?

came down here with the impression that the

though a document that was up on the screen

construction was going to start in 2014, even

document. I'm going to look at it right now.

apologies if I put a wrong date in there.

There's an awful lot of information that's

MR. MILLET: I didn't look at the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

fourth quarter of '13. But it's not going to go

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I understand.

MR. MILLET: According to the chart, it

That means it's going out to bid in the

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So then Mr. Walker

out to bid in the fourth quarter of '13 because I

will be ready for construction documents in the

don't have any design money.

fourth quarter of '13.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Again, that's what

the Power Point said but today it's something

MR. MILLET: Because I misspoke. I got

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: That's true, but you just interrupted him.

24

25

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're having a

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13						
2	discussion.						
3	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Right.						
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So let me ask you						
5	again, and this is to make the point. So when						
6	Mr. Arnold said that we wouldn't be going into						
7	construction until the second quarter of 2014, he						
8	misspoke?						
9	MR. MILLET: He had not looked at the						
10	flow chart.						
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So what was he						
12	looking at?						
13	MR. MILLET: He had not brought it up						
14	yet.						
15	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Arnold, was						
16	that just a number that was in your head? Is						
17	there another document that you were referring						
18	to?						
19	MR. ARNOLD: I didn't check my notes when						
20	I said it was '14. I was making an assumption.						
21	I should have went back and looked at the table.						
22	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So it's your						
23	recollection it's quarter four of 2013?						

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. As I said

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.

25

dollars that we plan to do.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2 before, and I will go back to it again, and I'll 3 understand that point, but I'm going to go back 4 to the same point that we have been making now is 5 that, number one, we been given an enormous 6 amount of money towards an investment which we 7 understand will be in the hundreds of millions of

I still would need to see more information as our side would want to see more information that clearly delineates how this plan will be implemented over the next couple of vears.

I would strongly suggest -- I mean we had allocated \$262 million to go towards those third quarter expenses. I would strongly suggest that the administration utilize resources so that they can do the design on the electrical distribution system and come back to this legislature with a master schedule on how things will get done.

From our standpoint, when we allocated \$262 million to be allocated for the third quarter towards the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant, we expected to see \$262 million in contracts. Is that a fair expectation when we

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

oversight.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expectation was to see \$260 million to be filtered through this legislation by the end of September. That is not going to be the case from what I'm hearing today. So the people in Bay Park, East Rockaway and Baldwin, throughout the entire south shore have been sold a bad bill of goods, because they're being told based off of what they came here, was presented with, is that

we're going to be entering into contracts into

even more clearer on why we need to have some

the third quarter of 2013. This makes the point

look at the Power Point presentation?

Not only that, it also makes the point clearer that the bottom line is, we are going to bond to insure work gets done when the county executive indicates it's going to get done.

From our standpoint, we should not go over 260 until he shows us the contracts that are associated with the 260 and we visit the site, which I'm going to suggest to this entire legislature, we go to this site, I know many of use have been there before and many of us have been there since Sandy, and I plan to

1 0

go there several times between now and the end of the year because I want to make sure that, number one, that the taxpayer's investment is being done soundly, and, number two, we want to make sure that the work is progressing in an even keeled manner.

If we decide to give \$722 million to this administration at this point, at this juncture, it would be a wrong investment for this county.

We need to make sure this work actually gets done, and not just get it done, but get it done in a timely manner so people are not -- instead of waiting 24 to 48 months which was outlined by Hazen & Sawyer, they're waiting hundreds of months to get this thing done.

I think the people in that area have waited long enough and this is the best way to get it done.

So we envision seeing the rest of the contracts for the \$260 million be presented to this legislature, and at that time we will definitely look to foot the bill on the electrical distribution system.

So I would strongly suggest to my side

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So it seems to me that the protestations of the minority in that the best way to go about doing this is to do it piecemeal and have the administration get its act

22

23

24

25

together and to feign this outrage that it's going to be much better if the administration does its piecemeal is in fact putting obstacles in front of the administration at every single 16 step of the way, and then feigning outrage that they're not doing it as expeditiously as possible. Am I wrong? I don't want to put you on the spot. That's my own comment and you don't have to agree or disagree with that.

But it seems to me that anyone that's been here for the last two meetings can see that the administration presented a way to get this done for the residents of Nassau County and that the minority's protestations that it was not being done quick enough or expeditiously enough is in fact because of their actions, not despite their actions, because it's not because of the actions of the administration, but the actions of the minority that are in fact throwing up obstacles every step of the way to get this done for the citizens of Nassau County.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You know what, I take some umbrage with that because, know what, the fact remains, Mr. Arnold and Mr. Millet, this

legislature bonded \$400 million. \$400 million for the same expenses, some of the stuff that should have been done pre-Sandy that wasn't done. So the fact remains, we did bond \$400 million at a particular time and work didn't happen, it didn't happen for whatever reason it may have not happened.

So the fact remains, we need to make sure that we do not give a bonding authorization of \$722 million if we want to insure projects are actually going to get done at our treatment plants.

We have given \$262 million. That is enough to get the ball rolling. When Mr. Arnold or Mr. Millet presents to us that those contracts that we have bonded for in the third quarter of this year get done and work gets done and we can say to people, look this is what's happening, this is what's getting done, we are more than happy to bond for the electrical distribution and pay for that expense. But we can't do that before we see work being done.

We have been down this road, folks, where we bonded and the work didn't get done. We

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 bonded a capital plan in 2010.

administration more money.

We were supposed to do a ton of road projects and nothing got done. So we are not going to go down this road again. We're going to be more prudent and we're going to make sure what we outline, whether it be the odor control, the dewatering system, you name it, the pumps, the digesters, it's going to get done, it's going to be well on its way before we even consider giving this

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: You don't have to respond to that.

MR. ARNOLD: There's road projects to SSW, and you have to understand also, even the capital plan that was approved, NIFA had approved the actual borrowing. Projects were held up because we did not getting the borrowing --

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Wait, wait, wait.

We're not interrupting and we're not jumping in.

There's some decorum to this committee. Mr.

Denenberg --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Not one sewer and storm water project -- denied by NIFA.

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARNOLD: That is correct.

I'm not disagreeing with you, legislator, when you bringing road projects, the delay of road projects --

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Mr. Arnold, something. You don't have to respond to that because it's just another false issue they are throwing up there. I noticed in the last statement they brought up this whole issue which was explained last time about the \$400 million in borrowing.

It was explained to them that they had spent every dime of that money, that it would have been under water in Hurricane Sandy, but they still bring it up because it's another issue.

They may seem intent on slowing down this project. They've given you all sorts of reasons, piecemeal is a better way to do it, we have to committees, oversight, and it's going to save us money. There's no proof of any of that. It's just members of the minority caucus creating issues. But, again, it makes no sense to me to want to slow this down.

We are all political individuals, we all ran for office, but, from a political standpoint, why would you want to slow down this? There is nothing to be benefitted from it. From a governmental standpoint, it's been explained over and over again that you can't break this down piecemeal and, to the extent that you do, it simply slows down the project and the work will not get done in a timely fashion. If we started on the project long enough, we're going to be into a second hurricane season.

Ultimately, this money is going to be spent. It has to be spent. We have to repair the electrical works. We have to make it storm proof. We have do the same with the facility. We have to make the repairs to Cedar Creek.

To slow it down and make it, let's do it piecemeal, let's fund the design first, and then maybe we'll have you come back and do the contract. It could do nothing else but make sure that this project doesn't get done until much further down the road.

So, again, for whatever reason, and they've thrown up a whole bunch of different

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

things. Let's have another committee look at

3 | them, the county executive have his committee,

4 and somehow we'll form another committee and

5 we'll have them in Bay Park overseeing the

operation, and somehow it's going to be to

7 benefitted.

Again, you know, I don't understand why they want to slow this down. I don't the benefits to the people of Nassau and I don't understand the benefit to them politically, so it just makes no sense to me.

Legislator Denenberg.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you.

Legislator Nicolello, I, for one, again, have never voted against any funding for the sewage treatment plants, not in all the years I've been here.

I agree with you, 100 percent, to form new committees to do what we should be doing is ridiculous. But I been writing letters since 2010 to renew and start up again with either Public Works or the Full Legislative Body to have hearings on a regular basis just as to the status of all capital projects, but, specifically, the

Full Legislature - 8-5-13

2 sewage treatment plants. And I will always

3 disagree if we have projects and we do for years

4 | for influent pump, effluent pumps, digesters,

5 gravity belt thickeners, odor control, that if

6 | that had not been done, clearly at Cedar Creek,

if it was done on time, it would have been done

8 on time.

So it's incumbent upon us to do our job to make sure that we know what the status of these projects are. And you're not going to get me to say we shouldn't have the money allocated, we should. But we also shouldn't give our job to any committee and we don't need committees. The committees are the legislature and the Public Works Committee to know where these projects are and why they slip, a year, two years, three years, four years.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I can tell you why this one is slipping. We can't get authorization to borrow. Every time we oppose it it gets slowed down.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Every project
Mr. Arnold just mentioned, odor control,
digester, I think he mentioned gravity belt

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Hurricane Sandy for everything. Low and behold, Hurricane Sandy came and all these projects we haven't done, thank God we didn't do them, because Sandy would have wiped them out. It doesn't make sense. You are not going to get a no vote from me. You're 4 going to get a yes vote for the funding.

But I'm also agreeing with you, no separate committees. We need to do our oversight. We are the legislature, period.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: All right.

Any other questions? Legislator Walker.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: I don't need to say what's been said over and over by my colleagues on this side of the table.

But I just look at the one area that
we're talking about, the electrical system. When
the project was presented here, and it was
emphatically said, we need to do this as a whole,
we need to do the project as a whole, I just
think on a much smaller scale, I think if you had
to do major repairs in your house, you would have
to look at that project as a whole. You can't
say, I'll start with this little bit, and then I
know have to replace the whole thing, but I'll
start with this and then we'll see what the
design is for the next part, and the money for
the next part.

I just look at this electrical area and we're spending \$700,000 a month on generators running our sewage treatment plant. It just

scares me to death to think if something happens and that goes down in any way the mess that this county will be in.

I don't think all of us wanted to listen to the part of the presentation that said we need to look at this as a whole, we need to put it together as a whole. We didn't want to face that and even now we don't want to face the fact that these monies have to be made available so we can give out the information for it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If I may, through the chair.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And I have a great amount of respect for Legislator Walker, but, to me, the analogy that was presented is totally the opposite of what we're talking about.

What we're talking about is, we're in favor of building that house and you should design that house to whatever you want to design it to; four bedrooms, three bathrooms, kitchen, living room.

What we're against is, you would never pay a contractor \$400,000 \$500,000 \$800,000 all

up front and hope he does the work in an executed manner. What we're saying is, no one in this country gets paid for work that they promise to do. Everybody gets paid for work that they do and they show that work gets done and things get done in phases. Look, when I enter into a contract, if I want to do work on my house, we pay it out in three payments, or sometimes two payments.

All I'm saying is, that's the approach we're taking. We have an agreement. I've said it before, we agree that whatever the cost is, we will provide the votes to make sure that that is paid for. We have never deviated from that.

But the point that needs to be made is that what is being asked of us is that we pay for the entire amount up front.

That's when you ask \$722 million to be bonded, that's what you are asking this legislative body to do.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: I do respect you,

Kevan, but I do disagree. We are not putting the

money up front. We are making sure we have the

monies available to us that, as we move on to the

2 next thing we have to do, the next contract we 3 have to send out, we know we have the monies for.

It would be totally ridiculous to think - I don't care if you are doing something with a
small amount of money and you say, oh, here's all
the money and you hope the job gets done.
Absolutely not.

But I think you do have to make sure the money is there for you to be able to put it out when you have to.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator Muscarella.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: You know, I agree with you, Kevan, no one would pay all that money in the beginning.

But, here is my analogy. My analogy is,

I have to do the roof, I have to do the

foundation, the interior, the exterior. What

you're doing is, you're saying, the whole job is

going to cost us \$100,000. But what I'm going to

do, I'm going to get a mortgage first to do the

roof for \$10,000. Once that roof is done, then

maybe I'll go out and get a mortgage to do the

next phase.

You're asking, you're saying, yeah, but
the money is going to be there.

So, you know, foundation guy, and exterior guy, start doing the work, even though I'm only bonding, even though I'm only getting my mortgage from my roof now, I'll be getting that mortgage later on.

No contractor in the world would go ahead and start doing the work and the plan when you are only mortgaging for the roof and you're saying you'll get the mortgages. You take one mortgage, you get the \$100,000, and then you dispense it as you go along. But at least you got the contractors lined up to do the work expeditiously and in the right order so that the plant keeps going and you do the jobs individually.

You've got to bond the whole thing first.

You don't say, I'm going to get the next phase
later.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Vinny, that's where we respectfully disagree, that you have to bond the first allotment. We respectfully disagree.

Because not one project that's starting in

Full Legislature - 8-5-13 quarter three is being delayed because of this.

3 Not one.

Not one contract that was supposed to be implemented in the third quarter to do the digesters and the pumps is being delayed because of it. Not one.

The only delays that we have heard about are because of getting RFPs out, getting them awarded, takes time. We understand that. That's why, again, we are committed towards every single dime that's necessary. But we are going to make sure at the same time that work gets implemented. When was the last time we've done a hearing on the capital plan? When was the last time we've done a hearing on our sewage treatment plants?

So, from that standpoint, to allow this process to go forward, when will someone come back to the legislature and give us a report on where we stand with, not just Bay Park, but Cedar Creek and all our treatment plants?

This is a legislative body that has to exercise its oversight. Right now we're not doing that. The only way we are going to do that and get that done is that we force the

the charter to do.

administration to come back to us and get more

authorization for the next phases of the project.

That's the best way to get it done. We have not

That's the best way to get it done. We have no got hearings done in this legislative body for years now. Years. I think we are in violation of the charter when it comes to the hearings we

need to have for legislative budget review.

Did we even have a hearing so far this year? We are violating the charter already.

Someone could easily sue us on the fact that we are violating the charter of having hearings in legislative budget review which are specified in

So this is no different. We are going to force the county executive to come down here and give us updates because obviously he's not giving us updates via the hearings. We're not getting updates via reports in the questions that we're asking.

So we need better answers and we need better responses. And, look, the only way we're going to get -- I hate to put Mr. Arnold and Mr. Millet in these kinds of positions because I know they are just trying to do their job, but the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just to clarify one thing. When we authorize the bonding, the money doesn't get borrowed immediately. Obviously when the project starts to roll and you encumber the money and you do the contracts, do the design work, as you spend the money, then the money is borrowed, but, anyway, any other questions on this item?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Just one

last comment. This started off on the wrong foot when Mr. Millet came down here and mentioned that there was \$400 million left. Now, obviously, since then, he's been corrected, but that just goes to show that there really is -- even your own spokesman wasn't sure of what a \$300 million difference is.

So, you have to understand, this is not that we're just walking into a situation. We have reason to want to make sure everything is done correctly.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: So that's another reason to add to the minority not voting for this because of how they're upset because of something Mr. Millet said.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: A \$300 million mistake from your expert is something to be concerned about.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: The longer we talk the more excuses come out as to why you don't want to do this. Again, slowing down this project is not in the best interests of the taxpayers of Nassau County, no matter what you say. It's perilous because at some point, the

_								
1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13							
2	chickens may come home to roost, and we may have							
3	another devastating event there which we won't be							
4	prepared for. That's the nightmare scenario that							
5	nobody wants to deal with but the project is							
6	being slowed down, and, for whatever reason, the							
7	minority wants to do that.							
8	Any questions?							
9	(No verbal response.)							
10	Is there any public comments?							
11	(No verbal response.)							
12	All those in favor of passing this,							
13	signify by saying aye.							
14	(Aye.)							
15	Any opposed?							
16	(Nay.)							
17	Items pass five to two.							
18	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I was an aye.							
19	(Whereupon, the following is the							
20	continuation of the minutes of the Rules							
21	Committee, 7-29-13.)							
22	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Therefore, all							
23	those in favor of these items signify by saying							
24	aye.							
25	(Aye.)							

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13							
2	Any opposed?							
3	(Nay.)							
4	So we have four ayes and two nays.							
5	(Whereupon, the following is the							
6	continuation of the minutes of the August 5, 2013							
7	Rules Committee meeting.)							
8	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Motion, please.							
9	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.							
10	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Second.							
11	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by							
12	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Becker.							
13	Any comments regarding these items?							
14	(No verbal response.)							
15	No comments.							
16	Any public comment?							
17	(No verbal response.)							
18	There being none. All those in favor of							
19	the items just called signify by saying aye.							
20	(Aye.)							
21	Any opposed?							
22	(Nay.)							
23	We have 11 to 8. Mr. Denenberg is voting							
24	with the majority. Therefore, the bond							
25	ordinances fail.							

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13 389							
2	At this time I'm going to ask for a							
3	motion to reconsider.							
4	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I make that							
5	motion.							
6	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.							
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by							
8	Legislator Nicolello, seconded by Legislator							
9	Muscarella.							
10	All those in favor of reconsidering							
11	signify by saying aye.							
12	(Aye.)							
13	Any opposed?							
14	The motion to reconsider passes.							
15	At this point I move to table these							
16	items, and seconded by Legislator Muscarella.							
17	All those in favor of tabling signify by							
18	saying aye.							
19	(Aye.)							
20	Any opposed?							
21	(No verbal response.)							
22	The items are tabled.							
23	I believe that's the end of the calendar.							
24	I am going to be calling for a recess. I will							
25	put this meeting in recess. I would like really							

1	Full Legislature - 8-5-13
2	to ask those of you who can to give me a schedule
3	so that I know when you will be available so we
4	can reconvene.
5	Thank you. Sorry this took so long. But
6	guess what? This is it. Thank you to my
7	colleagues on both sides of the aisle. Safe
8	home.
9	(Whereupon, the Full Legislature
10	recessed at 5:37 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ī	391

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby state:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

	ΙN	WITNESS	WHERI	EOF,	Ι	have	hereunto	set	mу
hand	th	nis		day	of		/	2013.	

FRANK GRAY